

MARYLAND STATEWIDE RAIL ISSUE SURVEY

JANUARY 19-22, 2019

Topline

<u>Interviews</u>: 500 respondents by live caller

Margin of Error: ± 4.4 percentage points with a 95 percent confidence

Interview Dates: January 19-22, 2019

Sample: Landline and cell phone sample by live caller. Calls were stratified by four unique

regions of Maryland. Final data weighted by gender, race, age, education and

counties based on 2018 U.S. Census estimated demographics.

Survey Sponsor: SMART Transportation Division's Maryland State Legislative Board

Q1: To start, do you think Maryland is moving in the right direction or is Maryland off on the wrong track?

Right Direction	65%
Wrong Track	21
(VOL) Unsure	14

Q2: I'm now going to read you some names of public figures and organizations. For each one, please tell me if you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion, and if you never heard of them before, just say so:

	<u>Favorable</u>	<u>Unfavorable</u>	Neutral (VOL)	Never Heard Of
a: Donald Trump	34%	61	4	0
b: Chris Van Hollen	41	20	14	26
c: Ben Cardin	51	24	12	13
d: Larry Hogan	78	12	5	5
e: Maryland General Assembly	49	21	19	11
f: Amtrak	56	9	31	5
g: D.C. Metro Subway	49	14	31	5
h: Labor Unions	56	25	15	3
i: Mike Locksley	7	3	9	81
j: University of Maryland	87	6	6	1

Q3: Generally speaking, do you approve or disapprove the overall job Donald Trump is doing as President of the United States?

Strongly approve	25%
Somewhat approve	12
Somewhat disapprove	4
Strongly disapprove	
(VOL) Unsure / Neutral	4

Q4:	Although it is a while away, suppose the election was today for President of the United States. Would you vote for Donald Trump the Republican or would you vote for the Democratic Party candidate?		
	Vote for Donald Trump31%Vote for the Democratic Party candidate53(VOL) Unsure / Other / Refused16		
Q5:	Now thinking about Maryland's transportation infrastructure – including roads, highways, bridges, rail, air, and public transportation – how would you rate it?		
	Excellent 4% Good 34 Satisfactory 40 Poor 14 Failing 6 (VOL) Unsure 2		
Q6:	Based on what you know, how many people do you think operate a freight train that travels through Maryland?		
	One 7% Two 14 Three 13 Four 8 Five or More 34 (VOL) Don't know 24		
	y most freight trains in Maryland operate with a crew of two people; but there are efforts railroads to reduce train crew to just one person.		
Q7:	Let's suppose freight trains in your area operated with only a crew of one; how worried would you be about a train derailing in your community? Very Worried		
Q8:	Some in Maryland want to enact a law, introduced as House Bill 66, which would require a crew of two individuals on all freight trains that operate in Maryland. Suppose you could vote on House Bill 66; would you vote YES to pass a two-person crew state law or would you vote NO and reject a two-person crew state law?		
	Yes, Pass 86% No, Reject 7 (VOL) Unsure 7		

Q9:	I now want to read you a few reasons why some people <u>oppose</u> House Bill 66, which would requiring a crew of two individuals on all freight trains. For each reason, tell me if you find it a convincing reason or not that convincing reason to reject House Bill 66:		
	SURVEY NOTE - Each respondent received two reasons to oppose a House Bi and two reason to support House Bill 66 (questions 10a,b,c). Questions 9 ar randomized. The margin of error ranges from $\pm 5.4\%$ pts to $\pm 6.2\%$ pts.		
Q9a:	Railroads say that two-person crew legislation undermines the sabargaining between rail management and rail labor regarding train c		
	Convincing	12% 86 3	
Q9b:	Commuter rail operates thousands of trains a day with one person in the data going back to the 1970s shows an excellent safety record.	the locomotive, and	
	Convincing Not That Convincing (VOL) Unsure	33% 64 3	
Q9c:	If two-person train crew legislation passes, it will deter investment of safe, cost-saving technology like Positive Train Control, which is a designed to automatically stop a train before certain types of acciden	dvanced technology	
	Convincing	33%	
	Not That Convincing	62	
	(VOL) Unsure	5	
Q9d:	Crew size mandates would hinder rail efficiencies and divert traffic fusing trucks, which are less fuel efficient, create congestion and chighway system.		
	Convincing	23% 73 4	
Q10:	I now want to read you a few reasons why some people <u>support</u> I would requiring a crew of two individuals on all freight trains. For if you find it a convincing reason or not that convincing reason to	each reason, tell me	
Q10a:	Having two crew members on a train provides better monitoring of crossings.	traffic at public road	
	Convincing	81% 19 1	

Q10b:	Having two people on a train allows the crew members to supervise and communi with each other to help avoid mistakes that may contribute to an accident.		
	Convincing	89% 10 1	
Q10c:	According to federal regulations, the engineer is not allowed to leave while operating the train. A second crew member is necessary to is such as derailment or a collision between a train and a motor vehicle	nvestigate incidents	
	Convincing	79% 19 2	
Q11:	When it comes to train crew size, rail safety and the latest rail techn makes the most sense to you?	ology, which option	
	Only two-person crew, no advanced rail technology	2% 68 4 21 5	
Q12:	Do you trust advanced rail technology as a replacement of a train creation	ew member?	
	Yes No(VOL) Unsure	13% 79 8	
Q13:	Now considering everything you just heard about a House Bill 66 crew of two individuals on all freight trains. If you could vote aga YES to pass a two-person crew state law, or would you vote NO and crew state law?	in, would you vote	
	Yes, Pass No, Reject (VOL) Unsure	88% 8 4	