

Testimony SB0423 Real Property Recordation Poyer.p

Uploaded by: Cody Arigo

Position: FAV

SB0423 Real Property - Recordation – Procedures
Judicial Proceedings Committee – February 14, 2024
Sponsor: Senator Mary-Dulany James
Position: Favorable

Testimony of Scott Poyer, Clerk of the Circuit Court, Anne Arundel County

Thank you, Chairman Smith, Vice Chairman Waldstreicher, and members of the committee, for this opportunity to testify regarding Senate Bill 423. For the record, my name is Scott Poyer, Clerk of the Circuit Court for Anne Arundel County.

Senator James recently shared this bill with the Circuit Court Clerk’s Association which represents 24 elected Clerks of the Circuit Court in the State of Maryland. The Clerks are still reviewing the bill and have not taken a position on it yet. However, we are working with Senator James’ office on this bill.

I believe this bill is also being merged with Senate Bill 884. Speaking just for myself I would support the merged bill. The Clerks’ Association may also take a position once there has been time to review the final version.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment, and I am available if you have any questions.

SB0423 - Recordation Procedures - NAIOP - FAV - JP

Uploaded by: Tom Ballentine

Position: FAV



February 13, 2024

The Honorable William C. Smith, Jr., Chair
Senate Judicial Proceedings Committee
Miller Senate Office Building, 2 East
Annapolis, MD 21401

Support: SB 423 – Real Property – Recordation – Procedures

Dear, Chair Smith and Committee Members:

The NAIOP Maryland Chapters representing more than 700 companies involved in all aspects of commercial, industrial, and mixed-use real estate supports SB 423. This bill establishes a process to notify parties to a real estate transaction of all fees, fines and taxes in a more comprehensive manner than exists today.

NAIOP's support is based on the following rationale:

- Commercial real estate transactions are often delayed or made more complicated by difficulties in identifying and satisfying all liens, fees or other charges owed to local governments or public utility companies prior to closing. Often lien certificates or reports of taxes due are not current or may be incomplete a fact that is not discovered until a deed is presented for recordation.
- SB 423 addresses these issues by requiring elevated cooperation between Clerks of the Court and Finance Offices; requiring that local governments provide a lien certificate that the parties can rely upon for through closing of the transaction.
- These changes will smooth the process for all concerned and are enabled by authorizing local governments to collect a fee of \$55 for issuing a lien certificate.

For these reasons, NAIOP respectfully requests your favorable report on SB 423.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "T.M. Ballentine".

Tom Ballentine, Vice President for Policy
NAIOP Maryland Chapters -*The Association for Commercial Real Estate*

cc: Senate Judicial Proceedings Committee Members
Nick Manis – Manis, Canning Assoc.

Testimony on SB 423.pdf

Uploaded by: William Steinwedel

Position: FAV



**MARYLAND
LEGAL AID**

Advancing
**Human Rights and
Justice for All**

**Senate Bill 423
In the Senate Judicial Proceedings Committee – Real Property
Recordation -- Procedures
Hearing on February 14, 2024
Position: FAVORABLE**

Maryland Legal Aid (MLA) submits its written and oral testimony on SB 423 in response to a request from Senator Mary Dulany-James.

MLA has represented low-income homeowners in foreclosure, tax sale and other consumer matters for many years. SB 423 provides a procedure by which homeowners who are seeking to transfer properties can obtain documentation from their land record office to show how much is owed in property taxes and other costs on the property. MLA testifies in strong support of this bill.

SB 423 addresses a key area of concern affecting the transfer of properties within families and offers the opportunity to build intergenerational wealth. Homeownership and housing equity play a critical role in building wealth for all communities but is especially important for low-income neighborhoods¹. By providing a procedure where homeowners who are seeking to inherit properties from family members can easily obtain the required amounts to be paid to successfully transfer the property, SB 423 makes the process of preserving a property from generation to generation and building generational wealth much easier.

Families face challenges in coming up with the funds needed to settle the outstanding taxes and charges. Often families are already under significant financial strain, without access to credit, and working with limited resources, if any at all. SB 423 allows them to quickly get the information needed so that they can obtain assistance to cure these outstanding taxes and charges. There are several programs, such as the SOS Fund in Baltimore City and the Homeowners Protection Program, that may be available to assist low-income homeowners with these outstanding taxes and charges, but without the necessary information on how much is owed, it can be difficult to qualify for these programs. SB 423 would make it easier for low-income homeowners to get this information so they can quickly get the assistance that they need.

At Maryland Legal Aid, our attorneys have spent countless hours in county offices and municipal buildings trying to resolve property tax bills, water bills, and figure out fee issues for individuals trying to save their homes from foreclosure; homes that are not titled in their names.

¹ Black, Latino, and Hispanic homeowners tend to rely on their home equity for wealth building more than White homeowners; housing equity represents roughly 60 percent of Black, Latino, and Hispanic homeowners' total net worth, as opposed to 43 percent of White homeowners' total net worth. See McCargo, Alanna and Jung Hyun Choi. "Closing the Gaps: Building Black Wealth through Homeownership." Urban Institute, 2020. https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/103267/closing-the-gaps-building-black-wealth-through-homeownership_1.pdf.

By creating a procedure where homeowners and their representatives could quickly obtain this information, SB 423 would make it much easier to solve these problems.

For these reasons, Maryland Legal Aid fully supports the passage of SB 423. MLA finds that this bill is in the best interests of our State's low-income communities and asks that the Committee grant SB 423 a favorable report and urge its ultimate passage. If you have any further questions, do not hesitate to contact me at (410) 951-7643 or via e-mail at wsteinwedel@mdlaborg.

/s/William F. Steinwedel

William F. Steinwedel

Supervising Attorney, Foreclosure Legal Assistance Project

Maryland Legal Aid Bureau

Additional Sponsor Testimony

Uploaded by: David Probert

Position: FWA

SENATE BILL 884

N1

4lr2112

By: **Senator James**

Introduced and read first time: February 2, 2024

Assigned to: Judicial Proceedings

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2 **Real Property – Recordation – Procedures**

3 FOR the purpose of requiring the treasurer, tax collector, or director of finance for a county
4 to provide a certificate enumerating certain taxes, assessments, and charges against
5 a property and to establish certain procedures to facilitate the issuance of the
6 certificate; requiring a collecting agent presented with a certificate to endorse a deed
7 on payment of transfer and recordation taxes and all charges stated in the certificate
8 and establishing that this endorsement is sufficient authority for a transfer on the
9 assessment books; requiring the clerk of a circuit court and the State Department of
10 Assessments and Taxation to facilitate the electronic satisfaction of prior approvals
11 and conditions precedent to recording documents or the electronic payment of fees or
12 taxes; and generally relating to the recordation of instruments affecting an interest
13 in real property.

14 BY repealing and reenacting, without amendments,
15 Article – Real Property
16 Section 3–104(a)
17 Annotated Code of Maryland
18 (2023 Replacement Volume)

19 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
20 Article – Real Property
21 Section 3–104(b) and 3–703
22 Annotated Code of Maryland
23 (2023 Replacement Volume)

24 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,
25 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

26 **Article – Real Property**

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



1 3-104.

2 (a) (1) The Clerk of the Circuit Court may record an instrument that effects a
3 change of ownership if the instrument is:

4 (i) Endorsed with the certificate of the collector of taxes of the
5 county in which the property is assessed, required under subsection (b) of this section;

6 (ii) 1. Accompanied by a complete intake sheet; or

7 2. Endorsed by the assessment office for the county as
8 provided in subsection (g)(8) of this section; and

9 (iii) Accompanied by a copy of the instrument, and any survey, for
10 submission to the Department of Assessments and Taxation.

