

# **HB1310 - Baltimore City Coordinated Youth Violence**

Uploaded by: Dawana Sterrette

Position: FAV

**Testimony of the  
Baltimore City Board of School Commissioners  
In Support, As Amended,  
House Bill 1310  
Baltimore City Coordinated Youth Violence Review and Response Team**

**March 26, 2024**

The bill seeks to establish the Baltimore City Coordinated Youth Violence Review and Response Team to examine and prevent violence against youth through intensive case management. Youth are defined as being at high risk for becoming a victim of violence if they have already been the victim of gun violence that occurs after the previous meeting of the Review and Response team, a witness to gun violence, or if they are a family member of a victim of gun violence.

The Baltimore City Board of School Commissioners believes the legislation, as amended, aligns both with our existing MOU and with federal and state requirements for student data privacy. The Board looks forward to participating fully in the intensive case management process, and notes that the amended legislation reflects the shared intent and desire of both the Administration and BCPSS to facilitate coordination of a network of tailored, wraparound support that is not only reactive but also proactive in providing emergency responses to young people experiencing high-risk factors of being victimized by youth violence in Baltimore City.

The Board supports the Administration's push to codify the MOU currently in place, which will solidify the ability of agencies to work together on ensuring that youth who are deemed to be at high risk are able to be provided appropriate services and resources. We strongly support the underlying goal of intensive case management collaboration, and respectfully urge a favorable, with the agreed upon amendments.

Dawana Merritt Sterrette, Esq.  
Director, Legislative and Government Affairs  
[dsterrette@bcps.k12.md.us](mailto:dsterrette@bcps.k12.md.us)  
443-250-0190

Melissa Broome  
Director, Policy and Legislative Affairs  
[mcbroome@bcps.k12.md.us](mailto:mcbroome@bcps.k12.md.us)  
443-525-3038



# **HB1310-JPR-ADMIN.pdf**

Uploaded by: Nina Themelis

Position: FAV



BRANDON M. SCOTT  
MAYOR

*Office of Government Relations  
88 State Circle  
Annapolis, Maryland 21401*

**HB1310**

March 26, 2024

**TO:** Members of the Senate Judicial Proceedings Committee

**FROM:** Nina Themelis, Director of Mayor's Office of Government Relations

**RE:** House Bill 1310 – Baltimore City Coordinated Youth Violence Review and Response Team

**POSITION: Support**

Chair Smith, Vice Chair Waldstreicher, and members of the Committee, please be advised that the Baltimore City Administration (BCA) **supports** House Bill (HB) 1310.

HB 1310 would establish a Baltimore City Coordinated Youth Violence Review and Response Team which would require various Baltimore City and State agencies to convene and discuss youth who are determined to be high risk and connect those youth and their families with services and resources.

In March 2023, Mayor Scott established Youth Violence Stat which began convening many of the same entities named in HB 1310. Through those Stat meetings, it became clear that there was a need for intensive case management services to be provided for the City's youth, particularly those at greatest risk of being a victim of violence. Existing State law does not allow for City and State agencies to share information in a manner that would allow for timely service and resource provision.

The City has an existing Child Fatality Review (CFR) Team, established in MD Code, Health - General, § 5-705, which is able to receive all records related to a child who has died in order to recommend actions to improve coordination of services and investigations among member agencies, and recommend actions within the member agencies to prevent child deaths. In performing this fatality review work, the CFR Team recognized insufficient communication between the City and State agencies who were supporting the child who had died and, in some instances, it is believed that information sharing between agencies could have prevented that child's unfortunate death. HB 1310 is requesting the ability to access and share, in a confidential setting, records among the City and State agencies to ensure that communication gaps are minimized, if not altogether eliminated, and ensure children and their families are connected to proper services and resources in order to prevent them from premature death.

The BCA worked with partner agencies to prepare a set of amendments to ensure that all proper protections are in place regarding data privacy for youth and their families. Those amendments were included in the version that crossed over from the House.

For these reasons, the BCA respectfully requests a **favorable** report on HB 1310.

# **HB 1310 oppose.pdf**

Uploaded by: Elizabeth Hilliard

Position: UNF



**NATASHA DARTIGUE**  
PUBLIC DEFENDER

**KEITH LOTRIDGE**  
DEPUTY PUBLIC DEFENDER

**MELISSA ROTHSTEIN**  
CHIEF OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

**ELIZABETH HILLIARD**  
ACTING DIRECTOR OF GOVERNMENT RELATIONS

### **POSITION ON PROPOSED LEGISLATION**

**BILL: HB 1310 Baltimore City Coordinated Youth Violence Review and Response Team**

**FROM: Maryland Office of the Public Defender, joined by Maryland ACLU**

**POSITION: Unfavorable**

**DATE: March 25, 2024**

The Maryland Office of the Public Defender and ACLU respectfully submit this testimony and asks for an unfavorable report from the committee.

