

Maryland Chiefs of Police Association Maryland Sheriffs' Association



MEMORANDUM

TO:	The Honorable William Smith, Jr., Chair and Members of the Judicial Proceedings Committee
FROM:	Darren Popkin, Executive Director, MCPA-MSA Joint Legislative Committee Andrea Mansfield, Representative, MCPA-MSA Joint Legislative Committee Natasha Mehu, Representative, MCPA-MSA Joint Legislative Committee
DATE:	February 21, 2024
RE:	SB 606 – Police Discipline – Order to Show Cause

POSITION: Letter of Information

The Maryland Chiefs of Police Association (MCPA) and the Maryland Sheriffs' Association (MSA) are providing this letter of information to the Judiciary Committee concerning SB 606.

The MCPA and MSA strongly support due process for officers and deputies; however, believe this information about the potential delays and impact on the police disciplinary reforms under the Police Accountability Act of 2021 should be seriously considered in evaluating SB 606.

Under the proposed Md. Code, Public Safety Article, §3-113.1, a police officer who is the subject of a disciplinary complaint or administrative investigation would be exempt from the usual "exhaustion of administrative remedies" legal doctrine and could go to Circuit Court and challenge unspecified aspects of an incipient investigative or disciplinary process "at any time before a hearing is held..." under the Police Accountability Act.

Prior court cases demonstrate that the disruptive effects of allowing initiation of preliminary "show cause" court challenges to police discipline suggest that investigation and processing of police misconduct complaints may typically be delayed by up to one or two years. (e.g. Gindlesperger v. Popkin, 426 Md. 1, 43 A.3d 347 (2012), pre-hearing comparative discipline discovery dispute, two year delay caused by Circuit Court show cause and appellate review).

Further, disciplinary matters have begun working their way through the administrative charging committee and trial board process. Making changes that would restore certain provisions of the prior disciplinary process seem premature when we do not yet have a great deal of experience under the new disciplinary process.

532 Baltimore Boulevard, Suite 308 Westminster, Maryland 21157 667-314-3216 / 667-314-3236