

Isabelle Raquin, Partner Licensed in MD & NY

Steve Mercer, Partner Licensed in MD & DC

Judge Gary E. Bair (Ret.), Of Counsel Licensed in MD

POSITION IN FAVOR OF HOUSE BILL 559

I am a recently retired judge, having served on the Circuit Court for Montgomery County from 2012 to 2020. Prior to that time, I was involved in criminal cases over many decades as Chief of the Criminal Appeals Division of the Maryland Attorney General's Office, as public defender and private defense attorney, and as a long-time law school adjunct professor teaching Criminal Procedure. I am now Of Counsel to the Rockville law firm of RaquinMercer LLC. House Bill 559, to amend the probation before judgment statute and facilitate such dispositions that are "immigrant friendly," would be a positive step forward for the courts, prosecutors, and defendants alike. For the reasons stated below, I urge the Committee to issue a favorable report on this bill.

Probation Before Judgment (PBJ) is widely used by judges in Maryland where nonviolent first-time offenders can receive the benefit of probation without the burden of a criminal conviction on their record. Often these are young people who go on to live highly successful lives because they have been given this break. Immigrants who are not yet citizens, however, are subject to deportation even when they receive a PBJ because federal immigration courts currently treat this disposition as a "conviction" even though Maryland state courts do not.

There is a straightforward way to remedy this problem and that is to amend the current statute so that a PBJ can be entered when a court finds facts justifying a guilty *finding* rather than entering the disposition only after a guilty verdict. This is already done in a similar fashion when defendants enter a nolo contendere plea that is accepted by the court. Another analogous proceeding that occurs with some regularity is a not guilty plea followed by an agreed statement of facts. House Bill 559 would add yet another route to a PBJ, namely a not guilty plea followed by a proffer of facts sufficient for a guilty verdict and a waiver of rights by the defendant. This is the mechanism already used in Virginia courts that the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit (which also covers Maryland) has ruled is not a "conviction" for federal immigration purposes.

As this Committee knows, there is a broad consensus of support for this much needed legislation. In addition to immigrant advocacy groups, the Maryland State's Attorneys' Association, the Maryland Criminal Defense Attorneys' Association, the Maryland Attorney General's Office, and a coalition of former federal judges support this Bill. As a former Maryland state trial judge, I, too, support it. This broadened statute would end the needless confusion surrounding minor cases where noncitizens receive a PBJ, but still are faced with possible deportation. There would be certainty for the prosecutor and crime victim, for the defendant and defense counsel, and for the courts. With this amended PBJ statute, time consuming appeals and post-conviction/coram nobis proceedings would be all but eliminated. It is a win for the judiciary, interested parties, and all Marylanders. I urge this committee to issue a favorable report on House Bill 559.

RAQUINMERCER. COM

RaquinMercer LLC - 50 West Montgomery Ave., Suite 200, Rockville, MD 20850

isabelle@raquinmercer.com

steve@raquinmercer.com

judgebair@raquinmercer.com