



Rich Gibson
President

Maryland State's Attorneys' Association

3300 North Ridge Road, Suite 185
Ellicott City, Maryland 21043
410-203-9881
FAX 410-203-9891



Steven I. Kroll
Coordinator

March 24, 2023

The Honorable Luke Clippinger
Chairman, House Judiciary Committee
6 Bladen Street
Annapolis, MD 21401

Dear Chairman Clippinger:

I write in support of SB129, Criminal Law—Sexual Crimes—Repeal of Spousal Defense. I am the Chief of the Special Victims Division for the Montgomery County State's Attorney's Office and a member of our Sexual Assault Response Team, a multidisciplinary group that reviews cases and sets policy regarding sexual assault crimes in our county. I also chair the Montgomery County Choose Respect Initiative that offers educational content to teens on healthy relationships and consent. Lastly, I co-chair the Special Victims Subcommittee for the Maryland State's Attorney's Association.

Currently, Maryland law offers married individuals a complete defense to sexual offenses, including rape, unless the rape was perpetrated by force. This law allows an individual a complete defense if they rape their cognitively impaired, mentally incapacitated, or physically helpless spouse, including drug or alcohol facilitated rapes and sexual offenses. In Montgomery County, we had a case where, on multiple occasions, the offender raped his wife (and filmed it) while she was under the influence of prescription drugs. While the State was able to prosecute the offender for some offenses, given the complete defense, we could not prosecute him for the vast majority of the rapes he perpetrated against his wife on film. The current defense also allows a spouse to legally commit a sexually offense against a spouse who is paralyzed or otherwise physically helpless; cognitively impaired, including dementia or Alzheimer's disease; or mentally incapacitated by a mental health issue. It is a travesty of justice to allow offenders a complete defense when their spouse falls into one of these categories of individuals.

Moreover, the current law allows a complete defense to fourth degree sexual offenses, even where one spouse does not consent to the sexual touching. Sexual offense in the fourth degree prohibits "sexual contact with another without the consent of the other," including the "intentional touching of the victim's or actor's genital, anal, or other intimate area for sexual arousal or gratification, or for the abuse of either party." The law carves out an exception for any touching that is a common expression of familial or friendly affection or for an accepted medical purpose. Marriage should not obviate consent under any circumstance. The current law is out of date and out of synch with our modern understanding of affirmative consent and should be eliminated.

Maryland law should not allow a person to use the fact of their marriage to protect them from prosecution for a sexual crime. I strongly urge the passage of SB129.

Sincerely,
Debbie Feinstein
Chief, Special Victims' Division
Senior Assistant State's Attorney