

Testimony of Tim Carey, Law & Policy Staff Attorney The Coalition to Stop Gun Violence In Support - Senate Bill 10: Prohibiting Firearms at Polling Places during Elections Education, Heath, and Environmental Affairs Committee February 4, 2021

Dear Chair Pinsky, Vice Chair Kagan, and Committee members,

I am writing to you in my capacity as a staff attorney for the Coalition to Stop Gun Violence in support of Senate Bill 10. By prohibiting the presence of firearms within 100 ft of a polling place, Maryland would be joining a growing number of states taking steps to protect the sanctity of their elections and the security of their electorate.

Prohibiting Firearms at Polling Places Protects Democracy

The right to vote is a fundamental right that all eligible American citizens ought to exercise freely and safely. All fifty states provide their citizens with a substantive right to vote, forty-nine with an explicit state constitutional right and one with an implicit right.¹ Laws have validity in a democracy, in large part, because the people are able to choose their representation in government. The Supreme Court of the United States said it best when they reasoned that "[n]o right is more precious in a free country than that of having a voice in the election of those who make the laws under which, as good citizens, we must live. Other rights, even the most basic, are illusory if the right to vote is undermined."² The presence of firearms at the polls places our most sacred right in peril.

Relatively few states have laws that explicitly prevent the presence of guns at polling places, which became a point of grave concern for many state legislatures during the 2020 elections. Incendiary remarks by then-President Trump and his most ardent supporters created legitimate fears about election-day violence, recognized by news media, law enforcement, and the FBI.³ Michigan's secretary of state notably attempted to pass a directive banning guns at the polls after credible threats of violence surrounding the

¹ Joshua A. Douglas, *The Right to Vote Under State Constitutions*, 67 Vanderbilt Law Review 89 (2019); ARIZ. Const. art VII, § 2 is the lone outlier, stating that "No person shall be entitled to vote…unless such person be a citizen of the United States of the age of eighteen years or over, and shall have resided in the state for a period of time preceding such election as prescribed by law…"

² Wesberry v. Sanders, 376 U.S. 1, 17 (1964).

³ See Daniel L. Byman & Colin P. Clarke, *Why the risk of election violence is high*, Brookings (Oct. 27, 2020), https://www.brookings.edu/blog/fixgov/2020/10/27/why-the-risk-of-election-violence-is-high/ (last visited Jan 21, 2021); Joel Rose, 'Guns, Protests And Elections Do Not Mix': Conflict Experts See Rising Warning Signs, NPR (Oct. 29, 2020),

https://www.npr.org/2020/10/29/928791633/guns-protests-and-elections-do-not-mix-conflict-experts-see-rising-war ning-signs (last visited Jan 21, 2021); Katie Paul, *Thousands of Facebook Groups buzzed with calls for violence ahead of U.S. election*, Reuters (Nov. 6, 2020),

https://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-election-facebook-focus/thousands-of-facebook-groups-buzzed-with-calls-for-rviolence-ahead-of-u-s-election-idUSKBN27M2UN (last visited Jan 21, 2021).



election, but her directive was blocked by courts on procedural grounds.⁴ The Maryland legislature is the proper venue to make this policy decision and now is the right time. Though Maryland already has laws that criminalize the use of weapons for intimidation, it can be admittedly difficult to prove whether someone wielding a gun in public intended to stoke fear in others. Even the potential threat of violence during elections threatens to chill participation in democracy. A clear prohibition of firearms at polling places would be an unambiguous protection of the sacrosanct right to vote.

Prohibiting Firearms at Polling Places is Constitutional Under the First and Second Amendments

Courts in the United States have not interpreted the Second Amendment to provide a right to carry a gun in public or to intimidate others with firearms. In the landmark decision of *District of Columbia v. Heller*, the Supreme Court of the United States interpreted the core of the Second Amendment to protect "the right of law-abiding, responsible citizens to use arms in defense of hearth and home."⁵ However, the Supreme Court continued to clarify that "[1]ike most rights, the right secured by the Second Amendment is not unlimited" and it is "not a right to keep and carry any weapon whatsoever in any manner whatsoever and for whatever purpose."⁶ The Supreme Court emphasized that "nothing in our opinion should be taken to cast doubt on longstanding prohibitions on…laws forbidding the carrying of firearms in sensitive places such as schools and government buildings."⁷ The Supreme Court has not found a Second Amendment right to carry firearms in public.