11 (2) The Supervisor of Assessments shall transfer ownership of property in
12 the assessment records, effective as of the date of recordation, upon receipt from the Clerk
13 of the Circuit Court of a copy of the instrument, the completed intake sheet, and any survey
14 submitted under paragraph (1) of this subsection.

15 (b) (1) (i) Except as provided in subsection (c) of this section, property may
16 not be transferred on the assessment books or records until:

17 1. All public taxes, assessments, and charges currently due
18 and owed on the property have been paid to the treasurer, tax collector, or director of
19 finance of the county in which the property is assessed; and

20 2. All taxes on personal property in the county due by the
21 transferor have been paid when all land owned by the transferor in the county is being
22 transferred.

23 (ii) The certificate of the collecting agent designated by law, showing
24 that all taxes, assessments, and charges have been paid, shall be endorsed on the deed, and
25 the endorsement shall be sufficient authority for transfer on the assessment books.

26 (2) (i) Except as provided in subsection (c) of this section, in Allegany,
27 Cecil, Charles, Dorchester, Harford, Howard, Kent, Queen Anne's, Somerset, and St.
28 Mary's counties no property may be transferred on the assessment books or records until:

29 1. All public taxes, assessments, any charges due a
30 municipal corporation, and charges due on the property have been paid as required by law;
31 and

32 2. All taxes on personal property in the county due by the
33 transferor have been paid when all land owned by the transferor in the county and
34 municipal corporation is being transferred.

1 (ii) The certificate of the collecting agent and municipal corporation
2 designated by law showing that all taxes, assessments, and charges have been paid, shall
3 be endorsed on the deed and the endorsement shall be sufficient authority for transfer on
4 the assessment books.

5 (3) (I) ON REQUEST, THE TREASURER, TAX COLLECTOR, OR
6 DIRECTOR OF FINANCE FOR A COUNTY SHALL ISSUE TO THE REQUESTER A
7 CERTIFICATE CLEARLY ENUMERATING BY TYPE AND AMOUNT ANY PUBLIC TAXES,
8 ASSESSMENTS, AND CHARGES DUE TO THE COUNTY OR MUNICIPALITY AGAINST A
9 PROPERTY LOCATED IN THE COUNTY OR MUNICIPALITY.

10 (II) A CERTIFICATE ISSUED UNDER THIS PARAGRAPH SHALL
11 BAR ANY CHARGE OR ASSESSMENT AGAINST THE PROPERTY LEVIED ON A BONA FIDE
12 PURCHASER FOR VALUE WITH NO NOTICE OF THE CHARGE OR ASSESSMENT PRIOR
13 TO THE PURCHASE OF THE PROPERTY.

14 (III) A COLLECTING AGENT PRESENTED WITH A CERTIFICATE
15 ISSUED UNDER THIS PARAGRAPH WITHIN 45 DAYS AFTER ISSUANCE SHALL
16 ENDORSE THE DEED AS REQUIRED UNDER PARAGRAPH (1)(II) OR (2)(II) OF THIS
17 SUBSECTION ON PAYMENT OF ALL CHARGES SET FORTH IN THE CERTIFICATE AS
18 WELL AS ANY APPLICABLE TRANSFER OR RECORDATION TAXES.

19 (IV) A COUNTY MAY COLLECT A FEE OF UP TO \$55 FOR THE
20 ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE UNDER THIS PARAGRAPH.

21 (V) THE PAYMENT OF A FEE AND THE ISSUANCE OF A
22 CERTIFICATE UNDER THIS PARAGRAPH MAY NOT PRECLUDE A CLAIM BY A COUNTY
23 OR MUNICIPALITY TO PAYMENT OF A CHARGE OR ASSESSMENT AGAINST:

24 1. THE OWNER OF THE PROPERTY AT THE TIME OF THE
25 ISSUANCE OF THE CERTIFICATE; OR

26 2. A PERSON WHO ACQUIRES THE PROPERTY WITH
27 KNOWLEDGE OF THE CHARGE OR ASSESSMENT.

28 (VI) EACH TREASURER, TAX COLLECTOR, OR DIRECTOR OF
29 FINANCE OF A COUNTY SHALL ADOPT PROCEDURES TO IMPLEMENT THE
30 REQUIREMENTS OF THIS PARAGRAPH, INCLUDING PROCEDURES FOR OBTAINING A
31 STATEMENT ON A CERTIFICATE ISSUED UNDER THIS PARAGRAPH OF ALL TAXES,
32 ASSESSMENTS, AND CHARGES DUE TO A MUNICIPALITY ON THE TRANSFER OF
33 OWNERSHIP OF A PROPERTY.

1 (a) In this section, “paper document” means a document received by the clerk of
2 a circuit court in a form that is not electronic.

3 (b) In compliance with any standards established by the Administrative Office of
4 the Courts, the clerk of a circuit court:

5 (1) May receive, index, store, archive, and transmit electronic documents;

6 (2) May provide for access to, and search and retrieval of, documents and
7 information by electronic means;

8 (3) Shall, if the clerk of the circuit court accepts electronic documents for
9 recording, continue to accept paper documents and place entries for electronic and paper
10 documents in the same index;

11 (4) May convert into electronic form:

12 (i) Paper documents accepted for recording; and

13 (ii) Information recorded before the clerk of the circuit court began
14 to record electronic documents;

15 (5) Shall transmit documents in fully verified books to the State Archives
16 for preservation and publication on a website maintained by the State Archives;

17 (6) May accept by electronic means any fee or tax collected as a condition
18 precedent to recording a document; and

19 (7) [May agree] **SHALL COORDINATE** with other State or county officials
20 on procedures or processes to facilitate the electronic satisfaction of prior approvals and
21 conditions precedent to recording documents or the electronic payment of fees or taxes.

22 (c) The State Department of Assessments and Taxation or a county [may]:

23 (1) [Accept] **MAY ACCEPT** by electronic means any fee or tax that the
24 Department or county is authorized to collect as a condition precedent to recording a
25 document; and

26 (2) [Agree] **SHALL COORDINATE** with the clerk of a circuit court or other
27 State official on procedures or processes to facilitate the electronic satisfaction of prior
28 approvals and conditions precedent to recording documents or the electronic payment of
29 fees or taxes.

30 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect
31 October 1, 2024.

Sponsor Testimony

Uploaded by: David Probert

Position: FWA

MARY-DULANY JAMES
Legislative District 34
Harford County



James Senate Office Building
11 Bladen Street, Room 103
Annapolis, Maryland 21401
410-841-3158 · 301-858-3158
800-492-7122 Ext. 3158
MaryDulany.James@senate.state.md.us

Judicial Proceedings Committee
Executive Nominations Committee

Senate Chair
Joint Committee on
Children, Youth, and Families

THE SENATE OF MARYLAND
ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND 21401

Testimony of Senator Mary-Dulany James

In Favor of SB 423 - Real Property - Recordation – Procedures

Before the Judicial Proceedings Committee

On February 9th, 2024

SB 423 is a modest proposal by the Real Estate section of the Maryland State Bar Association. It is a small step toward addressing two questions:

- 1. Why is it so difficult to record land record documents?**
- 2. How can we begin to address this difficulty?**

Attached to my remarks is an amended version of SB 423, which is SB 884, along with a 5-page white paper from last session explaining some of the many problems and obstacles that have grown over the years (Exhibit A and Exhibit B). At the heart of the issue is the fact that Maryland's land recording system is not unified or uniform. Because state law says deeds and other instruments affecting real property must be filed in the county where the land is located (Real Property Code Section 3-103), our system is made up of 24 jurisdictions, each of which have differing requirements. Over the years, in addition to requiring that all real estate taxes be paid prior to the recording of instruments covering title, local governments have been loading up the process with all sorts of special assessments, special district taxes, public water and sewer assessments, personal property taxes, hotel taxes, local town or city taxes, and so on. These too all must be paid in full.

