HB0209 - Support.pdf Uploaded by: Anne Kirsch Position: FAV



Anne Bocchini Kirsch Director of Advocacy, PREPARE anne@prepare-parole.org (410) 994-6136

HB0209 - Prison Education Delivery Reform Commission - SUPPORT

Maryland currently collects data about correctional education programs. The Department of Labor offers reporting on GED education and vocational training programs, while MHEC and DPSCS have data that will hopefully be merged into a useful report by the REAP Act (HB0416) this year. With the current reinstatement of Pell Grants in correctional settings, this data is becoming even more critical than before if we are to maximize the resources available and give every incarcerated Marylander the chance to leave prison with the education and training necessary to be successful in the community. But data alone is only the foundation - in order for that data to become useful, it must be reviewed, analyzed, and then action must be taken on the findings.

HB0209 begins to build the structure on top of the foundation laid by the current DLR data and the prospective DPSCS/MHEC data from the Pell Grant implementation. First, it collects data about the subject of correctional education that is currently spread across multiple departments and consolidates it. Then, it tasks a specific and diverse group of people with reviewing these important correctional education reports and reaching out to a group of experts for further information. That group will then generate a consolidated report based on their findings to offer guidance to the General Assembly, where necessary changes can be made. While this bill is for a one-time commission, should the findings be useful, I would put forth the idea that perhaps a permanent board might be one positive outcome. However, this bill gives Maryland a chance to see exactly how impactful that analysis may be in planning for our future.

This is a low risk, high reward bill. It costs very little in terms of funding, but has the potential to add a high level of operational efficiency to multiple departments by fostering better communication and offering practical solutions. It will improve services to a vulnerable population that is in critical need of them. It will help Maryland utilize the resources we already have to get more done. And down the road, when people leave prison more prepared, it will make our communities safer.

PREPARE PO Box 9738 Towson, MD 21284

late testimony Uploaded by: Doyle Niemann Position: FAV



MSBA Main Office 520 West Fayette Street Baltimore, MD 21201 410-685-7878 | msba.org

Annapolis Office 200 Duke of Gloucester Street Annapolis, MD 21401 410-269-6464 | msba.org

| To: | Members of the House Judiciary Committee |
|-----------|---|
| From: | Doyle Niemann, Chair, Legislative Committee, Criminal Law and Practice Section, |
| | Maryland State Bar Association |
| Subject: | HB 209 - Prison Education Delivery Reform Commission |
| Date: | March 6, 2024 |
| Position: | Favorable |
| | |

The Legislative Committee of the Criminal Law & Practice Section of the Maryland State Bar Association (MSBA) **Supports House Bill 209 - Prison Education Delivery Reform Commission.**

This bill will create a broadly based commission and related stakeholder bodies that will develop an education-focused policy to increase public safety and reduce recidivism on the part of criminal offenders. This will include an advisory stakeholder group, conducting meetings across the state and looking at evidence-based educational approaches that reduce recidivism and increase public safety.

There is considerable research and direct evidence that education can play a big role in helping incarcerated individuals and those involved with the criminal justice system overcome the reasons they have engaged or may engage in criminal activity and become stable and fully functioning members of society. The establishment of the kind of broadly based commission as envisioned in the bill will focus attention on best practices and what can be realistically done and help point the way towards positive change for individuals involved in the system and for public safety.

For the reasons stated, we **Support HB 209 Prison Education Delivery Reform** Commission.

If you have questions about the position of the Criminal Law and Practice Section's Legislative Committee, please feel free to address them to me at 240-606-1298 or at <u>doyleniemann@gmail.com</u>. Should you have other questions, please contact The MSBA's Legislative Office at (410) 387-5606.

Gordon Pack's HB 0209 Testimony 2024.docx - Copy.p Uploaded by: Gordon Pack, Jr.

Position: FAV



March 5, 2024

Re: Testimony in Support of HB 0209 Prison Education Delivery Reform Commission

Dear Members of the Judiciary Committee:

I am a registered voter residing in District #41. Although I work in the field of parole education and advocacy, I engage in adult reentry services and juvenile justice and criminal justice reform. Unfortunately, I was adjudicated as a fifteen year old and served over 42 continuous years in prison. After a horrible start and with the support of others, I began to work on reforming myself.

