## SUPPORT HB 0073 - expungement



TO: Chair Luke Clippinger and House Judiciary Com.

FROM: Phil Caroom, MAJR Executive Committee

DATE: March 5, 2024

Maryland Alliance for Justice Reform (MAJR - <u>www.ma4jr.org</u>) strongly supports House Bill 0073 to reduce the impact of incarceration by eliminating probation violations as a permanent roadblock to criminal record expungement. Here is why:

The Maryland appellate court's <u>Abhishek</u> ruling, in 2022, provided that any probation violation makes the original conviction *indefinitely* ineligible for expungement since the individual has not "satisfactorily completed the sentence" (regardless of the nature of the violation).

This decision functionally contradicts the evidence-based policies of Maryland's Justice Reinvestment Act (JRA) and every American drug court which recognize that a technical or trivial violation of probation should not block recovery and opportunity to regain status as a healthy, law-abiding citizen. Under the JRA, a "technical violation" of probation (not a new offense or absconding), at most, should be presumed to cause only a temporary and limited sanction – not a lifetime penalty. Under Drug Court practices, even a person who has slipped on one or a few occasions eventually may succeed and successfully complete a treatment program, then graduate and successfully complete their probation.

Also, since the <u>Abhishek</u> ruling, the Maryland General Assembly passed the <u>REDEEM Act</u>, which cuts the criminal record expungement waitings in half, allowing millions of Marylanders to seek relief sooner – only to discover that they are still barred due to the <u>Abhishek</u> ruling.

With the <u>Abhishek</u> ruling, even Marylanders with decades-old misdemeanor convictions now have no chance for expungement, impacting employment, housing, education, occupational licensing, and financing, if their violations of probation are for now-legal cannabis possession.

HB 0073 seeks to resolve this problem by providing eligibility's determination at "the **time when a sentence has expired**, including any period of probation, parole, or mandatory supervision," and removing the term "satisfies" and "satisfactorily" from the expungement statutes.

Thus, once a person has served the entire sentence *and* finished the additional 5-10-year waiting period, they will be eligible for expungement *if* the charge is eligible. The State's Attorney's Office and the victim still retain the right to object to the expungement in accordance with Criminal Procedure \$10-110 f(1). This is a rational and balanced approach to ensuring that the estimated 25% of working-age Marylanders with a record (pg.33) can receive the expungements necessary to allow them to properly reacclimate into society.

For these reasons, MAJR urges a favorable report on HB 0073

PLEASE NOTE: Phil Caroom offers this testimony for Md. Alliance for Justice Reform and not for the Md. Judiciary or any other unit of state government.