



Real Property Section

To: Environment and Transportation Committee

From: Legislative Committee of the Real Property Section Counsel

Date: February 25, 2022 [Hearing Date March 1, 2022]

Subject: **HB 1060** – Residential Owners in Common Ownership Communities Bill of Rights

Position: **Unfavorable**

The Real Property Section Counsel of the Maryland State Bar Association (MSBA) **opposes Senate House Bill 1060** – Residential Owners in Common Ownership Communities Bill of Rights. As a threshold matter, although HB 1060 is intended to apply to residential owners in common ownership communities, in the condominium context the definition of common ownership community under HB 1060 includes a “condominium” as defined in the Maryland Condominium Act, and “residential owner” is defined to mean a “unit owner” as defined in the Maryland Condominium Act. Under the Maryland Condominium Act, “condominium” and “unit owner” are defined to include any condominium regime and any condominium unit owner, not just residential condominiums and residential unit owners.

A consumer bill of rights is typically a statement or summary of provisions under existing law. However, HB 1060 purports to cover certain rights that do not exist under current Maryland law, including among others:

- The right to “be designated as a member of a common ownership community when the community makes that residential owner subject to a lien and to mandatory assessment.” Maryland laws on coops, condominiums, and HOAs define membership, as do existing covenants for existing associations, which should not be subject to any such “right” that defines membership in any inconsistent manner.
- The right to a community manager that is properly trained. There are no state laws that require a common interest community to have a community manager or that impose training requirements on community managers.
- The right of homeowner members to vote to approve any proposed changes to association governing documents and policies. Although Maryland law governs certain amendments to covenants or bylaws that require a vote of association members, other rules and policies of associations are enacted by the association’s governing board, under the authority delegated to the board by law and/or covenant and are not subject to a vote by all members.

These are just a few examples of problems with HB 1060 and not an exhaustive list. The Condominium Act and the Homeowners Associations Act have numerous provisions relating to

governance, operation, and affairs of condos and HOAs. The provisions in each act have been enacted (and, as to many, amended) over time. These provisions deal with specific rules for specific provisions. If any of these provisions should be amended, then each suggested change should be individually considered on its own merits.

HB 1060 would enact overriding provisions that may or may not dovetail with specific laws that are in the Condominium Act and the Homeowners Associations Act. What happens when one of the general principles of HB 1060 conflicts with the law as it now exists? One can easily anticipate the confusion that will result. In short, if HB 1060 were enacted it would create an unworkable dual track of community association law containing many inconsistencies with existing Maryland laws that govern coops, condominiums and HOAs.

For these reasons, the Real Property Section Counsel of the MSBA **opposes HB 1060** and asks for an **unfavorable report**. Thank you for your consideration.