While starting in 2015, Maryland began allowing the electronic filing of documents, it was not until the pandemic that all the different jurisdictions embraced it. So far, however, only simple recording packages can be e-recorded. That means some residential real estate

and most commercial transactions are not eligible. Thus, these different and often tangential fees and taxes are administered and collected by offices in addition to the Clerks of Court, such as city halls, local administrators, treasurers, or directors of finance that must be personally visited. These processes can take hours at each visit or require that documents be dropped off necessitating return trips. Some offices have their own special forms that are different from the standardized Maryland Land Intake Sheet. Not all fees, taxes, and assessments, nor their necessary information, are available online. Information that is provided online does not stop jurisdictions from demanding payment of fees and assessments not showing in the system but is due and owed. Local county offices have refused to process a deed based on these newly created bills with surprise undisclosed charges, and the practical effect of this sharp practice is that settlement companies are left with either becoming a de facto collection agency chasing after the responsible party who may claim they have no money to pay or taking a loss on the hidden charges.

There are many issues and complications because of the variability and inefficiencies across counties and even within counties that strongly suggest the real estate recordation system should be overhauled, ideally with all the stakeholders' collaboration behind it.

This bill is meant as a first step toward that cooperation and brings some certainty to real estate closings, which are ubiquitous in every county and affect thousands of our constituents every day and every year, by amending the Real Property Code as follows:

First, by changing the word "may" to "shall" in Real Property Section 3-703 (b) (7) and (c) (The Electronic Recording Act) so that it reads:

"(b) ... the clerk of a circuit court...

(7) SHALL COORDINATE with other State or county officials on procedures or processes to facilitate the electronic satisfaction of prior approvals and conditions precedent to recording documents or the electronic payment of fees or taxes

(c) The State Department of Assessments and Taxation or a county:

(1) MAY ACCEPT by electronic means any fee or tax that the Department or county is authorized to collect as a condition precedent to recording a document; and

(2) SHALL COORDINATE with the clerk of a circuit court or other State official on procedures or processes to facilitate the electronic satisfaction of prior approvals and conditions precedent to recording documents or the electronic payment of fees or taxes."

The Maryland Circuit Court Clerks Association supports this proposal and are willing to take the lead and work with the local finance officials to develop a process for allowing the electronic payment of all fees and taxes required to record documents (see their email attached from last session in Exhibit C).

Second, by adding new section (b)(3) into Real Property Section 3-104 (Prerequisites to Recording), the goal of this language is to improve the nature and quality of certificates already existing by mandating they be made accurate, complete, timely, and, once issued, can be relied on in favor of all purchasers.

The real estate settlement industry is responsible for collecting billions of dollars on behalf of state, local, and municipal governments each year at no cost. These taxes and fees (along with annual real property taxes) are the backbone of county revenues and budgets. It behooves our local governments to begin to modernize and streamline all facets of recordation and elevate notice, transparency, and certainty to its proper place.

Exhibit A

SENATE BILL 884

N1

4lr2112

By: **Senator James**

Introduced and read first time: February 2, 2024

Assigned to: Judicial Proceedings

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2 **Real Property – Recordation – Procedures**

3 FOR the purpose of requiring the treasurer, tax collector, or director of finance for a county
4 to provide a certificate enumerating certain taxes, assessments, and charges against
5 a property and to establish certain procedures to facilitate the issuance of the
6 certificate; requiring a collecting agent presented with a certificate to endorse a deed
7 on payment of transfer and recordation taxes and all charges stated in the certificate
8 and establishing that this endorsement is sufficient authority for a transfer on the
9 assessment books; requiring the clerk of a circuit court and the State Department of
10 Assessments and Taxation to facilitate the electronic satisfaction of prior approvals
11 and conditions precedent to recording documents or the electronic payment of fees or
12 taxes; and generally relating to the recordation of instruments affecting an interest
13 in real property.

14 BY repealing and reenacting, without amendments,

15 Article – Real Property
16 Section 3–104(a)
17 Annotated Code of Maryland
18 (2023 Replacement Volume)

19 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,

20 Article – Real Property
21 Section 3–104(b) and 3–703
22 Annotated Code of Maryland
23 (2023 Replacement Volume)

24 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,

25 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

26 **Article – Real Property**

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



1 3-104.

2 (a) (1) The Clerk of the Circuit Court may record an instrument that effects a
3 change of ownership if the instrument is:

4 (i) Endorsed with the certificate of the collector of taxes of the
5 county in which the property is assessed, required under subsection (b) of this section;

6 (ii) 1. Accompanied by a complete intake sheet; or

7 2. Endorsed by the assessment office for the county as
8 provided in subsection (g)(8) of this section; and

9 (iii) Accompanied by a copy of the instrument, and any survey, for
10 submission to the Department of Assessments and Taxation.

11 (2) The Supervisor of Assessments shall transfer ownership of property in
12 the assessment records, effective as of the date of recordation, upon receipt from the Clerk
13 of the Circuit Court of a copy of the instrument, the completed intake sheet, and any survey
14 submitted under paragraph (1) of this subsection.

15 (b) (1) (i) Except as provided in subsection (c) of this section, property may
16 not be transferred on the assessment books or records until:

17 1. All public taxes, assessments, and charges currently due
18 and owed on the property have been paid to the treasurer, tax collector, or director of
19 finance of the county in which the property is assessed; and

20 2. All taxes on personal property in the county due by the
21 transferor have been paid when all land owned by the transferor in the county is being
22 transferred.

23 (ii) The certificate of the collecting agent designated by law, showing
24 that all taxes, assessments, and charges have been paid, shall be endorsed on the deed, and
25 the endorsement shall be sufficient authority for transfer on the assessment books.

26 (2) (i) Except as provided in subsection (c) of this section, in Allegany,
27 Cecil, Charles, Dorchester, Harford, Howard, Kent, Queen Anne's, Somerset, and St.
28 Mary's counties no property may be transferred on the assessment books or records until:

29 1. All public taxes, assessments, any charges due a
30 municipal corporation, and charges due on the property have been paid as required by law;
31 and

32 2. All taxes on personal property in the county due by the
33 transferor have been paid when all land owned by the transferor in the county and
34 municipal corporation is being transferred.

1 (ii) The certificate of the collecting agent and municipal corporation
2 designated by law showing that all taxes, assessments, and charges have been paid, shall
3 be endorsed on the deed and the endorsement shall be sufficient authority for transfer on
4 the assessment books.

5 (3) (I) ON REQUEST, THE TREASURER, TAX COLLECTOR, OR
6 DIRECTOR OF FINANCE FOR A COUNTY SHALL ISSUE TO THE REQUESTER A
7 CERTIFICATE CLEARLY ENUMERATING BY TYPE AND AMOUNT ANY PUBLIC TAXES,
8 ASSESSMENTS, AND CHARGES DUE TO THE COUNTY OR MUNICIPALITY AGAINST A
9 PROPERTY LOCATED IN THE COUNTY OR MUNICIPALITY.

10 (II) A CERTIFICATE ISSUED UNDER THIS PARAGRAPH SHALL
11 BAR ANY CHARGE OR ASSESSMENT AGAINST THE PROPERTY LEVIED ON A BONA FIDE
12 PURCHASER FOR VALUE WITH NO NOTICE OF THE CHARGE OR ASSESSMENT PRIOR
13 TO THE PURCHASE OF THE PROPERTY.

