

CANDACE McLAREN LANHAM  
Chief Deputy Attorney General



CHRISTIAN E. BARRERA  
Chief Operating Officer

CAROLYN A. QUATTROCKI  
Deputy Attorney General

ZENITA WICKHAM HURLEY  
Chief, Equity, Policy, and Engagement

LEONARD HOWIE  
Deputy Attorney General

**ANTHONY G. BROWN**  
*Attorney General*

PETER V. BERNS  
General Counsel

STATE OF MARYLAND  
**OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL**

FACSIMILE NO.  
(410) 576-7036

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NO  
(410) 576-6588

February 7, 2024

TO: The Will Smith  
Chair, Judicial Proceedings Committee

FROM: Adam Spangler  
Legislative Aide, Legislative Affairs, Office of the Attorney General

RE: SB139 Driver's Licenses - Suspension for Child Support Arrearages -  
Exception- **Support**

---

The Office of Attorney General urges this Committee to favorably report Senate Bill 139 Senator Watson's bill would preclude the Child Support Administration from urging the Motor Vehicle Administration to suspend an individual's driver's license for non-payment of child support if the obligor's family income is not greater than three hundred percent (300%)<sup>1</sup> of the federal poverty level "unless the obligor has been judicially determined to be voluntarily impoverished under § 12-204" of the Family Law Article.

Senate Bill 139 is consistent with Attorney General Frosh's fines and fees advocacy and constitutional due process.<sup>2</sup> In *Bearden v. Georgia*, the United States Supreme Court held that

---

<sup>1</sup> This amounts to less than \$39,000 annually.

<sup>2</sup> In 2020, Attorney General Frosh introduced [HB0280 /CH0149 \(SB0234/CH0150\)](#) to repeal the MVA's authority to suspend the driver's license and vehicle registration of traffic fine and fee debtors and making such debtors eligible for payment plans. That legislation resulted in nearly 90,000 driver's license reinstatements and has avoided countless other driver's license suspensions in the ensuing years.

imprisonment for unpaid fines or fees without a hearing to determine ability to pay is unconstitutional under the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. 461 U.S. 660 (1983). Additionally, *Bearden* has been cited in cases in both the District Court of Maryland and in the Fourth Circuit. See *Miranda v. Barr*, 463 F.Supp. 3d 632 (2020); *see also United States v. Boyd*, 935 F.2d 1288 (1991). These cases have relied on *Bearden* to determine when it is justifiable for a defendant to not pay a fine or fee. As determined in *Bearden*, if a defendant has made all reasonable efforts to pay the fine and cannot do so through no fault of her or his own, it is unfair to revoke probation. *See Boyd*, 935 F.2d 1288.

Similarly, in the child support context, it is counterintuitive to suspend an obligor parent's driver's license merely because of indigence. Nearly fifty percent (50%) of Marylanders drive to another county to work and only nine percent (9%) of the work in the greater Baltimore metropolitan region can be reached by public transit within an hour. Therefore, workers need a valid driver's license to secure and maintain employment. The more likely a parent obligor is to have gainful employment, the more likely she or he will be able to pay child support.

For the foregoing reasons, the Office of the Attorney General urges a favorable report of Senate Bill 139.

cc: Senator Watson  
Committee Members

---