HB 1202_FAV_MML.pdf Uploaded by: Justin Fiore Position: FAV



Maryland Municipal League The Association of Maryland's Cities and Towns

ΤΕSΤΙΜΟΝΥ

March 25, 2022

Committee: Senate Education, Health and Environmental Affairs Committee

Bill: HB 1202 – Local Government Cybersecurity – Coordination and Operations (Local Cybersecurity Act of 2022)

Position: Support

Reason for Position:

The Maryland Municipal League supports HB 1202, which would establish a new cybersecurity framework in the State that includes local coordination, technical support, and financial assistance to local governments rising to meet modern threats.

Cities and towns are grateful to the sponsors for their leadership and nuanced approach to establish the tools and resources necessary to assist local governments in a comprehensive manner. We believe this is a great example of a State and local partnership to protect our shared constituencies.

The Maryland Municipal League therefore respectfully requests the Committee provide HB 1202 with a favorable report.

FOR MORE INFORMATION CONTACT:

.		Executive Director Director, Government Relations Director, Research & Policy Analysis Manager, Government Relations
----------	--	---

HB1202-EHE_MACo_SWA.pdf Uploaded by: Dominic Butchko

Position: FWA



House Bill 1202

Local Government Cybersecurity - Coordination and Operations (Local Cybersecurity Support Act of 2022)

MACo Position: SUPPORT
WITH AMENDMENTS

To: Education, Health, and Environmental Affairs Committee

Date: March 25, 2022

From: Dominic J. Butchko

A strong partnership between the State and local governments is essential for safeguarding critical infrastructure and defending against increasingly complex cyber risks. MACo urges the General Assembly to provide a meaningful and lasting State commitment to bolster cybersecurity and prioritize cyber resilience through collaborative efforts to identify, protect against, detect, and respond to malicious cyber threats.

Hackers are increasingly targeting states and local governments with sophisticated cyberattacks. Securing government information systems is critical, as a cyber intrusion can be very disruptive, jeopardizing sensitive information, public safety, and the delivery of essential services.

MACo advocates for the State to offer shared service agreements, 24/7 network monitoring, real-time incident response, statewide risk assessments, and a dedicated cybersecurity support fund to help local governments upgrade IT infrastructure. This will ensure an equitable approach to cyber preparedness and resilience across the state.

Legacy systems — outdated digital software or hardware — are generally unable to interact with any newer systems or implement necessary cybersecurity measures to safeguard critical data and sensitive information. As such, MACo urges the State to prioritize updating outdated technology platforms, which is vital for reducing cybersecurity risks, enhancing service delivery, and boosting government transparency and accountability.

Rising cyber liability insurance premiums and fewer insurance carriers have left counties facing difficulty acquiring and renewing coverage by leveraging its purchasing power. MACo believes the State can provide an affordable solution to ensure local governments remain cyber resilient in times of crisis.

By dedicating needed resources and streamlining collaboration, communication, and coordination, the State can help lead local governments, school systems, and critical infrastructure toward a more cyber-secure future.

The work of the Ad Hoc Committee on State and Local Cybersecurity of the Maryland Cybersecurity Council embodied this spirit in its report. The referenced bills deserve continued stakeholder attention to coalesce behind similar principles. MACo and its member counties stand ready to collaborate to develop a cohesive statutory framework to advance these mutual state/local goals, and request a report of **FAVORABLE WITH AMENDMENTS** on HB 1202.

112 HB 1202.pdf Uploaded by: Randy Guy Position: FWA

ST. MARY'S COUNTY GOVERNMENT

COMMISSIONERS OF ST. MARY'S COUNTY



James R. Guy, President Eric Colvin, Commissioner Michael L. Hewitt, Commissioner Todd B. Morgan, Commissioner John E. O'Connor, Commissioner

House Bill 1202 'Local Government Cybersecurity -Coordination and Operations (Local Cybersecurity Support Act of 2022)

SUPPORT WITH AMENDMENTS OFFERED BY THE MARYLAND ASSOCIATION OF **COUNTIES (MACo)**

March 24, 2022

Senator Paul G. Pinsky, Chair Maryland Senate Education, Health & Environmental Affairs Committee Miller Senate Office Building, 2 West 11 Bladen St. Annapolis, MD 21401

Dear Chairman Pinsky:

The Commissioners of St. Mary's County support with the amendments offered by The Maryland Association of Counties (MACo) HB1202 - Local Government Cybersecurity - Coordination and Operations (Local Cybersecurity Support Act of 2022) which will be heard in the Education, Health & Environmental Affairs Committee.

We request a favorable with amendments report on HB 1202. If amended to include MACo's suggested amendments, we SUPPORT the introduction of this legislation and believe this legislation will benefit the citizens of St. Mary's County. Thank you for your consideration, as well as your attention to this matter.

