



Opposition Statement HB717

State Board of Nursing - Peer Advisory Committees, Scopes of Practice,
and Licensure Requirements

Deborah Brocato, Legislative Consultant
Maryland Right to Life

We Strongly Oppose HB717

On behalf of our 200,000 followers across the state, we respectfully yet strongly object to HB717. Maryland Right to Life requests an amendment to exclude abortion purposes being used for this bill or unfavorable report.

As written, HB717 diminishes professional standards of patient care expanding the scope of practice of nurse midwives to include the ability “to personally prepare and dispense a starter dosage of certain drugs under certain circumstances,” and “to delegate certain tasks to certified medication technicians and certified nursing assistants under certain circumstances.” This greatly alters the scope of practice for nurse midwives and the support staff for the delivery of care to women and girls. Without specific language excluding the application of this bill to abortion, nurse midwives would be authorized to prepare and dispense lethal chemical abortion drugs, putting more pregnant women and girls at risk for injury and death. The delegation of nurse midwife duties to staff with even less qualifications than the nurse midwife lowers the standard of care even further. This bill must be considered in the legislative context in which the Assembly continues to increase the number of healthcare roles to be given prescription authority and dispensing authority.

The Abortion Care Access Act of 2022 removed the physician requirement for abortion services thereby removing a level of safety for women and girls. The physician has many more years of training and education than the nurse midwife which affords him/her greater knowledge of the overall health status of the pregnant woman or girl. The physician has greater capability of determining possible complications of pregnancy such as ectopic pregnancy, molar pregnancy or other abnormal gestation. Use of the abortion pill has resulted in at least 20 deaths and over 2,000 adverse events. (see NIH article and Lifenews article)

Put patients before profits. The abortion industry is asking the state to authorize them to put profits over patients. Maryland Right to Life opposes introduction or passage of any bill dealing with the “scope of practice” of any health care professional which doesn’t include language excluding abortion. Scope or independence of practice typically describes the procedures, actions, and processes that a healthcare practitioner is permitted to undertake in keeping with the terms of their professional license.



Opposition Statement HB717, page 2 of 2

State Board of Nursing - Peer Advisory Committees, Scopes of Practice,
and Licensure Requirements
Deborah Brocato, Legislative Consultant
Maryland Right to Life

We take this position because it has long been the strategy of the pro-abortion movement to use a broad definition of that “scope” as a means to increasing the number of lower healthcare professionals licensed to provide abortion services. Expanding the number of people who can provide abortion will increase the number of unborn children being killed and will put more women at risk of substandard medical care, injury and death.

The medical scarcity in abortion practice is a matter of medical ethics not provider scarcity, as 9 out of 10 OB/Gyn’s refuse to commit abortions because they recognize the scientific fact that a human fetus is a living human being. The abortion industry’s solution is three-fold: (1) authorize lower-skilled workers and non-physicians to perform abortion, and (2) authorize abortionists to remotely prescribe abortion pills across state lines.

D-I-Y Abortions: While the Supreme Court imposed legal abortion on the states in their 1973 decisions *Roe v. Wade* and *Doe v. Bolton*, the promise was that abortion would be safe, legal and rare. But in 2016, the Court’s decision in *Whole Woman’s Health v. Hellerstedt* prioritized “mere access” to abortion facilities and abortion industry profitability over women’s health and safety.

The abortion industry itself has referred to the use of abortion pills as “Do-It-Yourself” abortions, claiming that the method is safe and easy. But chemical abortions are 4 times more dangerous than surgical abortions, presenting a high risk of hemorrhaging, infection, and even death. With the widespread distribution of chemical abortion pills, the demand on Emergency Room personnel to deal with abortion complications has increased 250%. Now, with TELABORTION, pregnant women and girls are further exposed to the predatory practices of the abortion industry.

The women and girls of Maryland deserve better than lowered medical standards of care. Maryland Right to Life urges an amendment to exclude abortion purposes from this bill. Without it, we ask for an unfavorable report for **HB717**.