

 ${f N}$ atasha ${f D}$ artigue

PUBLIC DEFENDER

Keith Lotridge

DEPUTY PUBLIC DEFENDER

MELISSA ROTHSTEIN

CHIEF OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

ELIZABETH HILLIARD

ACTING DIRECTOR OF GOVERNMENT RELATIONS

POSITION ON PROPOSED LEGISLATION

BILL: House Bill 1079 – Courts and Judicial Proceedings – Jury Examination

FROM: Maryland Office of the Public Defender

POSITION: Favorable

DATE: Mar 4, 2024

The Maryland Office of the Public Defender respectfully requests that the Committee issue a favorable report on House Bill 1079.

House Bill 1079 will improve the impartiality and fairness of our jury trial process in Maryland by expanding *voir dire*. Currently, in Maryland, we have "limited *voir dire*." Voir dire is the process of questioning potential jurors to determine whether they may have any bias or prejudice that would prevent them from rendering a fair and impartial verdict. Unlike other states, Maryland's limited voir dire means that questions that either the prosecution or defense counsel thinks are relevant to identifying juror bias may not be asked. House Bill 1079 will encourage inclusion of these questions so that the parties have the guidance they need to bring appropriate challenges.

The answers to voir dire questions help identify potentially biased jurors so they can be excluded from jury service. Potentially biased jurors can be excluded in two ways: First, the juror can be stricken "for cause," if the Judge finds that their beliefs or experiences are likely to impair their ability to be fair and impartial. Second, the parties may exercise "peremptory challenges" to exclude jurors whose beliefs and experiences create a risk of implicit bias. The parties may not exclude a juror based solely on their race or gender. Without the ability to have their questions answered, the attorneys for both sides are prone to blindly exercise peremptory challenges.

Maryland's "limited *voir dire*" relies on jurors to assess and admit their own biases, which makes it nearly impossible to identify implicit biases. For jurors who are not self-aware and self-critical, the only information available to an attorney is that which appears on the jury form: demographic information such as name, age, sex, marital status, employment, and zip code. This

creates an environment where jurors may be stricken improperly based on race and gender. Additional reforms – such as allowing attorneys to directly ask questions to prospective jurors; and limiting peremptory challenges — would further help ensure that voir dire is effective in identifying potential juror biases, but House Bill 1079 is an important first step to improving the jury selection process.

For these reasons, the Maryland Office of the Public Defender urges this Committee to issue a favorable report only after amending House Bill 1079.

Submitted by: Maryland Office of the Public Defender, Government Relations Division.