

Although I agree with Delegate Mark Fisher's reasons for why a CoS would be helpful to correct some of today's problems with a broken D.C. and curb the Federal government's power (such as single-subject bills only), I have major reservations with a CoS as well, for reasons stated in this article/video (<https://theamericanview.com/blogs/news/convention-of-states-the-good-bad-and-ugly> ). I have posted key points from it:

- Congress seems to think that the requirement to “call a convention for proposing amendments” gives it the power to do much more.
- Even though the Constitution gives no such role to it, Congress seems to believe they should be deeply involved in the convention process.
- Washington, D.C. routinely ignores the Constitution as written. What makes people think that they won't simply ignore any new amendments?
- All three branches of the government in Washington, D.C. routinely violate most, if not all, of the amendments to the Constitution.
- Most of the problems we find in Washington, D.C. is not a fault in the Constitution, but in our unwillingness to enforce it.
- Many of the amendments that the Convention of States Action calls for are already covered by the existing Constitution.
- Before we ...change the Constitution, maybe we should try enforcing it first.

I think that this bill has merits to be debated in the Assembly but all the concerns above need to be seriously considered because we don't want to end up with a bigger problem than the one we started with. Given the current movement of certain entities to turn America into a socialist country, and the level of infiltration we are seeing, I believe a safer course of action *at this time* is to simply abide by the Constitution and start holding those who don't follow it to account.

There are groups out there *right now* who want nothing more than to completely and utterly destroy the Constitution. For this reason, I cannot support this bill at this time.