

Office of Government Relations 88 State Circle Annapolis, Maryland 21401

**HB 991** 

March 29, 2022

**TO:** Members of the Senate Judicial Proceedings Committee

FROM: Natasha Mehu, Director, Office of Government Relations

**RE:** HB 991 - Baltimore City - Civilian Review Board and Police Accountability

Board

POSITION: LETTER OF INFORMATION

Chair Smith, Vice Waldstreicher, and Members of the Committee, please be advised that the Baltimore City Administration (BCA) is submitting this **letter of information** on HB 991 in light of the Baltimore City Senate Delegation vote to table HB 991 and its crossfile SB 441 at the Friday, March 15, 2022 meeting of the Delegation. **The BCA supports the Delegation's vote to table the bills for this session to** ensure there is the opportunity to establish the boards mandated under last year's historic police reform and that there is careful consideration of the role of the historic Civilian Review Board (CRB) given the establishment of the new boards.

HB 991 was amended in the House to provide the Police Accountability Board (PAB) established under HB 670 (2021) with the CRB's concurrent investigatory powers and subpoena powers. Additionally, the bill mandated that Baltimore City allocate at least \$1.5M of its budget to the operation of PAB. Finally, the uncodified language provided a process for establishing an independent counsel for the boards under an MOU, transitioning responsibilities from the CRB to the PAB under an MOU, and dissolving the CRB once certain conditions were met.

The Administration was supportive of much of the uncodified language in HB 991 setting forth a process of transition between the CRB and new boards via MOU. However, we remained concerned about granting the CRB's concurrent investigatory and subpoena powers to the PAB. Rather the BCA was prepared to propose amendments to grant the concurrent investigatory and subpoena powers of the CRB to the Administrative Charging Committee (ACC). Our reasoning was that under HB 670 (2021) the PAB is an advisory board and the ACC is charged with being the workhorse to review and make determinations on disciplinary action. Both the PAB and ACC have civilian members as mandated by HB 670. It would be duplicative and complicated to have the PAB, ACC, and police investigators performing investigations. Arguably however it could strengthen the powers HB 670 granted the ACC to provide them the subpoena and concurrent investigatory powers that the CRB currently has as they review and make determinations on disciplinary actions.

We also opposed the mandate on the City's budget as it frustrated the City's ability to manage its own budget through the charter required public budget process in which the Mayor introduces a budget, there is a public process for residents to weigh in, and the City Council votes to approve.

The sponsors rejected those amendments and noted they would withdraw their bills should the Administration's amendments be adopted. Instead of adopting amendments and having the bills withdrawn, the Baltimore City Senate Delegation voted to lay the bills on the table in light of these concerns and the inability of the parties to come to a consensus on the appropriate path forward. The maker of the adopted motion noted that we all should "pause" on any changes to the existing CRB as well as to the Police Accountability Board and Administrative Charging Committees that the City is required to establish under HB 670 (2021). It was raised that the Administration should spend the interim implementing HB 670 (2021), continuing to have the CRB operate in its existing capacity, and come back next year once it has been determined what the best path forward for the CRB would be given the establishment of new boards.

This approach ensures there is the opportunity to establish the boards mandated under last year's historic police reform and that there is careful consideration of the role of the historic CRB given the establishment of the new boards. In short, it ensures we avoid any unintended consequences.

Sincerely,

Natasha Mehu

Director

Mayor's Office of Government Relations (MOGR)