

**MARYLAND JUDICIAL CONFERENCE**  
**GOVERNMENT RELATIONS AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS**

Hon. Matthew J. Fader  
Chief Justice

187 Harry S. Truman Parkway  
Annapolis, MD 21401

**MEMORANDUM**

**TO:** Senate Judicial Proceedings Committee  
**FROM:** Legislative Committee  
Suzanne D. Pelz, Esq.  
410-260-1523  
**RE:** Senate Bill 564  
Criminal Law – Theft of a Handgun  
**DATE:** February 28, 2023  
(3/2)  
**POSITION:** Oppose

---

The Maryland Judiciary opposes Senate Bill 564. This legislation provides that a person convicted of theft of a firearm is guilty of a felony and subject to certain penalties. The court is prohibited from imposing less than or suspending any part of the mandatory minimum sentence of two years and for a second or subsequent conviction, five years. The sentence imposed must be separate from and consecutive to a sentence for any other offense.

The Judiciary traditionally opposes legislation that includes mandatory penalties. The Judiciary believes it is important for judges to weigh the facts and circumstances for each individual case when imposing a sentence. Provisions that place restrictions on the judge prevent the judge from considering factors unique to the case. Recognizing that lawmakers are responsible for enacting penalties for crimes, judges are mindful of various mitigating factors in crafting a sentence that most appropriately fits the individual defendant and the crime.

cc. Hon. Justin Ready  
Judicial Council  
Legislative Committee  
Kelley O'Connor