



TESTIMONY IN OPPOSITION OF SB 532

Election Law – Polling Places – Proof of Identity

Senate Education, Health, and Environmental Affairs Committee

February 23, 2022

Submitted by Julia Gross and Kali Schumitz, Co-Chairs

Member Agencies:

211 Maryland

Advocates for Children and Youth

Baltimore Jewish Council

Behavioral Health System Baltimore

CASH Campaign of Maryland

Energy Advocates

Episcopal Diocese of Maryland

Family League of Baltimore

Fuel Fund of Maryland

Job Opportunities Task Force

Laurel Advocacy & Referral Services,
Inc.

League of Women Voters of Maryland

Loyola University Maryland

Maryland Center on Economic Policy

Maryland Community Action
Partnership

Maryland Family Network

Maryland Hunger Solutions

Paul's Place

Welfare Advocates

Marylanders Against Poverty

Julia Gross, Co-Chair

P: 410-528-0021x6029

E: jgross@mdhungersolutions.org

Kali Schumitz, Co-Chair

P: 410-412- 9105 ext 701

E: kschumitz@mdeconomy.org

Marylanders Against Poverty (MAP) strongly opposes SB 532, which would require individuals voting to present proof of identity or to vote a provisional ballot if the voter is unable to provide proof of identity.

SB 532 is unnecessary. SB 532 is a solution to a non-existent problem. The most comprehensive national study on instances of voter fraud found there were only 2,068 alleged election-fraud cases since 2000 – and only ten of these cases were in-person voter fraud. The majority of the fraud cases were related to absentee ballots and registration errors – things that would not be addressed by voter ID laws. Of the 2,068 cases, only two of the alleged cases were in Maryland and neither was in-person voter fraud.¹

SB 532 will disproportionately affect communities of color, women, and seniors. A study out of Harvard and Tufts Universities found that Black voters are still more likely to lack adequate identification to vote under voter ID laws, concluding these laws clearly create a racial disparity on voting rights.² This complements the study conducted by the Brennan Center which found that 11% of voting-age United States citizens do not have current and valid photo ID and that the percentage was even higher for low-income individuals (15%), minorities (25%), and the elderly (18%).³ Another recent study conducted after the proliferation of voter ID laws in other states demonstrated that voter ID laws depresses Latino turnout by 9.3 points, Black and African American turnout by 8.6 points, and Asian American turnout by 12.5 points.⁴ To quote the study, “we find that voter identification laws do, in fact, substantially alter the makeup of who votes and ultimately do skew democracy in favor of whites [...] Voter ID laws may represent one of the Nation’s most important civil rights issues.”⁵

SB 532 will disenfranchise individuals experiencing homelessness. Keeping personal identification safe and secure without stable housing is nearly impossible; people often become the victims of theft or their documents deteriorate when exposed to the elements. Institutions such as hospitals, jails, and shelters often discard all of an individual’s belongings – including identifying documentation. A person leaving a domestic violence situation may not be able to retrieve important documents. Many individuals living in poverty – and especially those experiencing homelessness – often do not have such identifying documents. Ultimately, SB 532 would create significant barriers to voting - especially for the most vulnerable Marylanders.

SB 532 is costly. In order to inform voters of the changes in required documentation needed to vote, past fiscal notes indicates it would cost upwards of \$500,000 just for voter outreach for one year. That does not include the additional provisional ballots that would need to be printed, or the need to hire additional election judges in order to properly implement the voter identification requirement in populated jurisdictions. Moreover, most of the states with voter ID laws are facing expensive legal challenges, and through litigation several state laws have been overturned.⁶ In states who used

the referendum process to enact voter ID, hundreds of thousands of dollars were spent in the campaigns for and against the measure. Inserting an expensive new voting requirement decided by referendum - when there is no research to indicate widespread in-person voter fraud exists - is a grossly inefficient use of State resources.

SB 532 creates an excessive – and unwarranted – barrier to the constitutional right to vote. Marylanders living in or near poverty are already economically disenfranchised, and SB 532 will generate voter disenfranchisement for Marylanders who lack proper identification and the means to obtain required documentation for voting. The right to vote is central to our democracy; therefore, it must be protected. Any initiative that might disenfranchise eligible voters must meet the highest burden of proof. This bill cannot meet that burden.

MAP appreciates your consideration and urges the committee to issue an unfavorable report for SB 532.

***Marylanders Against Poverty (MAP)** is a coalition of service providers, faith communities, and advocacy organizations advancing statewide public policies and programs necessary to alleviate the burdens faced by Marylanders living in or near poverty, and to address the underlying systemic causes of poverty.*

¹ Khan & Carson. (2012). Comprehensive Database of U.S. Voter Fraud Uncovers No Evidence That Photo Id Is Needed. “Who Can Vote? Project.” News21. Retrieved from <http://votingrights.news21.com/article/election-fraud/>

² *A Dead Simple Algorithm Reveals the True Toll of Voter ID Laws*. January 2018. <https://www.wired.com/story/voter-id-law-algorithm/>

³ Brennan Center for Justice. (2006). “Citizens Without Proof”. Retrieved from <http://www.brennancenter.org/analysis/citizens-without-proof>

⁴ Hajnal, Z., Lajevardi, N., and Nielson, L. (2016). *Voter Identification Laws and the Suppression of Minority Votes*. University of California at San Diego. <http://pages.ucsd.edu/~zhajnal/page5/documents/voterIDhajnaletal.pdf>

⁵ Ibid.

⁶ National Conference of State Legislatures. (2014, May). *Voter ID in the Courts: An Introduction to legal challenges to voter ID laws*. http://www.ncsl.org/documents/legismgt/elect/Voter_ID_Courts_May2014.pdf