Courts have also not found that carrying firearms in public qualifies as "speech" protected under the First Amendment. In contrast, courts have found it to be extremely difficult for the carrying of a firearm to convey any particularized message beyond the danger and lethality of the gun itself.⁸ For example, a court in Michigan held that attempts of people to communicate messages by openly carrying firearms did not qualify as protected speech because "numerous emergency calls" made clear that worried members of the public did not perceive the firearms owners "as open carry activists demonstrating their First…Amendment rights," but rather "were simply alarmed and concerned for their safety and that of their community."⁹ North Carolina courts have also "long deemed it reasonable to regulate… the carrying of deadly weapons [at a] public assembly," given the safety risks posed to the community.¹⁰ The right to free speech cannot be confused with a right to terrorize others and threaten public safety.

⁴ Brakkton Booker, *Michigan Judge Blocks Ban On Open Carry Of Guns At Polls On Election Day*, NPR (Oct. 29, 2020),

https://www.npr.org/2020/10/28/928617983/michigan-judge-blocks-ban-on-open-carry-of-guns-at-polls-on-election-day (last visited Jan 22, 2021);

⁵ District of Columbia v. Heller, 554 U.S. 570, 635 (2008).

⁶ Heller, 554 U.S. at 626.

⁷ Id.

⁸ See Baker v. Schwarb, 40 F. Supp. 3d 881, 894-95 (E.D. Mich. 2014); Chesney v. City of Jackson, 171 F. Supp. 3d 605, 616-19 (E.D. Mich. 2016); Burgess v. Wallingford, No. 11-cv-1129, 2013 WL 4494481, at *9-10 (D. Conn. May 15, 2013); Northrup v. City of Toledo Police Div., 58 F. Supp. 3d 842, 847-49 (N.D. Ohio 2014), aff'd in part and rev'd in part on other grounds sub nom. Northrup v. City of Toledo Police Dep't, 785 F.3d 1128 (6th Cir. 2015). ⁹ Schwarb, 40 F. Supp. 3d at 894-95; see also Chesney, 171 F. Supp. 3d at 616-19.

¹⁰ State v. Oaks, 594 S.E.2d 788, 793 (2004), quoting State v. Dawson, 272 N.C. 535, 546, 159 S.E.2d 1, 10 (1968).



Prohibiting Firearms at Polling Places is Congruent with Current Maryland Law

Maryland already has several laws on the books that may indirectly get at the issue of prohibiting firearms at polling places, but codifying an explicit restriction is a natural next step for the legislature to take. Maryland has already made it a crime to carry or possess a firearm on public school property, which many districts utilize as polling places during elections.¹¹ Maryland law also criminalizes any "influence or attempt to influence a voter's voting decision...[or] decision whether to go to the polls to cast a vote through the use of force, fraud, threat, menace, [or] intimidation..." and automatically elevates assault with a firearm to a first degree offense.¹²

Maryland has also recognized the need for laws that prevent the presence of firearms from disrupting the performance of civil rights and duties of the public. Maryland prohibits the possession of a firearm, both loaded and unloaded, at a demonstration in a public place or in a vehicle within 1,000 feet of such demonstration after law enforcement has both (1) notified the person about the public demonstration and (2) ordered the person to leave the demonstration until their firearm is stored elsewhere.¹³ The Secretary of State Police also possesses the power to further limit the geographic area, circumstances, and times in which state handgun permits are effective, which could be applied to polling places and other political events.¹⁴ However, no measure would communicate as clear and reassuring a message to the public as a plain prohibition of firearms at the polls.

Conclusion

Passing SB 10 would protect the integrity of Maryland's elections and the wellbeing of their electorate. The presence of firearms at polling places risks both the chilling of participation in elections and the safety of prospective voters. Courts have not recognized a First or Second Amendment right to display firearms in public places like polling sites, instead holding that the display of firearms in such places can be presumptively outside the scope of the right to bear arms. A prohibition of firearms at the polls is also consistent with past steps the Maryland legislation has taken to preserve public safety and the core functions of our democracy. The Coalition to Stop Gun Violence is in full support of SB 10 and the protections it affords to Maryland voters.

Sincere Regards.

Timothy Carey, JD Law & Policy Staff Attorney Coalition to Stop Gun Violence

¹¹ Md. Code Ann., Crim. Law § 4-102(b).

¹² Md. Elec Law § 16-201(a)(5)-(6); Md. Code Ann., Crim. Law § 3-202.

¹³ Md. Code Ann., Crim. Law § 4-208.

¹⁴ Md. Code Ann., Pub. Safety § 5-307(b).