> Sincerely, COMMISSIONERS OF ST. MARY'S COUNTY

James Randy Guy, President

CSMC/AB/sf T:/Consent/2022/112

Cc: Senator Jack Bailey

Delegate Matthew Morgan Delegate Gerald Clark Delegate Brian Crosby Commissioner Eric Colvin Commissioner Michael Hewitt Commissioner Todd Morgan Commissioner John O'Connor David Weiskopf, Interim County Administrator John S. Houser, Assistant County Attorney

ST. MARY'S COUNTY GOVERNMENT

COMMISSIONERS OF ST. MARY'S COUNTY



James R. Guy, President Eric Colvin, Commissioner Michael L. Hewitt, Commissioner Todd B. Morgan, Commissioner John E. O'Connor, Commissioner

House Bill 1202 'Local Government Cybersecurity -Coordination and Operations (Local Cybersecurity Support Act of 2022)

SUPPORT WITH AMENDMENTS OFFERED BY THE MARYLAND ASSOCIATION OF **COUNTIES (MACo)**

March 24, 2022

Senator Paul G. Pinsky, Chair Maryland Senate Education, Health & Environmental Affairs Committee Miller Senate Office Building, 2 West 11 Bladen St. Annapolis, MD 21401

Dear Chairman Pinsky:

The Commissioners of St. Mary's County support with the amendments offered by The Maryland Association of Counties (MACo) HB1202 - Local Government Cybersecurity - Coordination and Operations (Local Cybersecurity Support Act of 2022) which will be heard in the Education, Health & Environmental Affairs Committee.

We request a favorable with amendments report on HB 1202. If amended to include MACo's suggested amendments, we SUPPORT the introduction of this legislation and believe this legislation will benefit the citizens of St. Mary's County. Thank you for your consideration, as well as your attention to this matter.

> Sincerely, COMMISSIONERS OF ST. MARY'S COUNTY

James Randy Guy, President

CSMC/AB/sf T:/Consent/2022/112

Cc: Senator Jack Bailey

Delegate Matthew Morgan Delegate Gerald Clark Delegate Brian Crosby Commissioner Eric Colvin Commissioner Michael Hewitt Commissioner Todd Morgan Commissioner John O'Connor David Weiskopf, Interim County Administrator John S. Houser, Assistant County Attorney

IT and Cybersecurity Uploaded by: Ellen Robertson Position: UNF

Larry Hogan Governor

Boyd K. Rutherford Lt Governor



Ellington E. Churchill, Jr. Secretary

Nelson E. Reichart Deputy Secretary

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

BILL:	House Bill 1205 State Government – Information Technology and Cybersecurity – Related Infrastructure and House Bill 1346 State Government - Cybersecurity - Coordination and Governance
COMMITTEE:	Senate Education, Health, and Environmental Affairs
DATE:	March 25, 2022
POSITION:	Letter of Concern

Upon review, House Bill 1205 and House Bill 1346, the Maryland Department of General Services (DGS) provides these comments for your consideration.

The bills as amended and before you today significantly changes the State's regulatory framework related to cybersecurity and information technology. The most prominent being that the Department of Information Technology (DoIT) would become a primary procurement unit and procurement authority for all information technology would transfer from the DGS Office of State Procurement (OSP) to DoIT. These actions directly counter 2017 legislation that reformed Maryland procurement along with numerous studies and reports including the 2013 The Procurement Improvement Report completed by Treva Partners for the Maryland Board of Public Works, the 2014 Department of Legislative Services Review of Maryland's Procurement Policies and Structures, the General Assembly's 2015 One Maryland Blue Ribbon Commission created by Chapter 191, Acts of 2015, and the 2016 Report of the Commission to Modernize State Procurement.

Per Chapter 590, 2017 Maryland Laws (HB 1021), effective October 1, 2017, Maryland state procurement was centralized under DGS OSP and provided for the creation of the Chief Procurement Officer (CPO). The CPO leads the office by providing the most effective and efficient allocation of goods and services for Maryland. Since full implementation of Chapter 590 on October 1, 2019, the OSP continues to provide consistency in interpretation of procurement statutes and regulations; implementation of standardized policies, procedures, and best practices; and delivery of resources to all procurement offices throughout the State. These Bills will undo more than four years of preparation and implementation of the 57 recommendations from the Commission to Modernize State Procurement which included "Consolidate the procurement functions of State agencies", resulting in the reallocation of State resources and the expenditure of significant State funds.



Page 2 House Bills 1205 & 1346 March 25, 2022

The 2017 Modernization initiative to centralize and standardize procurement resulted in many hours of planning, policy and procedure development, realignment of Agency staff, and a number of other process improvement initiatives. These Bills will require all of the work previously done to be revisited and amended to address the change as well as to be recreated at DoIT to address their new role. This would result in a **significant and unnecessary expenditure of state funds**, a **waste of the capital** used to implement the initiative, and the **elimination of the many efficiencies created**.

