

Bill Number: HB 272

**Scott D. Shellenberger, State's Attorney for Baltimore County
Support**

WRITTEN TESTIMONY OF SCOTT D. SHELLENBERGER,
STATE'S ATTORNEY FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY,
IN SUPPORT OF HOUSE BILL 272
INTERCEPTED COMMUNICATIONS – PENALTIES AND ADMISSIBILITY OF
EVIDENCE

I write in support of House Bill 272 that updates an out of date law concerning the recording of oral communications particularly over the telephone. Maryland Wire Tap Statute is found at Court and Judicial Proceedings (CJ) §10-406. It is an out of date vestige of a past time when switch boards were the mode of communication.

Maryland is a two party consent state when it comes to the recording of oral communications especially through the telephone. Thirty-eight States are one party consent states that require only one party to a conversation "consent" to the recording. Maryland has long had a statutory scheme in which law enforcement, under a judges supervision, are permitted to record telephone conversations when they have probable cause to believe telephones are being use to commit crimes.

Because CJ is a vestige of the past, recording someone orally both over the telephone and in person has been labeled a felony punishable up to 5 years in jail. Recording visually has never been against the law.

In today's reality people record everything both visually and orally. Most people are unaware when they break out their phones and hit camera/record they are breaking the law in Maryland.

House Bill 272 does not change Maryland to a one party consent State. But what it does do is bring us closer to this decade. Changing audio recording from a felony to a misdemeanor makes logical sense in today's world. It keeps it a crime preserving Maryland's decision to be two party consent State but brings it to a more reasonable penalty in a time when everyone more readily accepts audio recording.

House Bill 272 is a bill whose time is long overdue and brings Maryland into the reality of this decade. I urge a favorable report.