**SB 506 Fav.pdf**Uploaded by: Christopher West
Position: FAV

CHRIS WEST

Legislative District 42

Baltimore and Carroll Counties

Judicial Proceedings Committee



Annapolis Office

James Senate Office Building

11 Bladen Street, Room 322

Annapolis, Maryland 21401

410-841-3648 · 301-858-3648

800-492-7122 Ext. 3648

Chris. West@senate.state.md.us

### THE SENATE OF MARYLAND ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND 21401

February 20, 2024

The Maryland State Senate Finance Committee The Honorable Pamela Beidle 3 East Miller Senate Building Annapolis, Maryland 21401

Re: Senate Bill 506: State Board of Physicians - Discipline - Administrative Expungement

Dear Chair Beidle and Members of the Committee,

Under current Maryland law, a physician practicing medicine in the state must be licensed by the Maryland Board of Physicians. In the event of misconduct that violates any statutory disciplinary grounds, a disciplinary panel of the Board may (by a majority vote) reprimand, place on probation, and suspend or revoke the license of a licensed physician.

Many years ago, a well-regarded doctor who is one of my constituents, Dr. Lise Satterfield, was investigated by the Board of Physicians and was asked for certain patient records. Dr. Satterfield referred the request to the vendor managing her company's patient records files. The vendor then made a mistake and provided the Board's investigator with what amounted to patient cover sheets rather than the complete patient files. My constituent had the complete patient files in her possession, but the investigator never asked her to provide them to the Board. The peer review physicians working for the Board therefore never saw the extensive patient records, only the relatively skimpy cover sheets. As a result of this mix-up, Dr. Satterfield was critiqued as having kept inferior records of her patients. Rather than demand a lengthy and expensive hearing before an Administrative Law Judge, Dr. Satterfield entered into a Consent Order placing her on probation for inadequate record-keeping for a period of time.

Years have passed since the period of probation ended. There has been no further accusation of inferior record-keeping, and indeed Dr. Satterfield's record-keeping in the first place was completely professional, as the peer review physicians would have known if they only had asked Dr. Satterfield for her complete patient files.

Because the probation is visible to people who look up Dr. Satterfield's record with the Board of Physicians, every time Dr. Satterfield's license to practice medicine comes up for renewal, she has to disclose the prior probation. This in turn puts the renewal of her ability to prescribe medicine in peril for months at a time.

Aware of this situation, MedChi approached the Board of Physicians and asked the Board to draft Regulations that would enable physicians like Dr. Satterfield who had been placed on probation for committing minor infractions of the Board's disciplinary grounds to get their probations expunged. Four years ago, in February, 2020, the Executive Director of the Board reported to MedChi that the Board was working to develop recommendations on how to implement such an expungement process. Over a year later, in March, 2021, the Board indeed drafted proposed regulations along these lines. Nine months later, in December, 2022, I corresponded with the Department of Health and was advised that the Department was engaged in an internal review of the draft regulations. Nine months later still, last August, MedChi once again inquired about the status of the regulations. This bill is being introduced in order to bring an end to the delays and to put appropriate language into law providing that by the end of this calendar year, the Board "shall adopt" regulations enabling physicians like Dr. Satterfield to expunge ancient probations from the public record.

Senate Bill 506 would require the Maryland Board of Physicians to adopt regulations authorizing a licensed physician to file an application for an "administrative expungement" of certain limited disciplinary actions. The bill defines "administrative expungement" as the classification of a record by the Maryland Board of Physicians as confidential, not for public release, and removed from a licensed physician's public individual profile. This means that the prior disciplinary actions will still be visible to the Board and may be used by the Board for any regulatory purposes. Further, the bill provides that such prior disciplinary actions may also be released to law enforcement or another governmental body, as authorized by law.

Senate Bill 506 is also narrowly defined, with only four types of disciplinary actions eligible for expungement. These consist of (1) failure to complete continuing medical education courses, (2) failure to renew a license on time, (3) failure to notify the Board (in writing) of a change in name or address, and (4) any offense in which probation was imposed and successfully completed. Furthermore, the bill stipulates that there must be a 3-year gap between the disciplinary action and the application for expungement.

Thus this bill is a modest attempt to bring an end to the misery endured by Dr. Satterfield and other Maryland physicians who have completed their terms of probation and want to be able once again to walk out into the clear light of a clean disciplinary record.

The Board of Physicians has submitted an opposition to this bill in which it makes several points. First, it states that the Department of Health is STILL reviewing the proposed regulations and expresses confidence that the Department will indeed review them "in all due course"! The entire reason for this bill is finally produce expungement regulations that will provide relief to Maryland physicians who continue to labor under the cloud of ancient probations. Second, the Board notes that it is required under federal law to report all disciplinary actions to the National Practitioner Data Bank. But presumably such reports are filed at the time that probationary periods are imposed, years before, under Senate Bill 506, physicians will be empowered to apply for expungement.