14 (III) A COLLECTING AGENT PRESENTED WITH A CERTIFICATE
15 ISSUED UNDER THIS PARAGRAPH WITHIN 45 DAYS AFTER ISSUANCE SHALL
16 ENDORSE THE DEED AS REQUIRED UNDER PARAGRAPH (1)(II) OR (2)(II) OF THIS
17 SUBSECTION ON PAYMENT OF ALL CHARGES SET FORTH IN THE CERTIFICATE AS
18 WELL AS ANY APPLICABLE TRANSFER OR RECORDATION TAXES.

19 (IV) A COUNTY MAY COLLECT A FEE OF UP TO \$55 FOR THE
20 ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE UNDER THIS PARAGRAPH.

21 (V) THE PAYMENT OF A FEE AND THE ISSUANCE OF A
22 CERTIFICATE UNDER THIS PARAGRAPH MAY NOT PRECLUDE A CLAIM BY A COUNTY
23 OR MUNICIPALITY TO PAYMENT OF A CHARGE OR ASSESSMENT AGAINST:

24 1. THE OWNER OF THE PROPERTY AT THE TIME OF THE
25 ISSUANCE OF THE CERTIFICATE; OR

26 2. A PERSON WHO ACQUIRES THE PROPERTY WITH
27 KNOWLEDGE OF THE CHARGE OR ASSESSMENT.

28 (VI) EACH TREASURER, TAX COLLECTOR, OR DIRECTOR OF
29 FINANCE OF A COUNTY SHALL ADOPT PROCEDURES TO IMPLEMENT THE
30 REQUIREMENTS OF THIS PARAGRAPH, INCLUDING PROCEDURES FOR OBTAINING A
31 STATEMENT ON A CERTIFICATE ISSUED UNDER THIS PARAGRAPH OF ALL TAXES,
32 ASSESSMENTS, AND CHARGES DUE TO A MUNICIPALITY ON THE TRANSFER OF
33 OWNERSHIP OF A PROPERTY.

34 3-703.

1 (a) In this section, "paper document" means a document received by the clerk of
2 a circuit court in a form that is not electronic.

3 (b) In compliance with any standards established by the Administrative Office of
4 the Courts, the clerk of a circuit court:

5 (1) May receive, index, store, archive, and transmit electronic documents;

6 (2) May provide for access to, and search and retrieval of, documents and
7 information by electronic means;

8 (3) Shall, if the clerk of the circuit court accepts electronic documents for
9 recording, continue to accept paper documents and place entries for electronic and paper
10 documents in the same index;

11 (4) May convert into electronic form:

12 (i) Paper documents accepted for recording; and

13 (ii) Information recorded before the clerk of the circuit court began
14 to record electronic documents;

15 (5) Shall transmit documents in fully verified books to the State Archives
16 for preservation and publication on a website maintained by the State Archives;

17 (6) May accept by electronic means any fee or tax collected as a condition
18 precedent to recording a document; and

19 (7) **[May agree] SHALL COORDINATE** with other State or county officials
20 on procedures or processes to facilitate the electronic satisfaction of prior approvals and
21 conditions precedent to recording documents or the electronic payment of fees or taxes.

22 (c) The State Department of Assessments and Taxation or a county **[may]**:

23 (1) **[Accept] MAY ACCEPT** by electronic means any fee or tax that the
24 Department or county is authorized to collect as a condition precedent to recording a
25 document; and

26 (2) **[Agree] SHALL COORDINATE** with the clerk of a circuit court or other
27 State official on procedures or processes to facilitate the electronic satisfaction of prior
28 approvals and conditions precedent to recording documents or the electronic payment of
29 fees or taxes.

30 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect
31 October 1, 2024.

Exhibit B

Why is it so Difficult to Record Documents in the Land Records? **How Can We Begin to Address this Difficulty?**

Anyone who has handled commercial real estate transactions in Maryland knows “closing” the transaction, which includes obtaining all the executed closing documents, clearing all liens of record, collecting the funds, and disbursing them according to the parties instructions, is only the beginning of the battle. Perfecting the transaction by recording documents in the Land Records can be as challenging as any stage of a transaction *and in many instances, the most difficult part.*

Maryland’s land recording system is made up of 24 jurisdictions (23 Counties and the City of Baltimore) managed by the State of Maryland Clerks of the Circuit Court. But the Clerks can only record what documents make their way to them after navigating the many offices and toll booths the documents have to go through along the way. These toll booths are maintained by Finance Offices in each of the 24 jurisdictions. To be clear, the Clerks and the Courts are not the problem. The problem is with what happens before the documents reach them.

In a basic residential real estate transaction in which there is a deed and a single mortgage or deed of trust, and the documents are e-recorded through Simplifile, the process could take as little as several days. However, if the property is in Baltimore City, this could take a month or more. But not all transactions can utilize Simplifile because they do not meet the requirement that it be a “simple” or “basic” transaction.

Maryland can and should do better. In most jurisdictions around the country, documents get recorded on the day on which they are delivered to the recorder by the settlement company. Generally, the documents are delivered to the recorder in the morning on the day of closing (i.e., the day the money is disbursed), and title is brought to date at that time. Once the documents are recorded, the recording service notifies the settlement company that the documents are on record, at which time the settlement company disburses the money according to the parties instructions. *All on the same day.*

We cannot record on that schedule in Maryland because of the length of time it takes for a deed to make its way through the system. No seller, buyer, lender, or real estate salesperson is willing to wait around for several days or weeks (or more in the case of Baltimore City) to receive their money or be able to move into the property. And if the seller’s existing secured loan is not paid on the date of “closing,” it will continue to accrue interest for which the settlement statement and Closing Disclosure do not account.

So what happens in Maryland to enable “closings” to include the disbursement of funds to the seller and seller’s lender, so interest will cease to accrue, and to others and for the parties to act as if there has been a completed and perfected transaction? The parties inherently assume certain risks of which they may not even be aware and which the recording system is designed to prevent. Also, if the buyer has purchased title insurance, the title insurance company will assume certain risks, and at the same time try to reduce its exposure by obtaining representations

and indemnities from parties to the transaction. Frequently, the settlement company will hold back from the settlement proceeds the amount of money that it thinks will be necessary to satisfy the liens and claims of the jurisdiction where the property is located.

Some of the problems with the current system are explained in further detail below. While the entire process should be overhauled, with 24 jurisdictions and 24 different ways of doing things, that would be difficult without a concerted effort by all stakeholders. Instead, as a meaningful first step, we propose some modest changes that we hope will lead to cooperation by all stakeholders to fix our antiquated system.

A. Prerequisites To Recording Documents

Prerequisites to recording documents can be found in RP §3–104. This code section contains about 80 provisions. This paper will focus on the several most responsible for rejections:

B. The Most Common Reasons for Recording Rejections

1. Pay Open Assessments

RP §3–104(a)(1) states that “[t]he Clerk of the Circuit Court may record an instrument that effects a change of ownership if the instrument is: (i) Endorsed with the certificate of the collector of taxes of the county in which the property is assessed. . . .”

All public taxes, and if applicable, special assessments, special district taxes, public water and sewer assessments, front foot benefit charges, personal property taxes, hotel taxes, rollback or recapture taxes, local town or city taxes and municipal fees due and owing on the property must be paid in full to the treasurer, tax collector, or director of finance of the jurisdiction in which the property is assessed.

