

Education Advocacy Coalition

for Students with Disabilities

SENATE EDUCATION, ENERGY, AND ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

HOUSE BILL 1237

SPECIAL EDUCATION—JUDICIAL ACTIONS—ATTORNEY'S FEES AND RELATED COSTS

APRIL 4, 2023

POSITION: SUPPORT

The Education Advocacy Coalition for Students with Disabilities (EAC), a coalition of approximately 40 organizations and individuals concerned with education policy for students with disabilities in Maryland, strongly supports House Bill 1237, which would allow parents to recover attorney's fees and expert witness costs if they are the prevailing party at a special education due process hearing or court proceeding.

House Bill 1237 is, at the heart of it, about access and equity: it seeks to ensure that families of low and moderate income, as well as those with more resources, are able to exercise the procedural protections afforded them under federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), 20 U.S.C. 1400 *et. seq.*, and state special education laws. These procedural protections are meant to ensure that students are able to receive the free appropriate public education guaranteed to them by the IDEA and state law. These procedural protections include the right to seek dispute resolution through a due process hearing, which is an administrative hearing conducted by an administrative law judge with witnesses and documentary evidence. School systems are represented by counsel at due process hearings, even if parents are not. In the past five fiscal years, no unrepresented parent has prevailed at a due process hearing. However, attorneys are out of reach for many families, and the availability of free and low cost attorneys is limited. Even if parents are able to find an attorney willing and able to represent them, they need experts to help present their case to the administrative law judge. The United States Supreme Court in *Schaffer v. Weast*, 546 U.S. 49 (2005) recognized the importance of experts when discussing the IDEA's right to an independent educational evaluation so that parents are not left "without an expert with the firepower to match the opposition."

Currently, federal special education law permits parents who prevail at a due process hearing to recover attorney's fees from a court, but state education law contains no similar provision. Parents are not able to recover the cost of their experts under either federal or state law. And even the request to obtain an independent evaluation exposes a parent to the possibility of a due process hearing if the school system refuses to fund the evaluation. The end result is that many families are unable to exercise the rights they have under the IDEA and state law because they cannot pay for experts, while other families—those with more resources—are able to exercise their rights. This is fundamentally inequitable and unjust.

HB 1237 would incorporate into Maryland statute, rather than simply regulations, the attorney's fees provisions currently contained in the IDEA. Parents are able to obtain attorney's fees if they meet certain conditions. Including reimbursement of expert fees would make the due process protections guaranteed by law less illusory for a number of families of limited means for whom the procedural protections are little more than words in the booklet that is handed to them at IEP meetings but have

little meaning for them in their daily lives as they seek the free appropriate public education the law guarantees their children.

For these reasons, the EAC strongly supports passage of HB 1237.

For more information or questions, please contact Leslie Seid Margolis, Chairperson, at lesliem@disabilityrightsmd.org or 443-692-2505.

Respectfully submitted,

Selene Almazan, Selene Almazan Law, LLC
Rene Averitt-Sanzone, The Parents' Place of Maryland
Linda Barton, Ms.Ed., Education Advocate
Elizabeth Benevides, Howard County Autism Society
Rich Ceruolo, Parent Advocacy Consortium
Michelle Davis, ABCs for Life Success
Jennifer Engel Fisher, Weinfeld Education Group
Lisa Frank, Andrea Bennett, Jen Ritchotte and Amy Tonti, Special Kids Company
Ann Geddes, Maryland Coalition of Families
Beth Ann Hancock, Charting the Course, LLC
Kalman Hettleman, Independent Advocate
Morgan Horvath, Abilities Network
Nicole Joseph, Law Offices of Nicole Joseph
Rosemary Kitzinger and Marjorie Guldan, Bright Futures, LLC
Ande Kolp, The Arc Maryland
Rachel London, Maryland Developmental Disabilities Council
Leslie Seid Margolis, Disability Rights Maryland
Mark Martin, Law Offices of Mark B. Martin, P.A.
Ellen O'Neill, Atlantic Seaboard Dyslexia Education Center
Ronza Othman, National Federation of the Blind of Maryland
Maria Ott, attorney
Rebecca Rienzi, Pathfinders for Autism,
Jaime Seaton, BGS Law
Kelly Spanoghe, Education Advocate
Karleen Spitulnik, Decoding Dyslexia Maryland
Ronnetta Stanley, M.Ed., Loud Voices Together
Wayne Steedman, Steedman Law Group, LLC
Guy Stephens, Alliance Against Seclusion and Restraint
Maureen van Stone, Annie Carver and Tyler Cochran, Project HEAL at Kennedy Krieger Institute
Jessica Williams, Education Due Process Solutions, LLC
Liz Zogby and Lauren Ochalek, Maryland Down Syndrome Advocacy Coalition