

Hello,

I'm writing today about my concern for the Bill HB0200. Aside from my feelings about the proper storage of firearms, which as firearm owner feel that the suggestions are sort of a slap in the face. If a firearm owner isn't already storing their "guns and ammo" properly then there is ignorance involved. Ignorance however, is not always intentional. The constant attack on our second amendment rights has created a lot of new firearm owners in the last fifteen years. It is possible there exists one or more instances where the new owner may not be properly trained in all aspects of firearm ownership and handling. This Bill would only be reactive in nature since there is no possible way to inspect every storage location to insure compliance. There would be no way of identifying violations until after something happens. Perhaps investing in training incentives for new gun owners to encourage proper handling from the positive side of the fence. I can go on, but I feel that is should not be necessary because discussion topic is irrelevant while if the word "could" could possibly be introduced into any type of legislation. The word "could" truly opens the door to any possibility. Ever heard of Murphy's Law, "anything that can (or COULD) go wrong, will". It would be egregiously irresponsible for any law maker to allow that type of vague verbiage be entered into any type of Bill or Law.

Thank you,

Daniel Dreiband