

Senate Bill 783

Constitutional Amendment - Environmental Rights

MACo Position: **OPPOSE**To: Judicial Proceedings and Education, Health, and Environmental Affairs Committees

Date: March 9, 2022 From: Dominic J. Butchko and Michael Sanderson

The Maryland Association of Counties (MACo) **OPPOSES** SB 783. The bill creates a new and vaguely defined constitutional right and an expansive new class of litigants with broad standing rights to litigate or intervene. SB 783 would amend the Maryland Constitution to create a new environmental right under the Declaration of Rights for any "person," a dramatic departure from decades of practice.

This newly fashioned right would provide an opportunity for virtually anyone to litigate over "...the air, land, water, wildlife, and ecosystems..." of Maryland. The amendment would make the State both, "...the trustee of the state's natural resources..." and charge the State to, "conserve, protect, and enhance the State's natural resources for the benefit of any person, including present and future generations."

This language is both vague and overbroad. It could be argued that many basic, essential services provided by a local government could unreasonably degrade natural resources, including: transportation, water and sewer services, emergency management, and planning and zoning. There are already adequate legal remedies available to address valid environmental concerns, without creating a new ill-defined, legally enforceable right. Enshrining such indeterminate entitlements into the state constitution invites myriad unintended consequences and unanticipated costs.

Longstanding and well-established environmental standards could by upended by an aberrant court decision, causing major policy changes and costs for local governments. Furthermore, the bill would empower any person to enforce or intervene in any case involving a right created by the bill, against any public party. This upsets Maryland's own well considered standing requirements that have been developed over decades by the Maryland General Assembly and the Judiciary.

SB 783 would create a new vaguely defined constitutional right that grants broad standing to bring litigation against any party, resulting in a potentially significant increase in costs due to additional litigation over virtually any project or action. Accordingly, MACo requests the Committee give SB 783 an UNFAVORABLE report.