The enactment of this bill therefore should not in any way impede the reporting of such matters to the Data Bank. Thirdly, the Board complains that Senate Bill 506 will perhaps allow for the potential expungement of serious offenses. I have two responses to this argument. First, if the offenses were indeed serious, one wonders why the penalty imposed by the Board was only a probation, not something more serious. Second, if indeed there are serious offense that only received a probation but that should not be expunged, I would be happy to work with the Board to develop an amendment to this bill exempting such serious offenses from potential expungement. In this regard, I note that the draft regulations produced by the Board do not single out any such serious offenses and exclude them from the expungement process.

I appreciate the Committee's consideration of Senate Bill 506 and will be happy to answer any questions the Committee may have.

# **FSMB - MD SB 506 - 2-20-24.pdf** Uploaded by: Lisa Robin

Position: UNF



February 20, 2024

Senator Pamela Beidle, Chairperson Maryland Senate Finance Committee 3 East Miller Senate Office Building Annapolis, Maryland 21401

Re: Senate Bill 506, State Board of Physicians – Discipline – Administrative Expungement

Dear Chairperson Beidle,

On behalf of the Federation of State Medical Boards (FSMB), and as a resident of Maryland, I would like to express serious concern regarding Senate Bill 506, which has been referred to your committee. As the collective voice on behalf of the nation's state medical and osteopathic boards, FSMB is well positioned to comment on SB 506. We urge the Committee to thoughtfully consider these comments in light of the Maryland Board of Physicians' primary responsibility to act in the best interest of the public.

The provisions contained in SB 506 that allow for the expungement of certain disciplinary records would potentially affect the health and safety of Marylanders and, further, impact patient safety across the country. Not only would this bill hide this information from the residents of Maryland, but it would make it easier for previously disciplined doctors to leave the state and begin practicing in another jurisdiction undetected.

The availability of disciplinary history is critical to the function of licensing boards across the country, whose mission is to protect the public health, safety, and welfare through the proper licensing, disciplining, and regulation of physicians. A full and complete disciplinary history of licensed physicians in every jurisdiction in which they are or have been licensed is essential for all state boards of medicine as they fulfill their statutory duties. SB 506 will severely limit the availability of this valuable information to state medical boards, as well as to deny the public its right to know the full disciplinary history of the physician treating them and their families.

This bill permits the expungement of a "probation" disciplinary action, which is imposed by state medical boards upon the nature of the violation, including sexual misconduct, and to promote protection of patients. Instituting a mandatory time-limit to expunge physician disciplinary actions that resulted in probation is unprecedented; Maryland would be the only state to allow such records to be wiped clean after a prescribed period of time.

Lastly, SB 506 conflicts with provisions of the Interstate Medical Licensure Compact, which Maryland joined in 2018. The Compact, which currently consists of 39 states, the District of Columbia, and Guam, defines an eligible physician as having "never held a license authorizing

the practice of medicine subjected to discipline by a licensing agency in any state, federal, or foreign jurisdiction, excluding any action related to non-payment of fees related to a license." By allowing licensees to apply for expungement of certain disciplinary actions, Maryland could potentially violate the provisions of the Compact law.

Every physician has the right to due process before their medical board, just as every patient has the right to know about their physician's disciplinary history. However, SB 506 tips that power to the detriment of the public. It is bad for patients and will erode trust in those whose duty it is to protect the public from harm.

Again, I thank you for the opportunity to comment. FSMB strongly opposes this legislation and encourages members of this Committee to reject this bill.

Sincerely,

Humayun J. Chaudhry, DO, MS, MACP, FRCP

President & CEO

#### About FSMB

FSMB is a national non-profit organization representing the medical boards within the United States and its territories that license and discipline allopathic and osteopathic physicians and, in some jurisdictions, other health care professionals. FSMB serves as the voice for state medical boards, supporting them through education, assessment, research and advocacy while providing services and initiatives that promote patient safety, quality health care and regulatory best practices. FSMB serves the public through <a href="Docinfo.org">Docinfo.org</a>, a free physician search tool that provides background information on the more than 1 million doctors in the United States.

# **9 - SB 506 - FIN - BOP - LOO.pdf** Uploaded by: State of Maryland (MD)

Position: UNF



## Board of Physicians

Wes Moore, Governor · Aruna Miller, Lt. Governor · Harbhajan Ajrawat, M.D., Chair

#### 2024 SESSION POSITION PAPER

BILL NO.: SB 506 – State Board of Physicians – Administrative Expungement

COMMITTEE: Finance POSITION: Unfavorable

**TITLE:** State Board of Physicians – Administrative Expungement

#### **POSITION & RATIONALE:**

The Maryland Board of Physicians (the Board) is respectfully submitting this letter of opposition for Senate Bill 506 – State Board of Physicians – Administrative Expungement (SB 506). SB 506 would authorize the Board to establish regulations allowing for the expungement of administrative actions and offenses for which a period of probation was imposed on a licensed physician.

