

My name is Steve Bress. I have been a Maryland resident for much more than 50 years. I urge you to vote no on this bill. It is not in the best interests of Maryland residents. A similar bill came up in the not too distant past. It was a bad idea also. I wrote the following for that one, and it applies virtually unchanged today.

HB1040 has as its basic premise that a Pharmacist should take the place of a highly trained and experience medical professional when it comes to administering vaccines, and the local pharmacy is an appropriate venue for such administration. I must, therefore, assume that the sponsors have never actually set foot in a commercial pharmacy, such as CVS, or a pharmacy within a grocery store, such as might be found in a Shoppers Food Warehouse.

Given that, I would be pleased to share my experiences with both types of pharmacies. I won't be naming names, but my experiences have been similar amongst a wide variety of retail pharmacy locations.

- The Pharmacist gave me a prescription that required refrigeration. It was in the massive pile of other prescriptions and nowhere near the fridge. I was asked if I wanted it anyway. I suggested that I felt more comfortable with a properly maintained prescription. In the case of this particular prescription, it would simply have been ineffective. If a vaccine had been cared for in this manner, it could be deadly.
- I had to intervene in a dispute between a patient and the pharmacist. She was berating and threatening the staff. For some reason, they were unable or unwilling to have the woman removed. Had I allowed her to continue to harass my pharmacists, I would not have expected to get the right medication. I certainly would not have wanted one of them to give me a vaccine at that time, given the high levels of agitation that she caused.
- Pharmacies have no ability to understand medically complex individuals. No matter how many times I explain allergies to the inactive ingredients in the drugs, they still will make a substitution, often without informing me, with a drug to which one of my family members is allergic.
- On that topic, since pharmacists cannot track and do not care about allergies, they certainly cannot be trusted to inquire, understand, and act on allergy information provided by a potential patient. Which assumes that the patient, who may be a minor under this bill, is capable of understanding that it is their responsibility to inform the pharmacist about allergies and other embarrassing personal information. The patient's doctor, of course, should already know and take proper precautions.
- Bounties for medical procedures are unacceptable, but they are commonplace at pharmacies. False advertising is rampant as well. The shots aren't "free" unless the

pharmaceutical companies have all suddenly gotten very generous. (While there is usually an asterisk that says the pharmacist will explain how it is free, it is still not free.)

- I have witnessed many, many vaccinations given at local pharmacies and given the small amount of square footage allocated to the medical procedure section, I have heard the interaction between the pharmacist and the patient. I have NEVER, not once, as in it hasn't happened, heard the pharmacist give the patient the information that would allow for informed consent.
- Speaking of lack of space, I have never seen a bed for a patient to lie down upon for when he or she is about to pass out after vaccination. This would be uncomfortable and dangerous.
- On that topic, drive through vaccinations sound like a great idea until someone passes out while driving.
- One pharmacist substituted a generic drug for a name brand drug, for which I paid a significant amount of money. It did not do its job. When I found out what had happened and talked to the pharmacist, he would not check inventory to prove my claim. Later on, after a change in management, I found out that I wasn't the only one. There is no reason to believe that this wouldn't happen with vaccines. A saline solution is far cheaper than the actual vaccine. Should a patient get sick, it would just be assumed to be a simple vaccine failure.

There is no such thing as a 100% safe medical procedure. No matter how often the phrase "safe and effective is repeated," it does not suddenly become true. Without a parental consent requirement for medical procedures, a minor has no advocate that understands the details of the minor's health and the risks posed by the procedure. Contests, bounties and other forms of manipulation are not reasonable when it comes to invasive medical procedures. What is reasonable is informed consent and parental involvement. I urge you to vote against this bill that weakens parental rights and endangers children.

Steve Bress

Germantown, MD