Obtaining the amounts due often takes *herculean* effort. Six jurisdictions require purchasing official lien certificates. Four have optional certificates or tax reports. These lien certificates or tax reports typically contain only the basic real property tax information. Few include any other additional fees or charges that may need to be remitted in order to record a document. Seventeen jurisdictions have incorporated municipalities that must be separately contacted. Some require special water readings. Some have special forms in addition to the Maryland Land Intake Sheet. Some jurisdictions have separate utility companies owned by municipalities that you must contact directly. Some may have various departments under one roof, but you need to contact each individual department to inquire about charges and obtain a sign off. All have different turnaround times (from as little as three days to two weeks, and most recently in Baltimore City six weeks or more) and varying expiration dates.

Not all necessary information is available through online systems. Information provided online does not prevent jurisdictions from demanding fees or assessments not showing in the system. Surprises at the county finance level happen frequently. Sometimes, the County will create a new bill (even when you obtain their voluntary lien certificate) once it receives the deed attempting to transfer title to a property and will refuse to process the deed until such new, undisclosed, and undiscoverable “lien” is paid in full.

The problem with all this, as noted above, is that the money on deposit with settlement company has already been disbursed or allocated to expected expenses, and there are no funds left from which to pay these hidden charges. The settlement company is left in the untenable position of trying to collect after the “closing” the additional sums from the responsible party before the deed is recorded or paying the hidden charges and trying to thereafter collect from a party who may then claim that it “has no money,” or arguing with the jurisdiction that rejected the deed, which goes nowhere.

The real estate settlement industry is responsible for collecting countless billions of dollars on behalf of the State and local governments each year for which the State and local governments pay nothing. Is it fair to make settlement companies the guarantor of hidden or undisclosed charges? Is it unreasonable to demand that each jurisdiction state promptly after request what must be paid to transfer title and allow the settlement companies to rely on such statement? If a mistake is made and the jurisdiction does not request all of the funds to which it might be entitled, the jurisdiction could demand payment from the responsible party (usually the seller) after the deed has been recorded, but that should not hold up recording or prevent a *bona fide* purchaser from obtaining record title to the property. .

2. *Recording v. e-Recording*

Maryland began allowing electronic recording in some jurisdictions in 2015, and because of the pandemic that last remaining counties have now embraced it. Only simple recording packages can be e-recorded. As noted above, for a basic residential real estate transaction, if the documents are e-recorded, the documents could make it to record in as little as a day or two, or as long as a month or more.

But most commercial transactions are not eligible to be processed in the e-recording system, and thus, must be presented in person or by overnight mail (e.g., FedEx, UPS, DHL etc. . .). If presented in person, recording can be accomplished on the same day in some jurisdictions, but in others the documents must be left at each stop. Two or three office stops (Town, County Finance, Clerk of Court) is normal and can add hours of travel between the offices. Some jurisdictions require you to drop off the package and wait for clerks to get to yours for review. The delay between drop off and processing varies based on jurisdiction, time of year and the complexities of the recording package. It can be a few days or months if there is a problem. Often one does not learn that a document has been rejected for several weeks.

C. A Modest Proposal to Correct Some of the Problems

This paper has highlighted some of the challenges to successful recording in Maryland but does not cover every pitfall. The process is complicated even if there are no hidden fees or rejections based on a county’s view of the transaction. The real estate settlement industry has noticed that the Clerks and the Finance Offices often do not work together to improve the process. And thus, we propose to change the word “may” to “shall” in RP§ 3-703 (i.e., the Electronic Recording Act) which states in relevant part (with the proposed change shown):

(a) In this section, “paper document” means a document received by the clerk of a circuit court in a form that is not electronic.

(b) In compliance with any standards established by the Administrative Office of the Courts, the clerk of a circuit court: . . .

(7) ~~May~~ **SHALL** agree with other State or county officials on procedures or processes to facilitate the electronic satisfaction of prior approvals and conditions precedent to recording documents or the electronic payment of fees or taxes.

(c) The State Department of Assessments and Taxation or a county ~~may~~ **SHALL**: . . .

(2) Agree with the clerk of a circuit court or other State official on procedures or processes to facilitate the electronic satisfaction of prior approvals and conditions precedent to recording documents or the electronic payment of fees or taxes.

The second proposed change is to require each jurisdiction to provide a timely lien certification that can be relied on to show all charges and fees assessed against the property and prevent recording rejections based on charges not shown on the lien certificate. In exchange, the jurisdictions may charge a modest fee to cover the cost of producing such certificates.

Thus, the real estate settlement industry proposes adding such a requirement with the addition to RP § 3-104 of a new section (b)(2)(iii) stating:

(iii) THE DIRECTOR OF FINANCE, OR HIS OR HER DESIGNEE, FOR EACH COUNTY SHALL MAKE PROVISIONS FOR:

(1) THE TIMELY, SYSTEMATIC, AND RELIABLE COLLECTION OF ACCURATE DATA IN REGARD TO ALL COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL, IF APPLICABLE, CHARGES OR ASSESSMENTS AFFECTING ANY PARTICULAR PIECE OF REAL PROPERTY SITUATE IN THE COUNTY; AND

(2) THE ISSUANCE WITHIN FIVE BUSINESS DAYS OF RECEIPT OF THE APPLICATION OF ANY PERSON TENDERING A FEE OF \$55 FOR EACH SEPARATE PIECE OF PROPERTY INQUIRED ABOUT, OF A CERTIFICATE SHOWING PLAINLY AND ACCURATELY THE KIND AND AMOUNT OF ALL SUCH CHARGES OR ASSESSMENTS AGAINST SUCH PARTICULAR PIECE OF PROPERTY THAT WILL BE REQUIRED TO OBTAIN THE ENDORSEMENT CONTEMPLATED IN SECTION (II).

(3) SUCH CERTIFICATE HEREBY PROVIDED TO BE ISSUED, WHEN ISSUED, SHALL BE AND BECOME EFFECTUAL IN FAVOR OF EVERY BONA FIDE PURCHASER FOR VALUE AND WITHOUT NOTICE TO BAR ANY CLAIM THEREAFTER, FOR AND ON ACCOUNT OF ANY CHARGE OR ASSESSMENT AGAINST ANY PARTICULAR PIECE OF PROPERTY, PRECLUDED BY THE FACT OF SAID CERTIFICATE;

(4) SUCH CERTIFICATE SHALL BE ACCEPTED BY THE COLLECTING AGENT IF PRESENTED WITHIN 45 DAYS OF ISSUANCE WHO SHALL ENDORSE THE DEED AS REQUIRED IN (III) AND UPON PAYMENT OF ALL CHARGES SET FORTH IN SAID CERTIFICATE ALONG WITH ANY APPLICABLE TRANSFER AND RECORDATION TAXES.

(5) NEITHER THE PAYMENT OF THE SAID FEE NOR THE ISSUANCE OF SUCH CERTIFICATE MENTIONED SHALL IN ANY EVENT BE HELD TO PRECLUDE THE CLAIM BY THE COUNTY TO ANY CHARGE OR ASSESSMENT AS AGAINST THE OWNER OF THE PROPERTY AT THE TIME SUCH CERTIFICATE AS IS HEREIN PROVIDED FOR IS APPLIED FOR AND ISSUED OR ANY PERSON ACQUIRING SAID PROPERTY WITH KNOWLEDGE OF SUCH CLAIM.

