

## SB 0798 Unfavorable

As a father of a child born 3 months premature four decades ago, I can attest that a fetus/baby is a human being capable of feeling pain and living outside the womb if given the necessary support. Technology is even more advanced at the current time enabling even smaller children to live outside the womb. SB 0798 ignores the right of the individual child to continue that life and allows one person to extinguish the life of another person. This is a sad state of affairs when we are talking about who has the right to extinguish someone else's life. What will come next?

This wording of this bill seems intent on hiding the true effect this bill, which is the taking of another life in the disguised name of "reproductive freedom" which can have a variety of definitions based on one's perspective. The proposed wording for the constitutional amendment tends to cover up the real intent by using the words "individual's own reproductive liberty." One can only suppose this wording gives equal rights to the male and female to terminate the pregnancy since it takes one of each to create a new life? What exactly is "reproductive freedom?" In any event, the wording of the bill is deceptive and seems to be intent on hiding the true intent, the taking of a human life, in order to make this deceptively palatable to enough voters. Why not use honest language, perhaps to try to clear one's own conscience in the drafting of a bill to take the life of another human being?

Murder is still defined as the killing of one human being by another. This is what is happening in SB 0798 especially for children beyond 3 months of gestation. I urge you to value human life and not to pass this overly broad and deceptively-worded legislation.

Paul Jarosinski  
17328 Blossom View Drive  
Olney, Maryland 20832