The Board has serious concerns regarding the proposed language in SB 506 allowing expungement of offenses for which a period of probation was imposed on a licensed physician. Probation is a disciplinary offense, not an administrative action. By including probation in the list of expungable Board actions, SB 506 would allow for the potential expungement of serious offenses ranging from overprescribing to sexual misconduct. Probation is the primary means by which the Board places terms and conditions upon a licensee to correct deficiencies and rehabilitate or re-educate licensees on essential components of medical care integral to the goal of preventing patient harm. Common probationary conditions include requiring monitoring of patient interactions or placing restrictions on the prescribing or dispensing of controlled dangerous substances. Allowing these cases to be expunged would represent a significant step backwards for patient transparency, safety, and welfare in Maryland.

In addition to concerns regarding patient transparency, allowing for the expungement of disciplinary actions would have an adverse impact on other entities. The Board's practitioner profile system is currently used as a first party data source for private, state, and federal entities including hospitals, other state licensing entities, Medicaid, the Maryland Cannabis Commission, the Federation of State Medical Boards, and more. Furthermore, this would put the Board's practitioner profile system out of sync with the National Practitioner Data Bank (NPDB). The Board is required under federal law to report all disciplinary actions to the NPDB, with no exception for expunged disciplinary actions. In a letter to the Board dated January 31, 2024, Dr. Robert Oshel, retired associate director for the NPDB, stated that "probation reports would not be expunged from the NPDB and would continue to be disclosed to authorized queries. If Data Bank queriers think they need more information, they are told by the NPDB to ask the reporting entity. This could lead to hospitals, other licensing boards, etc., requesting information from the Board, but the Board's records would have been expunged."

Regarding the expungement of certain administrative actions, the Board is open to establishing a process for the expungement of certain <u>non-disciplinary</u> orders, but does not believe that legislation is necessary to authorize the Board to draft regulations to this effect. In fact, the Board has already developed regulations to

address this issue. On March 24, 2021, the Board voted to approve regulations to allow for expungement of certain administrative actions. These regulations would establish an application process where licensees could apply to remove certain administrative actions, defined as orders issued by the Board for reasons other than the disciplinary grounds under Health Occupations Article § 14-404, Annotated Code of Maryland, from a public profile after a period of three years or more had elapsed. The draft regulations were posted to the Board's website to solicit public comment before being submitted to the Maryland Department of Health (the Department) for promulgation and approval. The Board is confident that these regulations will be reviewed in all due course as the Department works through its regulatory proposals.

Given the concerns regarding expungement of disciplinary actions resulting in probation and the fact that legislation is not necessary to authorize the Board to develop regulations for the expungement of administrative actions, the Board recommends an unfavorable report on SB 506.

Thank you for your consideration. For more information, please contact Matthew Dudzic, Manager, Policy and Regulations, Maryland Board of Physicians, (410) 764-5042.

Sincerely,

Harbhajan Ajrawat, M.D.

Chair, Maryland Board of Physicians

Lugh Hyrawat

The opinion of the Boards expressed in this document does not necessarily reflect that of the Maryland Department of Health or the Administration.

#### Attachments:

- Excerpt from Maryland Board of Physicians Board Open Meeting Minutes, March 24, 2021
- Letter from Robert Oshel, Ph.D. to the Maryland Board of Physicians dated January 31, 2024

### **ATTACHMENT 1**

Excerpt from Maryland Board of Physicians Board Open Meeting Minutes, March 24, 2021

Board Open Meeting Minutes March 24, 2021 Page 4 of 6

#### APPROVAL OF MINUTES

• February 24, 2021

On a motion made by <u>Mr. Dills</u>, and seconded by <u>Dr. Boursiquot</u>, the Board voted to unanimously <u>APPROVE</u> the full Board and closed minutes.

#### POLICY/LEGISLATION

### **Updating COMAR 10.32.02.10 (Sanctioning Guidelines)**

Ms. Van Horn presented a draft of an update to Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) 10.32.02.10 ("Sanctioning Guidelines for Physicians"). Due to various legislation, certain disciplinary grounds were added to statute. The draft of regulations adds language related to those grounds, plus suggestions for minimum and maximum sanctions and fines. Other draft changes include the addition of a new category under COMAR 10.32.02.10B(3), a revision to the minimum sanction in COMAR 10.32.02.10B(9), and changes of "Board" to "disciplinary panel" as needed. The plan is to post a draft of the revisions on the Board's Website to invite informal comments.

On a motion made by  $\underline{Dr}$ . Plavner, and seconded by  $\underline{Dr}$ . Boursiquot, the Board voted to unanimously  $\underline{APPROVE}$  to post the proposed draft regulations revisions on the website for informal comments.