This proposed addition to RP § 3-104 is modeled after Baltimore City Code Article 28, Section § 2-3, which says in relevant part, “The Director of Finance, through the Chief Clerk in charge of said Bureau, to be appointed as aforesaid, shall make provisions for: (1) the systematic and reliable collection of accurate data in regard to all municipal charges or assessments affecting any particular piece of real property situate in the City of Baltimore; and (2) the issuance, upon the application of any person tendering a fee . . . for each separate piece of property inquired about, of a certificate showing plainly and accurately the kind and amount of all such charges or assessments against such particular piece of property. . . . Said certificate hereby provided to be issued, when issued, shall be and become effectual in favor of every bona fide purchaser for value and without notice to bar any claim thereafter, for and on account of any charge or assessment against any particular piece of property, precluded by the fact of said certificate. . . .”

We recognize that this proposal will not cure all of the problems related to the recording process and delays in recording in Maryland, but we believe that this includes an important first step to doing so.

Exhibit C

From: Enten, D. Robert <denten@gflaw.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2023 11:30 AM
To: James, Mary-Dulany Senator <MaryDulany.James@senate.state.md.us>
Subject: SB971

See email below to Bill O'Connell.

Bill, The Maryland Circuit Court Clerks' Association supports this legislation. A special Thank You goes out to Sen James for asking for our position. Please pass that along if you could.

Thank you,

Katherine

Katherine B. Hager

Clerk of Court

Circuit Court for Queen Anne's County

200 N. Commerce Street

Centreville, MD. 21617

410-758-1773 x5116

Katherine.Hager@mdcourts.gov

D. Robert Enten

Gordon Feinblatt

1001 Fleet Street

Suite 700

Baltimore, Maryland 21202

Office: 410 576 4114

Cellular: 410 790 8409

Fax: 410 576 4196

denten@gflaw.com

www.gflaw.com

MLTA SB0423 testimony (support with amendments).pd

Uploaded by: Mark Glazer

Position: FWA



1783 Forest Drive, Suite 305, Annapolis, MD 21401 | (443) 620-4408 ph. | (443) 458-9437 fax

To: Members of the Senate Judicial Proceedings Committee
From: MLTA Legislative Committee
Date: February 13, 2024 [Hearing date: February 14, 2024]
Subject: **SB 0423** – Real Property – Recordation – Procedures
Position: **Support with Amendments**

The Maryland Land Title Association (MLTA) is a professional organization working on behalf of title industry service providers and consumers and is comprised of agents, abstractors, attorneys, and underwriters. **MLTA SUPPORTS Senate Bill 423 – Real Property – Recordation – Procedures with amendments.**

The bill requires a county, through its designated agent to provide, upon request, a certificate enumerating what taxes, assessments, and charges are due against a property. The bill would further require a county employee presented with a certificate to endorse a deed and establishes that the endorsement is sufficient authority for a transfer on the assessment books. A bona fide purchaser for value of real property in the county would be entitled to rely on the certificate to establish any tax or assessment due to the county.

MLTA support this bill because such certificates would speed the recording process, and provide greater certainty to parties in a real estate settlement. Currently, it is not uncommon for parties, generally through a settlement agent, to contact those jurisdictions that do not offer “lien certificates” to find out what charges are due to the county, only get to recordation and find that the county has changed the charges or forgotten to add something, and recordation will be refused until those sums are paid. The settlement agent then needs to either come out of pocket to pay the costs or return to the parties to seek extra funds. This bill would require counties presented with a certificate to record the deed as long as all charges identified by the county on the certificate are paid. This provides greater certainty to all parties involved in the transaction.

The members of the Maryland Land Title Association urge a favorable report on SB 423 with two minor amendments, which are in the nature of clarifications.

I. Page 3, Lines 14-19

A COLLECTING AGENT PRESENTED WITH A CERTIFICATE ISSUED UNDER THIS PARAGRAPH WITHIN 45 DAYS AFTER ISSUANCE INDICATING THAT ALL TAXES, ASSESSMENTS, AND CHARGES DUE TO THE COUNTY OR MUNICIPALITY HAVE BEEN PAID SHALL ENDORSE THAT ALL TAXES, ASSESSMENTS, AND CHARGES HAVE BEEN PAID AND THE ENDORSEMENT SHALL BE SUFFICIENT AUTHORITY FOR TRANSFER ON THE ASSESSMENT BOOKS THE DEED AS REQUIRED UNDER PARAGRAPH (1)(II) OR (2)(II) OF THIS SUBSECTION ON PAYMENT OF ALL CHARGES SET FORTH IN THE CERTIFICATE AS WELL AS ANY APPLICABLE TRANSFER OR RECORDATION TAXES.

II. Page 3, Lines 25-26

1. **THE RECORD OWNER OF THE PROPERTY AT THE TIME OF THE ISSUANCE OF THE CERTIFICATE; OR**

2024 SB 423 [favorable with amendment].pdf

Uploaded by: William O'Connell

Position: FWA



Real Property Section

To: Judicial Proceeding (Senate)

From: Legislative Committee of the Real Property Section Counsel

Date: February 13, 2024 [Hearing Date February 14, 2024]

Subject: **SB 423** – Real Property - Recordation - Procedures

Position: **Favorable with Amendment to conform with SB 884**

The Real Property Section Counsel of the Maryland State Bar Association (MSBA) **supports with amendment** SB 423 – Real Property - Recordation – Procedures.

This bill and SB 884 seek to solve *some* of the problems related to recording real property transaction documents in the land records.

Anyone who has managed commercial real estate transactions in Maryland knows “closing” the transaction, which includes obtaining all the executed closing documents, clearing all liens of record, collecting the funds, and disbursing them according to the parties instructions, is only the beginning of the battle. Perfecting the transaction by recording documents in the Land Records can be as challenging as any stage of a transaction *and in many instances, the most difficult part.*

Maryland’s land recording system is made up of 24 jurisdictions (23 Counties and the City of Baltimore) managed by the State of Maryland Clerks of the Circuit Court. But the Clerks can only record what documents make their way to them after navigating the many offices and toll booths the documents have to go through along the way. These toll booths are maintained by Finance Offices in each of the 24 jurisdictions. To be clear, the Clerks and the Courts are not the problem. The problem is with what happens before the documents reach them.

In a basic residential real estate transaction in which there is a deed and a single mortgage or deed of trust, and the documents are e-recorded through Simplifile, the process could take as little as several days. However, if the property is in Baltimore City, this could take a month or more. But not all transactions can utilize Simplifile because they do not meet the requirement that it be a “simple” or “basic” transaction.

Maryland can and should do better. In most jurisdictions around the country, documents are recorded on the day on which they are delivered to the recorder by the settlement company.

The documents are delivered to the recorder in the morning on the day of closing (i.e., the day the money is disbursed), and title is brought to date at that time. Once the documents are recorded, the recording service notifies the settlement company that the documents are on record, at which time the settlement company disburses the money according to the parties instructions. *All on the same day.*

We cannot record on that schedule in Maryland because of the length of time it takes for a deed to make its way through the system. No seller, buyer, lender, or real estate salesperson is willing to wait around for several days or weeks (or more in the case of Baltimore City) to receive their money or be able to move into the property. And if the seller’s existing secured loan is not paid on the date of “closing,” it will continue to accrue interest for which the settlement statement and Closing Disclosure do not account.

So what happens in Maryland to enable “closings” to include the disbursement of funds to the seller and seller’s lender, so interest will cease to accrue, and to others and for the parties to act as if there has been a completed and perfected transaction? The parties inherently assume certain risks of which they may not even be aware and which the recording system is designed to prevent. Also, if the buyer has purchased title insurance, the title insurance company will assume certain risks, and at the same time try to reduce its exposure by obtaining representations and indemnities from parties to the transaction. Frequently, the settlement company will hold back from the settlement proceeds the amount of money that it thinks will be necessary to satisfy the liens and claims of the jurisdiction where the property is located. Some of the problems with the current system are explained in further detail below. While the entire process should be overhauled, with 24 jurisdictions and 24 different ways of doing things, that would be difficult without a concerted effort by all stakeholders. Instead, as a meaningful first step, **this bill and SB 884** seek two modest changes that we hope will lead to cooperation by all stakeholders to fix our antiquated system.

