Inarguably, in biology something is defined as "alive" or "living" if ... 1. It is cell based (animal or plant cell) and 2. If it is growing. Note for instance if you cut a flower (since it is no longer growing) it is not considered "alive". A diamond "grows" in the ground over many years but it is not, by scientific definition, "alive" because it is not cell based. Living things are VERY CLEARLY defined. There is no grey area in science.

Another rule in biology is the method whereby an animal's phylum (type) is defined. Animals are defined by who were their parents. For instance, the offspring of a male donkey and female horse is called a "mule" whereas the offspring of a female donkey and a male horse is called a "hinny". Their parents made them what they are.

So regardless of what anyone says or wants to represent... what is inside (or outside) the womb of a human woman is a cell based, growing, "living being" that by scientific definition is... a human being (a LIVING... HUMAN BEING).

Arguably, recent (60 years) of politics have allowed this living being to be legally murdered. But when considering the morality of this situation, please consider that 200 years ago it was also legal not only to own a slave but also to kill that slave whenever the owner chose to. As Pontius Pilot would say... "What is truth? Is truth unchanging law"?

So what should control what makes our actions "legal"? Politics or Scientific (unchanging) laws?