

**House Bill 852** – Property Tax - Tax Sales - Homeowner Protection Program

## **Position – Oppose**

While Maryland REALTORS® supports the concept of establishing the Homeowner Protection Program in the Department of Assessments and Taxation to divert vulnerable homeowners from the private tax lien sale process into another program to enable them to stay in their homes, Maryland REALTORS® opposes HB 852 currently as written due to the transfer tax funding source for the program.

The Housing Protection Program is a compassionate approach to help vulnerable homeowners. However, if funding this program is important for everyone in the state, then not just a select few who are purchasing or selling property should carry the cost -- it should be more broad-based.

Real estate transfer taxes and fees are a major burden to real property buyers and sellers, particularly at time of closing — even for a \$1 million dollar property. These taxes and fees have a negative impact on housing costs and economic development and are a particularly poor revenue source for local governments because of their extreme volatility. If the economy and the real estate market are weak, revenues are low. Moreover, depressed revenues occur just at the time when local government most needs a stable revenue base to fund increased demand for services — especially for those in need of staying in their home.

Maryland has the 5<sup>th</sup> highest closing costs in the country. Closing costs nationwide average \$5,749 but in Maryland, a borrower pays average mortgage closing costs, including taxes, of \$11,876. (*ClosingCorp*, 2019, residential sales.)

Currently, Maryland has an average 8% increase again this year in assessments. Given the increase in assessments, the state and counties will be receiving even more revenues moving forward. Moreover, the *Constant Yield Tax Rate* which seeks to keep property tax revenues *at a constant level from one year to the next* (assuring a "constant yield") but the constant yield rates are hardly ever adjusted to keep a flat rate of revenue return. It may make sense to dedicate a portion of revenue increase above the constant yield to programs such as this.



## HB 852 Page Two

The two charts below demonstrate the "Constant Yield" tax rate difference (Exhibit A3.) amongst counties and the revenues they would yield -- \$94.5 million (Exhibit A4).

Exhibit A3. Property Tax Rates Compared to Constant Yield Rate by County, FY2019

		ity, 1 12017
FY2019 Actual	Constant Yield	Difference
Rate	Rate	Difference
\$0.980	\$0.970	\$0.010
\$0.902	\$0.893	\$0.009
\$2.248	\$2.234	\$0.014
\$1.100	\$1.079	\$0.021
\$0.937	\$0.943	-\$0.006
\$0.980	\$0.970	\$0.010
\$1.018	\$1.000	\$0.018
\$1.041	\$1.030	\$0.012
\$1.205	\$1.182	\$0.023
\$1.000	\$0.995	\$0.005
\$1.060	\$1.035	\$0.025
\$0.990	\$0.990	\$0.000
\$1.042	\$1.034	\$0.008
\$1.190	\$1.171	\$0.019
\$1.022	\$1.022	\$0.000
\$0.993	\$1.002	-\$0.009
\$1.374	\$1.337	\$0.037
\$0.847	\$0.834	\$0.013
\$0.848	\$0.844	\$0.003
\$1.000	\$1.006	-\$0.006
\$0.606	\$0.574	\$0.032
\$0.948	\$0.941	\$0.007
\$0.940	\$0.924	\$0.016
\$0.835	\$0.827	\$0.008
	FY2019 Actual Rate \$0.980 \$0.902 \$2.248 \$1.100 \$0.937 \$0.980 \$1.018 \$1.041 \$1.205 \$1.000 \$1.060 \$0.990 \$1.042 \$1.190 \$1.022 \$0.993 \$1.374 \$0.848 \$1.000 \$0.606 \$0.948 \$0.948	Rate         Rate           \$0.980         \$0.970           \$0.902         \$0.893           \$2.248         \$2.234           \$1.100         \$1.079           \$0.937         \$0.943           \$0.980         \$0.970           \$1.018         \$1.000           \$1.041         \$1.030           \$1.205         \$1.182           \$1.000         \$0.995           \$1.060         \$1.035           \$0.990         \$0.990           \$1.042         \$1.034           \$1.190         \$1.171           \$1.022         \$1.022           \$0.993         \$1.002           \$1.374         \$1.337           \$0.847         \$0.834           \$0.848         \$0.844           \$1.000         \$1.006           \$0.606         \$0.574           \$0.948         \$0.941           \$0.940         \$0.924

Source: Maryland Department of Legislative Services (DLS). "Overview of Maryland Local Governments, Finances and Demographic Information". January 2019. Notes: \*Includes Special Property Tax Rates.