A. Prerequisites To Recording Documents

Prerequisites to recording documents are found in RP §3–104. This code section contains about 80 provisions. This testimony focuses on the several most responsible for recording rejections:

B. The Most Common Reasons for Recording Rejections

1. Pay Open Assessments

RP §3–104(a)(1) states that “[t]he Clerk of the Circuit Court may record an instrument that effects a change of ownership if the instrument is: (i) Endorsed with the certificate of the collector of taxes of the county in which the property is assessed. . . .”

All public taxes, and if applicable, special assessments, special district taxes, public water and sewer assessments, front foot benefit charges, personal property taxes, hotel taxes, rollback or recapture taxes, local town or city taxes and municipal fees due and owing on the property must be paid in full to the treasurer, tax collector, or director of finance of the jurisdiction in which the property is assessed.

Obtaining the amounts due often takes *herculean* effort. Six jurisdictions require purchasing official lien certificates. Four have optional certificates or tax reports. These lien certificates or tax reports typically contain only the basic real property tax information. Few include any other additional fees or charges that may need to be remitted in order to record a document. Seventeen jurisdictions have incorporated municipalities that must be separately contacted. Some require special water readings. Some have special forms in addition to the Maryland Land Intake Sheet. Some jurisdictions have separate utility companies owned by municipalities that you must contact directly. Some may have various departments under one roof, but you need to contact each individual department to inquire about charges and obtain a sign off. All have different turnaround times (from as little as three days to two weeks, and at times in Baltimore City six weeks or more) and varying expiration dates.

Not all necessary information is available through online systems. Information provided online does not prevent jurisdictions from demanding fees or assessments not showing in the system. Surprises at the county finance level happen frequently. Sometimes, the County will create a new bill (even when you obtain their voluntary lien certificate) once it receives the deed attempting to transfer title to a property and will refuse to process the deed until such new, undisclosed, and undiscoverable “lien” is paid in full.

The problem with all this, as noted above, is that the money on deposit with settlement company has already been disbursed or allocated to expected expenses, and there are no funds left from which to pay these hidden charges. The settlement company is left in the untenable position of trying to collect after the “closing” the additional sums from the responsible party before the deed is recorded or paying the hidden charges and trying to thereafter collect from a party who may then claim that it “has no money,” or arguing with the jurisdiction that rejected the deed, which goes nowhere.

The real estate settlement industry is responsible for collecting countless billions of dollars on behalf of the State and local governments each year for which the State and local governments pay nothing. Is it fair to make settlement companies the guarantor of hidden or undisclosed charges? Is it unreasonable to demand that each jurisdiction state promptly after request what must be paid to transfer title and allow the settlement companies to rely on such statement? If a mistake is made and the jurisdiction does not request all of the funds to which it might be entitled, the jurisdiction could demand payment from the responsible party (usually the seller) after the deed has been recorded, but that should not hold up recording or prevent a *bona fide* purchaser from obtaining record title to the property.

2. *Recording v. e-Recording*

Maryland began allowing electronic recording in some jurisdictions in 2015, and because of the pandemic that last remaining counties have now embraced it. Only simple recording packages can be e-recorded. As noted above, for a basic residential real estate transaction, if the documents are e-recorded, the documents could make it to record in as little as a day or two, or

as long as a month or more.

But most commercial transactions are not eligible to be processed in the e-recording system, and thus, must be presented in person or by overnight mail (e.g., FedEx, UPS, DHL etc. . .). If presented in person, recording can be accomplished on the same day in some jurisdictions, but in others the documents must be left at each stop. Two or three office stops (Town, County Finance, Clerk of Court) is normal and can add hours of travel between the offices. Some jurisdictions require you to drop off the package and wait for clerks to get to yours for review. The delay between drop off and processing varies based on jurisdiction, time of year and the complexities of the recording package. It can be a few days or months if there is a problem. Often one does not learn that a document has been rejected for several weeks.

C. SB 423 with SB 884 amendments is a Modest Proposal to Correct Two Problems

This testimony highlights some of the challenges to successful recording in Maryland but does not cover every pitfall. The process is complicated even if there are no hidden fees or rejections based on a county’s view of the transaction. The real estate settlement industry has noticed that the Clerks and the Finance Offices often do not work together to improve the process. And thus, this bill and SB 884 seeks to change the words “may agree” to “shall coordinate” in RP§ 3-703 (i.e., the Electronic Recording Act).

The second proposed change is to require each jurisdiction to provide a timely lien certification that can be relied on to show all charges and fees assessed against the property and prevent recording rejections based on charges not shown on the lien certificate. In exchange, the jurisdictions may charge a modest fee to cover the cost of producing such certificates.

The requested amendments that can be found in SB 884 are needed to clarify the process. SB 423 assumes that all charges have paid when the certificate is presented to the collecting agent. But the certificate acts like an invoice and the charges shown thereon get paid when the deed is presented. SB 884 fixes this problem where it says:

(III) A COLLECTING AGENT PRESENTED WITH A CERTIFICATE ISSUED UNDER THIS PARAGRAPH WITHIN 45 DAYS AFTER ISSUANCE SHALL ENDORSE THE DEED AS REQUIRED UNDER PARAGRAPH (1)(II) OR (2)(II) OF THIS SUBSECTION ON PAYMENT OF ALL CHARGES SET FORTH IN THE CERTIFICATE AS WELL AS ANY APPLICABLE TRANSFER OR RECORDATION TAXES.

This proposed addition to RP § 3-104 is modeled after Baltimore City Code Article 28, Section § 2-3, which says in relevant part, “The Director of Finance, through the Chief Clerk in charge of said Bureau, to be appointed as aforesaid, shall make provisions for: (1) the systematic and reliable collection of accurate data in regard to all municipal charges or assessments affecting any particular piece of real property situate in the City of Baltimore; and (2) the issuance, upon the application of any person tendering a fee . . . for each separate piece of property inquired

about, of a certificate showing plainly and accurately the kind and amount of all such charges or assessments against such particular piece of property. . . . Said certificate hereby provided to be issued, when issued, shall be and become effectual in favor of every bona fide purchaser for value and without notice to bar any claim thereafter, for and on account of any charge or assessment against any particular piece of property, precluded by the fact of said certificate. . . .
.”

We recognize that this proposed legislation will not cure all of the problems related to the recording process and delays in recording in Maryland, but this bill as amended includes an important first step to doing so.

For these reasons, the Real Property Section Counsel of the MSBA **supports SB 423 with amendments to conform the bill to SB 884** and asks for a **favorable report**. Thank you for your consideration.

SENATE BILL 884

N1

4lr2112

By: **Senator James**

Introduced and read first time: February 2, 2024

Assigned to: Judicial Proceedings

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2 **Real Property – Recordation – Procedures**

3 FOR the purpose of requiring the treasurer, tax collector, or director of finance for a county
4 to provide a certificate enumerating certain taxes, assessments, and charges against
5 a property and to establish certain procedures to facilitate the issuance of the
6 certificate; requiring a collecting agent presented with a certificate to endorse a deed
7 on payment of transfer and recordation taxes and all charges stated in the certificate
8 and establishing that this endorsement is sufficient authority for a transfer on the
9 assessment books; requiring the clerk of a circuit court and the State Department of
10 Assessments and Taxation to facilitate the electronic satisfaction of prior approvals
11 and conditions precedent to recording documents or the electronic payment of fees or
12 taxes; and generally relating to the recordation of instruments affecting an interest
13 in real property.

