

15 School Street, Suite 200 Annapolis, Maryland 21401 410-269-1554

February 25, 2021

The Honorable Shane Pendergrass Chair, House Health and Government Operations Committee Room 241 House Office Building Annapolis, MD 21401

## House Bill 910 - Health Insurance – Hearing Aids for Adults – Coverage

Dear Chairman Pendergrass,

The League of Life and Health Insurers of Maryland, Inc. respectfully **opposes** House Bill 910 – Health Insurance – Hearing Aids for Adults – Coverage and urges the committee to give the bill an unfavorable report.

House Bill 910 requires insurers, non-profit health service plans, and health maintenance organizations (collectively known as carriers) to provide coverage for all medically appropriate and necessary hearing aids for an adult who is covered under a policy and may limit the benefit payable to \$750 per hearing aid for each hearing-impaired ear every 36 months. The fact is, Maryland carriers are already providing coverage for hearing aids today.

But, with House Bill 910, and as a result it establishes a new mandated benefit. Under the ACA, each state must pay, for every health plan purchased through the Maryland Health Benefit Exchange, the additional premium associated with any state-mandated benefit beyond the federally mandated essential health benefits. This means, should the Commissioner include the mandate in the State bench mark plan, the State would be required to defray the cost of the benefits to the extent it applies to the individual and small group market ACA plans.

The League opposes any additional mandated benefits to Maryland's law. Mandated benefits add cost to health insurance policies in our state and limit the ability of insurers to design benefits to best meet the needs of enrollees. Given the potential impact to health insurance costs in the State, Maryland law includes a statutory framework for review and evaluation of proposed mandated benefits by the Maryland Health Care Commission under § 15-1501 of the Insurance Article. The law requires the assessment of a proposed mandate for the social, medical and financial impact of the proposed mandate and equips the General Assembly with such information as the extent to which the service is generally utilized by a significant

portion of the population; the extent to which the insurance coverage is already generally available; if coverage is not generally available, the extent to which the lack of coverage results in individuals avoiding necessary health care treatments; if coverage is not generally available, the extent to which the lack of coverage results in unreasonable financial hardship; and the level of public demand for the service. Before adopting this or any other mandated health benefit, we urge the Committee first request an evaluation of the proposed benefit to facilitate an informed decision.

For these reasons, the League urges the committee to give House Bill 910 an unfavorable report.

Very truly yours,

Math FALX

Matthew Celentano Executive Director

cc: Members, House Health and Government Operations Committee