Exhibit A4. Maryland Counties' Real & Personal Property Tax Revenues: Yield/Loss from Property Tax Rates Different from Constant Yield Tax Rates, FY2019

County         Revenue Yield           Allegany         \$170,000           Anne Arundel         \$6,482,000           Baltimore City         \$5,262,000           Baltimore         \$17,469,000           Calvert         \$0           Caroline         \$242,000           Carroll         \$3,521,000           Cecil         \$1,102,000           Charles*         \$4,002,000           Dorchester         \$136,000           Frederick         \$7,339,000	Revenue Loss \$0 \$0	<b>Yield</b> \$2.37	Loss
Anne Arundel \$6,482,000  Baltimore City \$5,262,000  Baltimore \$17,469,000  Calvert \$0  Caroline \$242,000  Carroll \$3,521,000  Cecil \$1,102,000  Charles* \$4,002,000  Dorchester \$136,000	" -	\$2.37	
Baltimore City       \$5,262,000         Baltimore       \$17,469,000         Calvert       \$0         Caroline       \$242,000         Carroll       \$3,521,000         Cecil       \$1,102,000         Charles*       \$4,002,000         Dorchester       \$136,000	\$0		\$0.00
Baltimore       \$17,469,000         Calvert       \$0         Caroline       \$242,000         Carroll       \$3,521,000         Cecil       \$1,102,000         Charles*       \$4,002,000         Dorchester       \$136,000	Ψ°	\$11.31	\$0.00
Calvert         \$0           Caroline         \$242,000           Carroll         \$3,521,000           Cecil         \$1,102,000           Charles*         \$4,002,000           Dorchester         \$136,000	\$0	\$8.60	\$0.00
Caroline         \$242,000           Carroll         \$3,521,000           Cecil         \$1,102,000           Charles*         \$4,002,000           Dorchester         \$136,000	\$0	\$20.98	\$0.00
Carroll       \$3,521,000         Cecil       \$1,102,000         Charles*       \$4,002,000         Dorchester       \$136,000	-\$743,000	\$0.00	-\$8.12
Cecil       \$1,102,000         Charles*       \$4,002,000         Dorchester       \$136,000	\$0	\$7.29	\$0.00
Charles*         \$4,002,000           Dorchester         \$136,000	\$0	\$20.99	\$0.00
Dorchester \$136,000	\$0	\$10.73	\$0.00
	\$0	\$25.06	\$0.00
Frederick \$7,339,000	\$0	\$4.23	\$0.00
	\$0	\$29.12	\$0.00
Garrett \$0	\$0	\$0.00	\$0.00
Harford \$2,093,000	\$0	\$8.30	\$0.00
Howard* \$9,590,000	\$0	\$29.86	\$0.00
Kent \$0	-\$3,000	\$0.00	-\$0.15
Montgomery* \$0	-\$17,585,000	\$0.00	-\$16.61
Prince George's* \$30,148,000	\$0	\$33.03	\$0.00
Queen Anne's \$1,112,000	\$0	\$22.34	\$0.00
St. Mary's \$427,000	\$0	\$3.79	\$0.00
Somerset \$0	-\$82,000	\$0.00	-\$3.16
Talbot \$2,333,000	\$0	\$62.88	\$0.00
Washington \$871,000	\$0	\$5.78	\$0.00
Wicomico \$939,000	\$0	\$9.12	\$0.00
Worcester \$1,213,000	\$0	\$23.47	\$0.00
Total \$94,451,000	₩º	۱۴۰ریپ	Ψ0.00

Source: Maryland Department of Legislative Services (DLS). "Overview of Maryland Local Governments, Finances and Demographic Information". January 2019. Notes: \*Includes Special Property Tax Rates.

Again, Maryland REALTORS® appreciates the intent of the Homeownership Protection Program, however, must oppose HB 852 given its real-estate specific funding stream. Real estate pays more than its fair share in Maryland and a program such as this to benefit any homeowner in Maryland should be broad based.

Maryland REALTORS® respectfully request an unfavorable report of HB 852.

For more information, please contact <u>bill.castelli@mdrealtor.org</u> or susan.mitchell@mdrealtor.org or lisa.may@mdrealtor.org

