

SAMUEL I. "SANDY" ROSENBERG
Legislative District 41
Baltimore City

Health and Government Operations
Committee

Chair

Government Operations and Estates
and Trusts Subcommittee

House Chair

Joint Committee on Administrative,
Executive, and Legislative Review



RESPOND TO OFFICE INDICATED

☐ Annapolis Office
The Maryland House of Delegates
6 Bladen Street, Room 365
Annapolis, Maryland 21401
410-841-3297 · 301-858-3297
800-492-7122 Ext. 3297
Samuel.Rosenberg@house.state.md.us

☐ District Office
4811 Liberty Heights Avenue
Baltimore, Maryland 21207
410-664-2646

THE MARYLAND HOUSE OF DELEGATES
ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND 21401

Testimony of Delegate Samuel I. Rosenberg

Before the House Judiciary Committee

In Support of

House Bill 308

Courts-Civil Actions-Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation

Mister Chairman and Members of the Committee:

The goal of one developer's lawsuit against Baltimore citizens was clearly stated.¹ The suit was filed after community homeowner boards testified against a proposed development at public hearings. The plaintiff-developer sought \$25 million in punitive damages in order "to deter such conduct in the future."² The trial court found that this was a SLAPP suit, but the plaintiff has filed an appeal.³

As this committee well knows, this lawsuit was a Strategic Lawsuit Against Public Participation ("SLAPP"). It makes a mockery of our judicial system and threatens to curb the free speech of countless Marylanders. It is a meritless lawsuit filed to silence opposition and prevent an individual or group from exercising their First Amendment rights.

These frivolous but intimidating lawsuits typically involve an affluent plaintiff attempting to suppress a weaker defendant's First Amendment right to speak freely on matters of public concern.⁴ Plaintiffs use the litigation process to financially drain these defendants until they agree

¹ Baltimore Brew, "Clipper Mill developer Larry Jennings files \$25 million lawsuit against residents who testified against his projects," 2020, available at <https://baltimorebrew.com/2020/07/25/clipper-mill-developer-larry-jennings-files-25-million-lawsuit-against-residents-who-testified-against-his-projects/>

² *Id.*

³ Baltimore Brew, "Developer Larry Jennings loses his \$25 million suit against Clipper Mill residents," 2020, available at <https://baltimorebrew.com/2020/12/01/developer-larry-jennings-loses-his-25-million-suit-against-clipper-mill-residents/>

⁴ Public Participation Project, "What is a SLAPP?," 2018, available at <https://anti-slapp.org/what-is-a-slapp/>

to muzzle themselves or apologize for their prior statements.⁵ The likelihood of success is an incidental matter for the plaintiff; it is a financial and emotional burden for the defendant.

The purpose of Maryland's anti-SLAPP law is to enable a judge to dismiss such suits early in the litigation process, doing away with the burden of lengthy and costly litigation and preserving the defendants' right to free speech.

Although Maryland was one of the first states to enact such a law, we have fallen behind the curve. Thirty other states have enacted anti-SLAPP legislation.⁶ Our anti-SLAPP law is relatively weak, earning a "D" rating by the Public Participation Project.⁷

HB 308 differs from last year's bill on this subject in two respects. It would authorize a defendant in a SLAPP suit to ask that a judge "move expeditiously" on a motion to dismiss.

This bill would also provide that a SLAPP suit cannot be asserted by a defendant who "made a statement or engaged in conduct that consisted of representations of fact about the defendant's or a business competitor's business operations, goods or services." Without this exception, ordinary consumer litigation over false and deceptive trade practices and product liability claims could be subjected to anti-SLAPP remedies.

This legislation not only protects individuals from prohibitively costly litigation that infringes upon First Amendment rights. It deters frivolous lawsuits that waste the courts' limited time and resources.

The bill also offers protection for news organizations and reporters, who must defend against lawsuits regarding their reporting on matters of public concern. The threat of litigation should not be used to silence journalists and curtail the reporting they undertake to inform the public. HB308 ensures that Maryland citizens or reporters cannot be silenced for making public statements related to issues of public concern, which are protected by the First Amendment.

I respectfully urge the Committee to give HB 308 a favorable report.

January 15, 2021

⁵ *Id.*

⁶ Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press, "Introduction to Anti-SLAPP laws", date accessed January 8, 2021, available at <https://www.rcfp.org/introduction-anti-slapp-guide/>.

⁷ Public Participation Project, "Maryland" 2020, available at <https://anti-slapp.org/maryland>. (last visited Jan 28, 2020).