

# 2024 HB0128 Testimony For 2024-03-27.pdf

Uploaded by: Alan Lang

Position: FAV

Honorable Senators

Please vote for HB0128.

This bill passed the House without any unfavorable testimony.

Please vote for HB0128.

Alan Lang, District 31  
242 Armstrong Lane  
Pasadena, MD 21122  
410.336.9745  
[Alanlang1@verizon.net](mailto:Alanlang1@verizon.net)

**2024-witnessintim.hb128.Senate.tmy.FINAL.pdf**

Uploaded by: Samuel Rosenberg

Position: FAV

**SAMUEL I. "SANDY" ROSENBERG**  
*Legislative District 41*  
Baltimore City

Health and Government Operations  
Committee  
*Chair*  
Health Occupations and  
Long-Term Care Subcommittee

*House Chair*  
Joint Committee on Administrative,  
Executive, and Legislative Review



**THE MARYLAND HOUSE OF DELEGATES**  
ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND 21401

Respond to Office Indicated

□ *Annapolis Office*  
The Maryland House of Delegates  
6 Bladen Street, Room 365  
Annapolis, Maryland 21401  
410-841-3297 · 301-858-3297  
800-492-7122 Ext. 3297  
Samuel.Rosenberg@house.state.md.us

□ *District Office*  
4811 Liberty Heights Avenue  
Baltimore, Maryland 21207  
410-664-2646

Testimony of Delegate Samuel Rosenberg  
Before the Senate Judicial Proceedings Committee  
In support of

**House Bill 128**

**Crimes – Interfering With a Legislative Proceeding**

Mister Chairman and Members of the Committee:

No one in any government setting should be intimidated for speaking their minds – whether in a courtroom or in a hearing room in Annapolis.

The most important voice in our democracy is that of the Marylanders we serve, the residents of our communities. We depend upon them to share their experiences with us to enable us to create better policy to serve and protect them.

They spend their time and resources to inform us about their concerns and offer solutions to those problems. When they do so, they should feel safe. House Bill 128 provides the protection they need when participating in the legislative process.

Witnesses in a judicial setting are already protected by state law. *See* Criminal Law, Sections 9-301-303. However, there are no statutory provisions that specifically address the acts prohibited under HB 128 with respect to witnesses at a legislative hearing. These witnesses are not protected from intimidation or harassment when they appear before us. This point is made in the fiscal note.

After a bill hearing last session, I learned from my House colleague Delegate Kipke about a health care provider who testified in our committee – Health and Government Operations – about a billing or reimbursement issue several years ago. That night, this provider received an email from the insurance company with which he contracts to pay the bills for the services the provider furnishes to patients. That email stated something along the lines of: Contracts can be terminated at any time. This is just one example of the type of threats any witness on any issue may receive.

Any physical harm or threat of such harm to any person as a result of that individual's statements in a legislative hearing should result in criminal penalties, to deter such threats in the future.

Several other states have enacted legislation imposing consequences for interference of various kinds with the legislative process. We should do so in Maryland as well.

For the health of the democratic process, I request a FAVORABLE report for HB128.

March 27, 2024