House Bill 1346 provides DoIT authority to "providing or coordinating the procurement of managed cybersecurity services" (State Finance and Procurement § 3.5-303(a)(5). This is another area of concern for DGS where "providing procurement" should be retained in the Office of State Procurement. **DGS looks forward to coordinating with DoIT for their IT procurement needs.**

House Bill 1205 goes further and designates DoIT as a primary procurement unit and exempts procurements by DoIT below \$1 million from BPW approval resulting in the elimination of any procurement oversight previously in place. This is of significant concern as DoIT does not possess procurement experience and expertise.

While DoIT may possess cybersecurity expertise, DGS OSP is the State expert in procurement. To effectively provide for the public welfare **each entity should focus on their own area of proficiency**. The **Office of State Procurement should retain the centralized procurement authority for the State and** continue to coordinate with DoIT to procure the necessary goods and services to carry out their agency mission.

For additional information, contact Ellen Robertson at 410-260-2908.

Revised & Corrected Testimony Uploaded by: Ellen Robertson

Position: UNF

Larry Hogan Governor

Boyd K. Rutherford Lt Governor



Ellington E. Churchill, Jr. Secretary

Nelson E. Reichart Deputy Secretary

_____ OFFICE OF THE SECKE

Corrected & Revised 3/28/2022

BILL:	House Bill 1202 State Government – Coordination and Operations and House Bill 1346 State Government - Cybersecurity - Coordination and Governance
COMMITTEE:	Senate Education, Health, and Environmental Affairs
DATE:	March 25, 2022
POSITION:	Letter of Concern

Upon review, House Bill 1202 and House Bill 1346, the Maryland Department of General Services (DGS) provides these comments for your consideration.

The bills as amended and before you today significantly changes the State's regulatory framework related to cybersecurity and information technology. The most prominent being that the Department of Information Technology (DoIT) would become a primary procurement unit and procurement authority for all information technology would transfer from the DGS Office of State Procurement (OSP) to DoIT. These actions directly counter 2017 legislation that reformed Maryland procurement along with numerous studies and reports including the 2013 The Procurement Improvement Report completed by Treya Partners for the Maryland Board of Public Works, the 2014 Department of Legislative Services Review of Maryland's Procurement Policies and Structures, the General Assembly's 2015 One Maryland Blue Ribbon Commission created by Chapter 191, Acts of 2015, and the 2016 Report of the Commission to Modernize State Procurement.

Per Chapter 590, 2017 Maryland Laws (HB 1021), effective October 1, 2017, Maryland state procurement was centralized under DGS OSP and provided for the creation of the Chief Procurement Officer (CPO). The CPO leads the office by providing the most effective and efficient allocation of goods and services for Maryland. Since full implementation of Chapter 590 on October 1, 2019, the OSP **continues to provide** consistency in interpretation of procurement statutes and regulations; implementation of standardized policies, procedures, and best practices; and delivery of resources to all procurement offices throughout the State. These Bills will undo **more than four years of preparation and implementation** of the 57 recommendations from the Commission to Modernize State Procurement which included "**Consolidate the procurement functions of State agencies**", resulting in the reallocation of State resources and the expenditure of significant State funds.

Toll Free 1-800-449-4347 email: Ellington. Churchill@maryland.gov email: Nelson. Reichart@maryland.gov TTY users 1-800-735-2258



Page 2 House Bills 1202 & 1346 March 25, 2022 Corrected & Revised 3/28/2022

The 2017 Modernization initiative to centralize and standardize procurement resulted in many hours of planning, policy and procedure development, realignment of Agency staff, and a number of other process improvement initiatives. These Bills will require all of the work previously done to be revisited and amended to address the change as well as to be recreated at DoIT to address their new role. This would result in a **significant and unnecessary expenditure of state funds**, a **waste of the capital** used to implement the initiative, and the **elimination of the many efficiencies created**.

House Bill 1346 provides DoIT authority to "providing or coordinating the procurement of managed cybersecurity services" (State Finance and Procurement § 3.5-303(a)(5). This is another area of concern for DGS where "providing procurement" should be retained in the Office of State Procurement. **DGS looks forward to coordinating with DoIT for their IT procurement needs.**

House Bill 1202 adds language stating the Office of Security Management within DoIT "**may procure resources**". This also is of concern and should be struck (State Finance and Procurement § 3.5-2A-03(G)).

While DoIT may possess cybersecurity expertise, DGS OSP is the State expert in procurement. To effectively provide for the public welfare **each entity should focus on their own area of proficiency**. The **Office of State Procurement should retain the centralized procurement authority for the State and** continue to coordinate with DoIT to procure the necessary goods and services to carry out their agency mission.

For additional information, contact Ellen Robertson at 410-260-2908.