House Bill 1310 creates the Baltimore City Coordinated Youth Violence Review and Response Team (hereinafter “Team”). The purposes and goals of the teams are broadly defined, and while MOPD appreciates the focus on services and protective resources for children, the way the bill is drafted, even with amendments is overly ambiguous and lacks sufficient privacy protections for children. The Team’s purposes are:

- Review cases of youth identified as high risk of imminent harm;
- Identify root causes and patterns within and across public systems that hinder upstream prevention of violence against youth;
- Provide recommendations based on identified factors that hinder upstream prevention of violence against youth for quality and performance improvement;
- Facilitate coordination and collaboration among entities involved in providing services to youth at high risk of imminent harm; and
- Create specific safety plans using wraparound supports for the youth identified as high risk of imminent harm and the youth’s family and community, while protecting individual privacy rights as required by law.

Although many of these purposes are positive, they are extremely broad and have no clear definition or boundary. The bill does not clarify what may qualify as “hinder upstream prevention of violence.” There are no guardrails or clarifications as to what qualifies the *Team* to create specific safety plans. There is no requirement to ensure the plans are evidence based implementation and culturally competent services. There is no clarity on whether these plans are voluntary, and what impact failing to participate or complete the programs may have on a child. House Bill 1310 assembles a team of participants to perform duties independent of any voluntary interaction with the *Team*.

Commented [EH1]: I dont understand this sentence

The *Team* deals with “youth at risk of imminent harm.” But what is that? House Bill 1310 defines it as:

“Youth” means any person under the age of 21 years who:

- 1) Resides in Baltimore City;
- 2) Attends or graduated from an elementary or secondary school in Baltimore City;  
or
- 3) Participates in or graduated from any youth program in Baltimore City.

“Youth at risk of imminent harm” means a youth at least 7 years old and under the age of 22 who is:

- 1) a victim of gun violence;
- 2) a witness to gun violence; or
- 3) a family member of a victim of gun violence.

House Bill 1310 fails to define the terms “victim of gun violence” or “witness to gun violence” or “family member.” House Bill 1310 places no time limits on these terms. Thus, a person who has a cousin who is a victim of gun violence 7 years ago may fall under the gaze of the *Team* without requesting the *Team*’s assistance. House Bill 1310 would likely apply to all youth in Baltimore as the definitions provided are extremely broad and subject to interpretation.

Using the phrase “risk of imminent harm” is overly paternal and harmful. The word ‘imminent’ means: likely to occur at any moment; impending. Youth who have been victims or witnesses to gun violence are not necessarily at risk of imminent harm. This overly paternal labeling of youth will permit the *Team* to involve itself with those who would prefer to be left alone under the guise of rescuing youth who would be harmed otherwise. A better and more accurate description might be “youth exposed to gun violence.”

House Bill 1310 allows the *Team* to access a wide array of sensitive and confidential records without a court order, subpoena or waiver from the youth or their parent including:

- Police Records.
- Law enforcement investigative information.
- Information relevant to an incident involving law enforcement that involves a youth at high risk of imminent harm.
- Medical examiner investigative information.
- Parole and probation information and records.
- Information and records of a social services agency, if the agency provided services to an individual whose interaction with law enforcement during a youth incident is being reviewed by the review and response team.
- Substance Abuse treatment records.
- Personally identifiable information and records maintained by a state or local government agency.



- Student records.

House Bill 1310 provides some protections regarding the *Team*'s data collection, but the protections are insufficient. Once the *Team* identifies a youth through self-referral or otherwise, the *Team* cannot collect records unless it gets consent from 1) the parent; or 2) the youth if they are an emancipated minor or 18 years old (*i.e.*, a legal adult). However, the *Team* can also collect information on a non-consenting youth if there are "emergency health and safety circumstances that justify proceeding without the consent required" and all other requirements of law are satisfied. House Bill 1310 does not define an "emergency health and safety circumstance." Thus, the *Team* can collect information on anyone – without consent from parent or youth – if the *Team* declares an emergency. Considering House Bill 1310 labels these youth as being at "imminent risk," it is likely that most, if not all, of these youth would fall under the broad category of "emergency health and safety circumstance." The 'emergency' requirement is likely to erode any consent requirements.

House Bill 1310 provides no method for record destruction, no judicial review, and no clear standards for decision-making. There is not a delineated procedure for who decides if a youth is a witness to gun violence. There is not a clear metric for how much information is necessary to declare an "emergency health and safety issue." Is a majority vote required? Must it be unanimous? House Bill 1310 answers none of these questions. Given the wide net House Bill 1310 casts, significant guardrails must be installed. The current guardrails are insufficient because most of what the *Team* does is private and not subject to the Open Meetings Act.

The Baltimore City Mayor's Office is free to offer services to constituents. Those constituents can provide medical and educational waivers if necessary and may also provide whatever information they choose in a voluntary and open dialogue with the *Team*. Court records can also be sought through existing legal channels and juvenile court records can be divulged with a court order. Although House Bill 1310 has good intentions, it will result in the collection of sensitive information with or without consent. House Bill 1310 infringes on the right to privacy enjoyed by all Marylanders and sets a dangerous precedent for future agencies who would seek to invade the private lives of youth under the premise of providing assistance.

**For these reasons, the Maryland Office of the Public Defender and ACLU urge this Committee to issue an unfavorable report on HB 1310.**



---

**Submitted by: Maryland Office of the Public Defender, Government Relations Division.**

**Authored by: Jeremy Zacker, [jeremy.zacker@maryland.gov](mailto:jeremy.zacker@maryland.gov), Assistant Public Defender.**