

House Bill 459 Juvenile Law – Juvenile Justice Reform January 27, 2022 Support

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Pamela L. Vickrey*

DEPUTY DIRECTOR

William R. Russell

ATTORNEYS

Robert L. Donohoe Sam N. Pappas Erin M. Flowers Hannah E. Welch Alexa L. Arndt Daniela M. Diaz Erin N. Strahm Hilary S. Forbush

MANAGING APPELLATE ATTORNEY

Monica Maio*

APPELLATE ATTORNEY

Marina M. Peña*

L.C.S.W.

Sariah Donnahoo Tora Graham

OFFICE MANAGER

Bobbi L. Lewis

*JTIP Certified

Dear Chairman Clippinger and Honorable Members of the Committee:

UJDA is a stand-alone office serving court-involved youth in Salt Lake County. UJDA, and its predecessors Pappas and Associates, Rilling and Associates, and Olsen and Olsen, have continuously and exclusively held the contract for juvenile defense representation in Salt Lake County since 1981. In those 39 years, the firm has been able to maintain attorneys long-term as the firm has expanded. In fact, one attorney has been representing youth with UJDA and its predecessors for 32 years; three attorneys for over 20 years; one for ten years. Combined, UJDA's eleven attorneys have 135 years of experience defending society's most vulnerable population.

UJDA is dedicated to promoting justice for children by ensuring excellence in juvenile defense from first appearance through expungement. Types of cases handled by UJDA's attorneys include everything from minor drug offenses to first-degree murder and everything in between. Furthermore, UJDA attorneys represent children in Third District specialty courts and at parole revocation hearings statewide.

UJDA supports House Bill 459, which would align Maryland's laws that impact children with established adolescent development science. Maryland is one of the worst offenders of system-involved children's human rights in the nation ranking at the bottom with Alabama and Tennessee. House Bill 459 provides us an opportunity not only to course correct, but also to leverage system reductions related to COVID-19 and transform our youth justice system into one that benefits more young people, families, and communities. These reforms will ensure that as many children as possible are treated with community-based services that lead to better public safety outcomes at a fraction of the cost of deep end interventions. If done intentionally, there is the opportunity to also reduce the pervasive racial disparities that persist in Maryland. We urge the Committee issue a favorable report on House Bill 459, the Juvenile Justice Reform Act.



House Bill 459 targets four areas that move Maryland closer to our vision for youth justice:

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Pamela L. Vickrey*

DEPUTY DIRECTOR

William R. Russell

ATTORNEYS

Robert L. Donohoe Sam N. Pappas Erin M. Flowers Hannah E. Welch Alexa L. Arndt Daniela M. Diaz Erin N. Strahm Hilary S. Forbush

MANAGING APPELLATE ATTORNEY

Monica Maio*

APPELLATE ATTORNEY

Marina M. Peña*

L.C.S.W.

Sariah Donnahoo Tora Graham

OFFICE MANAGER

Bobbi L. Lewis

*JTIP Certified

- 1. Raises the Minimum Age of Juvenile Court Jurisdiction: If we want a truly rehabilitation juvenile justice system in Maryland we must raise the minimum age of jurisdiction to 13.
- 2. Place Developmentally Appropriate Time Limits on Probation: When youth are placed on indefinite periods of probation, doing well on probation does not bring them closer to a light at the end of the tunnel, yet doing poorly can quickly land a youth in detention. Experts recommend that youth be placed on a period of six to nine months of probation, if they need to be placed on probation at all, and that "even for those who struggle to meet their goals, the period of probation should generally not exceed one year."²
- 3. Removes Barriers to Diversion: There is a need to expand diversion and utilize it equitably by requiring informal adjustment of misdemeanors (excluding handgun possession) and non-violent felonies for all youth who have not previously been adjudicated delinquent. There are also two additional ways in which the bill will expand use of diversion eliminate the requirement that DJS forward complaints of non-violent felonies to the State's Attorney for approval of informal adjustment and eliminate the requirement of complaining witness consent. We would maintain the requirement that DJS make reasonable efforts to contact the alleged victim, however, whichmaintains witness satisfaction while keeping the burden of gaining victim permission off children, as it is for adults.
- 4. Bans Youth Incarceration for Low-Level Offenses: Youth whose most serious alleged offense is a misdemeanor or atechnical violation of probation may not be placed in jails or prisons, which ends the harms of juvenile incarcerationfor low-level offenders and allows DJS to better leverage its resources to provide focused programming for those young people who face the most serious charges and are at the highest risk of re-offense.

In 2020, Utah raised the minimum age of juvenile court jurisdiction to age 12.

UJDA urges this committee to issue a favorable report on House Bill 459.