14 BY repealing and reenacting, without amendments,
15 Article – Real Property
16 Section 3–104(a)
17 Annotated Code of Maryland
18 (2023 Replacement Volume)

19 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
20 Article – Real Property
21 Section 3–104(b) and 3–703
22 Annotated Code of Maryland
23 (2023 Replacement Volume)

24 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,
25 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

26 **Article – Real Property**

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



1 3-104.

2 (a) (1) The Clerk of the Circuit Court may record an instrument that effects a
3 change of ownership if the instrument is:

4 (i) Endorsed with the certificate of the collector of taxes of the
5 county in which the property is assessed, required under subsection (b) of this section;

6 (ii) 1. Accompanied by a complete intake sheet; or

7 2. Endorsed by the assessment office for the county as
8 provided in subsection (g)(8) of this section; and

9 (iii) Accompanied by a copy of the instrument, and any survey, for
10 submission to the Department of Assessments and Taxation.

11 (2) The Supervisor of Assessments shall transfer ownership of property in
12 the assessment records, effective as of the date of recordation, upon receipt from the Clerk
13 of the Circuit Court of a copy of the instrument, the completed intake sheet, and any survey
14 submitted under paragraph (1) of this subsection.

15 (b) (1) (i) Except as provided in subsection (c) of this section, property may
16 not be transferred on the assessment books or records until:

17 1. All public taxes, assessments, and charges currently due
18 and owed on the property have been paid to the treasurer, tax collector, or director of
19 finance of the county in which the property is assessed; and

20 2. All taxes on personal property in the county due by the
21 transferor have been paid when all land owned by the transferor in the county is being
22 transferred.

23 (ii) The certificate of the collecting agent designated by law, showing
24 that all taxes, assessments, and charges have been paid, shall be endorsed on the deed, and
25 the endorsement shall be sufficient authority for transfer on the assessment books.

26 (2) (i) Except as provided in subsection (c) of this section, in Allegany,
27 Cecil, Charles, Dorchester, Harford, Howard, Kent, Queen Anne's, Somerset, and St.
28 Mary's counties no property may be transferred on the assessment books or records until:

29 1. All public taxes, assessments, any charges due a
30 municipal corporation, and charges due on the property have been paid as required by law;
31 and

32 2. All taxes on personal property in the county due by the
33 transferor have been paid when all land owned by the transferor in the county and
34 municipal corporation is being transferred.

1 (ii) The certificate of the collecting agent and municipal corporation
2 designated by law showing that all taxes, assessments, and charges have been paid, shall
3 be endorsed on the deed and the endorsement shall be sufficient authority for transfer on
4 the assessment books.

5 **(3) (I) ON REQUEST, THE TREASURER, TAX COLLECTOR, OR**
6 **DIRECTOR OF FINANCE FOR A COUNTY SHALL ISSUE TO THE REQUESTER A**
7 **CERTIFICATE CLEARLY ENUMERATING BY TYPE AND AMOUNT ANY PUBLIC TAXES,**
8 **ASSESSMENTS, AND CHARGES DUE TO THE COUNTY OR MUNICIPALITY AGAINST A**
9 **PROPERTY LOCATED IN THE COUNTY OR MUNICIPALITY.**

10 **(II) A CERTIFICATE ISSUED UNDER THIS PARAGRAPH SHALL**
11 **BAR ANY CHARGE OR ASSESSMENT AGAINST THE PROPERTY LEVIED ON A BONA FIDE**
12 **PURCHASER FOR VALUE WITH NO NOTICE OF THE CHARGE OR ASSESSMENT PRIOR**
13 **TO THE PURCHASE OF THE PROPERTY.**

14 **(III) A COLLECTING AGENT PRESENTED WITH A CERTIFICATE**
15 **ISSUED UNDER THIS PARAGRAPH WITHIN 45 DAYS AFTER ISSUANCE SHALL**
16 **ENDORSE THE DEED AS REQUIRED UNDER PARAGRAPH (1)(II) OR (2)(II) OF THIS**
17 **SUBSECTION ON PAYMENT OF ALL CHARGES SET FORTH IN THE CERTIFICATE AS**
18 **WELL AS ANY APPLICABLE TRANSFER OR RECORDATION TAXES.**

19 **(IV) A COUNTY MAY COLLECT A FEE OF UP TO \$55 FOR THE**
20 **ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE UNDER THIS PARAGRAPH.**

21 **(V) THE PAYMENT OF A FEE AND THE ISSUANCE OF A**
22 **CERTIFICATE UNDER THIS PARAGRAPH MAY NOT PRECLUDE A CLAIM BY A COUNTY**
23 **OR MUNICIPALITY TO PAYMENT OF A CHARGE OR ASSESSMENT AGAINST:**

24 1. **THE OWNER OF THE PROPERTY AT THE TIME OF THE**
25 **ISSUANCE OF THE CERTIFICATE; OR**

26 2. **A PERSON WHO ACQUIRES THE PROPERTY WITH**
27 **KNOWLEDGE OF THE CHARGE OR ASSESSMENT.**

28 **(VI) EACH TREASURER, TAX COLLECTOR, OR DIRECTOR OF**
29 **FINANCE OF A COUNTY SHALL ADOPT PROCEDURES TO IMPLEMENT THE**
30 **REQUIREMENTS OF THIS PARAGRAPH, INCLUDING PROCEDURES FOR OBTAINING A**
31 **STATEMENT ON A CERTIFICATE ISSUED UNDER THIS PARAGRAPH OF ALL TAXES,**
32 **ASSESSMENTS, AND CHARGES DUE TO A MUNICIPALITY ON THE TRANSFER OF**
33 **OWNERSHIP OF A PROPERTY.**

1 (a) In this section, “paper document” means a document received by the clerk of
2 a circuit court in a form that is not electronic.

3 (b) In compliance with any standards established by the Administrative Office of
4 the Courts, the clerk of a circuit court:

5 (1) May receive, index, store, archive, and transmit electronic documents;

6 (2) May provide for access to, and search and retrieval of, documents and
7 information by electronic means;

8 (3) Shall, if the clerk of the circuit court accepts electronic documents for
9 recording, continue to accept paper documents and place entries for electronic and paper
10 documents in the same index;

11 (4) May convert into electronic form:

12 (i) Paper documents accepted for recording; and

13 (ii) Information recorded before the clerk of the circuit court began
14 to record electronic documents;

15 (5) Shall transmit documents in fully verified books to the State Archives
16 for preservation and publication on a website maintained by the State Archives;

17 (6) May accept by electronic means any fee or tax collected as a condition
18 precedent to recording a document; and

19 (7) [May agree] **SHALL COORDINATE** with other State or county officials
20 on procedures or processes to facilitate the electronic satisfaction of prior approvals and
21 conditions precedent to recording documents or the electronic payment of fees or taxes.

22 (c) The State Department of Assessments and Taxation or a county [may]:

23 (1) [Accept] **MAY ACCEPT** by electronic means any fee or tax that the
24 Department or county is authorized to collect as a condition precedent to recording a
25 document; and

26 (2) [Agree] **SHALL COORDINATE** with the clerk of a circuit court or other
27 State official on procedures or processes to facilitate the electronic satisfaction of prior
28 approvals and conditions precedent to recording documents or the electronic payment of
29 fees or taxes.

30 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect
31 October 1, 2024.