#### Proposed Regulations - Administrative Expungement

Mr. Dudzic presented the Board with a draft of regulations to allow for expungement of certain administrative disciplinary actions. These regulations would allow licensees to apply to remove certain administrative actions from a public profile after a period of three years or more had elapsed, provided no disqualifying events occurred during that three-year period. The actions that could be expunged under these proposed regulations included failure to pay taxes, failure to complete continuing medical education, failure to renew a license, and delinquent child support. Administrative expungement would not be permitted for any disciplinary action taken pursuant to H.O. §14-404.

On a motion made by <u>Dr. Plavner</u>, and seconded by <u>Dr. Freas</u>, the Board voted to unanimously <u>APPROVE</u> the proposed draft regulations, and to post on the website for public comments.

#### **Update MLARP Workgroup**

Mr. Dudzic provided the Board with an update on the Maryland Loan Assistance Repayment Program for Physicians and Physician Assistants, (MLARP) Workgroup. The Maryland Loan Assistance Repayment Program for Physicians and Physician Assistants, (MLARP) incentivizes health care providers to practice in health professional shortage areas and medically underserved areas in the State by providing eligible physicians, physician assistants, and medical residents in their last year of residency an opportunity to practice in a designated Health Professional Shortage Area (HPSA) while receiving funds towards their student loans. In 2020, Senate Bill 501

### **ATTACHMENT 2**

Letter from Robert Oshel, Ph.D. to the Maryland Board of Physician, dated January 31, 2024

Wed, Jan 31, 2024

Matt,

Thanks for forwarding Senate Bill 506 which would allow for expungement of certain board actions three years after imposition, and Senate Bill 54 / House Bill 175, which concerns Board consideration of criminal histories. I hope my comments, which follow, are helpful. Feel free to use them in any way you think would be beneficial. Also feel free to give my email address to anyone who might be interested in more information from me.

Concerning Senate Bill 506, I am particularly concerned about section (D)(4) which would allow expungement of probations, which are disciplinary actions, not administrative actions. I believe this section would be a significant step backward in terms of the Board's ability to carry out its stated mission: "to assure quality health care in Maryland, through the efficient licensure and effective discipline of health providers under its jurisdiction, by protecting and educating the clients/customers and stakeholders, and enforcing the Maryland Medical Practice Act."

The provision would also put the Board into an awkward position in relation to National Practitioner Data Bank (NPDB) reports. Expungement of records is not the same as reversal of actions, so the expungement would have no effect on the reports the Board had made of its probation actions to the NPDB in compliance with the Health Care Quality Improvement Act of 1986, 42 U.S.C. 11101 et seq. Probation reports would not be expunged from the NPDB and would continue to be disclosed to authorized queries, including hospitals, other health care entities, and state licensure boards. If Data Bank queriers think they need more information, they are told by the NPDB to ask the reporting entity. This could lead to hospitals, other licensing boards, etc., requesting information from the Board, but the Board's records would have been expunged.

In addition, starting the three year period after which expungement can be requested at the date of imposition of a probation is particularly troublesome. If probation was imposed for a two year period, for example, this would mean that the record could be expunged as soon as just over a year after the probationary period ended. That could significantly reduce the ability of the Board to identify repeat infractions which might require more significant disciplinary action to protect the public health. Indeed, if a physician repeated an infraction only slightly more than three years after being put on probation -- and potentially only a few months after the end of the probationary period -- the Board could be unable to determine that this is a repeated problem and more stringent disciplinary action might be warranted. Patterns of repeated violations are important when determining the need for or the severity of disciplinary action. Expungement of probation records -- potentially only a short time after a probation had ended -- would make it difficult for the Board to discover and evaluate patterns of repeated violations -- unless, of course, the Board queried the NPDB to find

out what it had done previously! Surely the Board shouldn't need to query the Data Bank to find out what it had itself done in the past.

The expungement from the Board's records but not from the Data Bank could also lead to unintended consequences for physicians. A physician whose probation has been expunged from the Board's records might think he or she no longer needed to reveal the matter on applications for clinical privileges or even licensure in other states. But when the entity being applied to queries the NPDB, they would find out about the matter and could conclude the applicant had submitted an incomplete application or even lied on the application. This could lead to substantial problems for the applicant. If the entity denied the application because of omission of the expunged information, that would lead to another report to the Data Bank. Even in the best of circumstances for the physician, the failure to disclose an expunged probation is likely to delay consideration of an application once information is received from the Data Bank. In balance, I think expungement of probation actions might do more harm to physicians than it would benefit them.

Bob

Robert E. Oshel, Ph.D Retired Associate Director for Research and Dispute Resolution, Division of Practitioner Data Banks, HRSA, US Dept. of Health and Human Services