

Chair Wilson and Members of the House Economic Matters Committee:

I am writing to you as a concerned farmer and producer in the hemp industry. I have dedicated my life and my livelihood to creating and formulating products for the betterment of my community. The untapped therapeutic potential of hemp is my passion.

Growing up in the outskirts of Baltimore City, I am not unfamiliar with the narcotic and opioid-laden atrocities plaguing our state, especially our youth. After watching tragedy strike neighbors and family, I sought out ways to assist my community without contributing to the problem. By providing quality, science-based therapeutic alternatives in the form of full spectrum and cannabinoid-specific hemp products this industry has been able to positively impact and improve the lives of so many – and ultimately, avoid the ill-fated downturn many individuals were approaching. The availability of these products in specialty shops and grocery stores allows access to a community that has been routinely ostracized from forward progress and much needed help. The hemp industry has afforded me the opportunity to help countless members of my family, friends, and community in a responsible and ethical way.

I am writing to express my deep concerns about the proposed language in the Cannabis Reform Act, HB0556, that aims to lower the acceptable Delta-9-Tetrahydrocannabinol concentration below the federal threshold of 1% on a dry weight basis (§ 36-101 (C)(1); Page 18 line 19), to ban “cannabinoid products not derived from naturally occurring biologically active chemical Constituents”;(§ 36-1103(2)(B); Page 70, lines 8-10), and to place a cap on THC at 0.5mg per serving and 2.5mg per package for those without a recreational cannabis license. (§ 36-1103 (A)(1); Page 69, lines 23-27).

This language is not only misleading but it would render hundreds of products that are currently protected under federal law illegal. As written, this bill would devastate hemp industry in Maryland and thus, would result in the closure of hundreds of family-owned, small, and minority owned businesses like those I am affiliated with. It would destroy our industry overnight without any input from industry participants. The Hemp Industry in Maryland has worked hard to create common sense regulations for these types of products.. We readily stand to support amendments that would protect public safety as well as the industry's ability to participate in the free market.

We want regulation, but we do not want to lose our businesses which are protected by federal law due to the implementation of the recreational cannabis industry. A collaborative effort between the hemp and cannabis industry can and should exist as that is what is best for our industry as well as what is best for the consumers of these products.

Most people who purchase these Refined Hemp Cannabinoid products are buying them specifically because they have tremendous therapeutic benefits and do not create the long lasting intense "high" produced by recreational cannabis. Our industry serves a different customer. In addition the prices of these products are much less expensive than what is currently offered by the medical marijuana dispensaries and allow those who are economically disadvantaged to be able to purchase products that improve their daily lives at a fraction of the cost. We are a resource to many underserved communities. The hemp industry in Maryland requests that § 36-1103. 2(B) "A PERSON MAY NOT SELL OR DISTRIBUTE A CANNABINOID PRODUCT THAT IS NOT DERIVED FROM NATURALLY OCCURRING BIOLOGICALLY ACTIVE CHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS" be struck and regulatory language be amended into the appropriate section of this legislation.

In addition, the proposed cap on THC at 0.5mg per serving and 2.5mg per package without a legal cannabis license (§ 36-1103 (A)(1)) will make it impossible for small hemp businesses to

comply. This legislation would have a profound impact on the hemp industry in Maryland and would result in the closure of hundreds of small family-owned businesses of which over 30% are minority owned. There is already a real climate of social equity in the hemp industry that would be eradicated by this original language as written. The proposed THC cap, in particular, would render all hemp full-spectrum CBD products illegal, despite their federally legal status and pharmacies across the state.

Establishing limits like these on any products containing cannabinoids should be based on science. Given the past prohibition of hemp and cannabis in general, we lack the important research needed to make these science-based determinations. Making these determinations at this point would be pure speculation. Full-spectrum CBD products contain trace amounts of THC, below 0.3%, which is considered to be within the legal limit under federal law. However, this proposed cap of 0.5mg per serving and 2.5mg per package would effectively move the threshold to 0.05% and make these products significantly less effective and illegal, removing them from the free market and limiting consumer choice by forcing consumers to buy them from an adult use cannabis facility as opposed to a CBD specialty store, pharmacy, or grocery store.

This legislation would criminalize a federally legal industry while legalizing a federally illegal one, making it an ill-advised approach to the issue. This language would further

consolidate the cannabis industry and destroy the small business community in the hemp industry which I'm sure is not the intention of the legislature. We ask that language in (§ 36-1103 (A)(1); Page 69, lines 23-27) which aims to place a cap on THC at 0.5mg per serving and 2.5mg per package for those without a recreational cannabis license be amended to mirror the federal law which limits these products to 0.3% of Delta 9 THC on a dry weight basis. This amendment will ensure that the hemp industry in Maryland can continue to thrive and not be

placed at a disadvantage when compared to other states. Please do not kill our industry that we worked so hard to build. The intent and goals behind the implementation of the recreational cannabis industry would still be accomplished without the need to crush our industry in the process.

I urge you to reconsider the language in this bill and to consult with the hemp industry before enacting legislation.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Michael Kwiatkowski II

Proposed Amendments to HB556

Page 18, line 19: (C) (1) A DELTA-9-TETRAHYDROCANNABINOL
CONCENTRATION GREATER THAN [0.3%] 1% ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS.

Page 69, lines 24: (A) (1) [0.5 MILLIGRAMS OF TETRAHYDROCANNABINOL PER
SERVING OR 2.5 MILLIGRAMS OF TETRAHYDROCANNABINOL] 1% DELTA-9-
TETRAHYDROCANNABINOL ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS UNLESS THE PERSON IS
LICENSED

Page 70, Line 8, STIRKE : [(B) A PERSON MAY NOT SELL OR DISTRIBUTE A
CANNABINOID PRODUCT THAT IS NOT DERIVED FROM NATURALLY
OCCURRING BIOLOGICALLY ACTIVE CHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS.