SB0764-JPR-FAV.pdf Uploaded by: Brandon Scott Position: FAV



BRANDON M. SCOTT MAYOR

Office of Government Relations 88 State Circle Annapolis, Maryland 21401

March 14, 2023

SB0764

- **TO:** Members of the Senate Judicial Proceedings Committee
- **FROM:** Mayor Brandon M. Scott, City of Baltimore
- **RE:** Senate Bill 764 Baltimore City Assault of Special Enforcement Officers Penalties

POSITION: Support

Chair Smith, Vice Chair Waldstreicher, and Members of the Committee, please be advised that my Baltimore City Administration (BCA) **supports** Senate Bill 764.

SB 764 seeks to expand assault protections afforded to law enforcement, parole officers, firefighters, and other first responders to Baltimore City special enforcement officers, parking enforcement officers, and traffic enforcement officers. These enforcement officers are City employees who are empowered by the police commissioner to perform duties typically carried out by Baltimore City Police Department. Being unarmed, these enforcement officers are particularly vulnerable when conflicts arise.

The Baltimore City municipal workforce is comprised largely of people of color. This fact holds true for special officers, parking officers, and traffic officers, the majority of whom are women of color. The assault of these critical workers poses a substantial challenge to recruitment and staff morale, which in turn hurts the level of service the City can provide to residents. Parking officers are particularly prone to confrontation and conflict due to the controversial nature of their work— no one is happy to take a parking ticket. Parking officers are required to disengage when disputes arise, but this does not ensure the safety of officers.

Ensuring the safety of our employees is paramount to staff morale and recruitment efforts. Extending protections to these critical enforcement officers will allow Baltimore City to provide residents with higher quality parking and traffic enforcement.

For these reasons, I respectfully request a *favorable* report on SB 764.

JPC SB764 Testimony.pdf Uploaded by: Jill Carter Position: FAV



Miller Senate Office Building 11 Bladen Street, Room 422 Annapolis, Maryland 21401 410-841-3697 · 301-858-3697 800-492-7122 *Ext.* 3697

THE SENATE OF MARYLAND Annapolis, Maryland 21401

Testimony of Senator Jill P. Carter

In Favor of SB764 Baltimore City – Assault of Special Enforcement Officers – Penalties

Before the Judicial Proceedings Committee

on March 14, 2023

Chair Smith, Vice-Chair Waldstreicher, and Members of the Committee:

- Senate Bill 764 will expand the crime of felony second-degree assault to include the intentional causing of physical injury to another person by a person who knows or has reason to know that the victim is a Baltimore City special enforcement officer, special parking enforcement officer, or special traffic enforcement officer, engaged in the performance of the officer's official duties.
- Enforcement officers are Baltimore City employees who are empowered by the police commissioner to perform duties typically conducted by the Baltimore City Police Department. Being unarmed, these enforcement officers are particularly vulnerable when conflicts arise.

- The Baltimore City municipal workforce is comprised largely of people of color. The same is true for special enforcement officers, parking officers, and traffic officers, the majority of whom are women of color.
- Continued assaults on these officers substantially hinders recruitment and lowers staff morale, which in turn hurts the level of service the City can provide to its residents. Parking officers are particularly subject to confrontation and conflict due to the controversial nature of their work. Although parking officers are required to disengage when disputes arise, their safety is still at substantial risk.
- As mentioned earlier, ensuring the safety of these officers is necessary and important for staff morale and recruitment efforts, and will allow Baltimore City to provide residents with higher quality parking and traffic enforcement.

For these reasons, I urge a favorable report of SB764.

Sincerely,

gill P. Carter

Jill P. Carter, Esq.