



POSITION STATEMENT

TESTIMONY PRESENTED TO THE SENATE EDUCATION, HEALTH, AND ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

SENATE BILL 528 – CLIMATE SOLUTIONS NOW ACT OF 2022

Sponsor – Senator Pinsky, et al

February 15, 2022

**DONALD C. FRY
PRESIDENT & CEO
GREATER BALTIMORE COMMITTEE**

Position: Oppose

The Greater Baltimore Committee appreciates and supports the need to establish plans and standards to address the climate crisis. A collaborative approach between government, the private sector, and citizens is essential to meet the challenges being brought about by climate change. The GBC membership, comprised of businesses, nonprofit organizations, and educational institutions, recognizes that our institutions cannot thrive with ecological and public health problems brought about by our changing environment. Extreme weather disasters are becoming more frequent, imposing real costs on companies and the communities they help support. Climate change threatens facilities and operations, supply and distribution chains, and access to electricity and water. It can also impair employees' access to employment and impacts customers from buying products or services.

Legislation passed in Maryland to address climate change should be ambitious but achievable, consist of an incremental framework that provides for significant greenhouse gas reductions over a reasonable period of time, and not impose excessive costs on businesses that can ill afford to meet the standards in the law or consumers of energy. Requirements should also not vary greatly from any federal requirements in order to prevent a patchwork of conflicting regulatory requirements. Provisions to provide generous financial assistance in the form of grants or low interest loans should be made available to businesses that are required to make costly investments in new technology. Unfortunately, Senate Bill 528 does not meet this description.

Senate Bill 528 calls for a 60% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions by 2030. Although this is a laudable goal, it would appear that requiring such a reduction may be an overreach based on the best advice provided from the state's own environmental agency.

Current state law calls for a 40% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions by 2030. Last year, an analysis by Maryland's Department of the Environment confirmed that a 50% reduction by 2030 was feasible, with some additional policy decisions. The pending legislation calls for increasing the current statutory reduction by one-half, an increase from 40%-60%.

According to data from the Center for Climate and Energy Solutions, a global climate policy think tank, setting the standard to reduce the state's greenhouse gas emissions at 60% would be one of the most aggressive measures in the country. Although laudable and ambitious, this may create a standard that is not achievable.

Senate Bill 528 requires the owner of any existing commercial and multifamily residential buildings that have a gross floor area of 25,000 square feet or more, excluding parking, to begin measuring and reporting its direct

GREATER BALTIMORE COMMITTEE

111 South Calvert Street • Suite 1700 • Baltimore, Maryland • 21202-6180

(410) 727-2820 • www.gbc.org

emissions in 2025. Building owners would need to report a 20 percent reduction in net greenhouse gas emissions by 2030, a 40 percent reduction by 2035, and net-zero emissions by 2040.

For those buildings that cannot perform the required reductions, an unspecified fee would have to be paid for emissions exceeding the standards.

All new buildings would be prohibited from using natural gas or heating oil beginning in 2023. The legislation would also require all future construction to meet green energy code standards and cease fossil fuel hookups.

Natural gas is a critical fuel option for many Maryland based businesses. For years, businesses have relied heavily on natural gas to run their operations. Imposing restrictions on natural gas will likely lead to higher commodity cost. Reducing or removing accessibility to natural gas and forcing conversion to electric for commercial and industrial customers would present a considerable capital cost forcing businesses to invest significant funds to retrofit their operations.

The added cost of significantly altering business operations would jeopardize a company's ability to remain profitable and competitive. This would result in businesses looking to the state to subsidize the cost through financial assistance in the form of grants or low interest loans to meet the new state greenhouse gas standards.

The Greater Baltimore Committee believes that addressing climate concerns requires commitment from all parties, but the state must set reasonable and attainable goals and acknowledge realistic expectations regarding the cost of compliance for businesses. Commercial and industrial companies are important economic drivers and job creators in Maryland. Maryland businesses are still struggling from the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic recession, and adding costly new requirements too quickly could hamper economic growth and job creation.

The Greater Baltimore Committee report entitled Gaining A Competitive Edge outlines eight key pillars that promote economic growth and job creation. At least three of the pillars that are identified in the report are challenged by the passage of the climate control legislation as introduced:

1) Government leadership that unites with business as a partner.

Maryland leaders must set a welcoming tone that communicates positive support for business, respect for the private sector as a partner, not an adversary, and reflects a strategic plan for business growth and job creation.

2) Regulatory policies that are streamlined, stable, and predictable.

Maryland must project to businesses within and outside the state that its government regulatory policies are reasonable, relevant, free of surprises or redundancy, and considerate of businesses' sense of urgency.

3) Competitive costs of doing business.

Public policies must reflect a government predisposition to nurture business growth and to avoid arbitrarily or disproportionately imposing additional overhead upon the business sector.

For the reasons set forth above, the Greater Baltimore Committee urges the Maryland General Assembly to give due consideration to the business competitiveness and cost concerns outlined above in the passage of climate change legislation. As such, the GBC respectfully requests that the Education, Health, and Environmental Affairs Committee report Senate Bill 528 unfavorably.

The Greater Baltimore Committee (GBC) is a non-partisan, independent, regional business advocacy organization comprised of hundreds of businesses -- large, medium and small -- educational institutions, nonprofit organizations and foundations located in Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Carroll, Harford, and Howard counties as well as Baltimore City. The GBC is a 67-year-old, private-sector membership organization with a rich legacy of working with government to find solutions to problems that negatively affect our competitiveness and viability.