Educational, vocational, cognitive behavior, community service, and therapy programming were essential to my growth and development. In fact, I benefited from the PELL Grant during an era when local colleges and universities had extension programs throughout Md's prison system. I earned an A.A. Degree in Business Administration; then, I transferred to Morgan State University's program where I accumulated 112 credits towards a B.S. Degree in Business Management.

Unfortunately, before I could complete my degree, the PELL grants were discontinued for prisoners. Needless to say, the federal restriction ended higher education extension programs. I was directly impacted in another way. I have remained an undergraduate for over twenty-nine years. I did not have an opportunity to complete my degree while in the prison system. Furthermore, since so much time has lapsed, I am having difficulty utilizing those credits to complete my degree.

During the last 10-15 years of my incarceration, there were accredited college programs at certain facilities which could have aided in completing my degree. Either I did not know about the programs, didn't qualify to be transferred to facilities where these programs were available, or prison officials were not aware of my situation. So, there is a need for DPSCS to establish tracking systems related to prison educational programs, to assist incarcerated individuals with accessing educational grants and to establish attainable goals related to educational and vocational programs.

PREPARE PO Box 9738, Towson, MD 21284



I have found education to be one of the greatest tools countering recidivism. I believe that failures such as the one I and so many others experienced should not continue. Thus, I ask this Honorable Committee to vote favorably for SB 0209.

Truly yours,

Gordon R. Pack, Jr. gordon@prepare-parole.org gordonrpack@gmail.com Cell# 410-456-7034

Maryland Catholic Conference_FAV_HB209.pdf Uploaded by: Jenny Kraska

Position: FAV



March 7, 2024

HB 209 Prison Education Delivery Reform Commission

House Judiciary Committee

Position: FAVORABLE

The Maryland Catholic Conference (MCC) offers this testimony in support of House Bill 209. The Catholic Conference is the public policy representative of the three (arch)dioceses serving Maryland, which together encompass over one million Marylanders. Statewide, their parishes, schools, hospitals and numerous charities combine to form our state's second largest social service provider network, behind only our state government.

House Bill 209 would establish the Prison Education Delivery Reform Commission. The Commission would be required to convene an advisory stakeholder group with specific qualifications and work with the advisory stakeholder group to conduct roundtable discussion forums seeking public input in all geographic regions of the State. The Commission would also have to develop an education–focused statewide framework of policies to invest in strategies to increase public safety and reduce recidivism of adult offenders. The Commission would be charged with gathering stakeholder input on research best practices for the primary, secondary, postsecondary, and career or vocational education of those who are subject to the criminal and juvenile justice systems. Lastly, the Commission would identify measures to mitigate risk factors that contribute to adult contact with the criminal justice system, with a focus on education.

In its pastoral statement *Responsibility, Rehabilitation, and Restoration: A Catholic Perspective on Crime and Criminal Justice* (USCCB, 2000), the United States Conference of Catholic Bishops cited the "absence of educational opportunities" among considerations "contributing to a high rate of recidivism". The USCCB also cited "education" as one of the key "necessities that enable inmates to live in dignity".

The MCC firmly supports systems of restorative justice, as the same are rooted in our faith tenets. Access to education within corrections systems are a core value in systems of truly restorative justice. As opposed to a lock-and-key system of punishment, this legislation may better equip Maryland to propel incarcerated individuals to a world of opportunity, enabling successful reintegration into society and empower formerly incarcerated individuals to experience the dignity of work and provide direction in their lives outside of the prison walls.

For these reasons, the MCC urges a favorable report on House Bill 209.

HB209.education commission.written testimony.jan20 Uploaded by: Judith Lichtenberg

Position: FAV





www.MA4JR.org

Annapolis Friends Peace and Justice Center 351 Dubois Rd., Annapolis, MD 21401 info@ma4jr.org

Testimony in support of HB209: Prison Education Delivery Reform Commission

My name is Judith Lichtenberg. I'm professor emerita of philosophy at Georgetown, and I'm on the executive committee of the <u>Maryland Alliance for Justice Reform</u> (MAJR), where I cochair the Behind the Walls Workgroup. Equally important for my purposes here, however, is that I've been teaching college courses, tutoring, and mentoring incarcerated people at Jessup Correctional Institution since 2016, through the University of Baltimore, and more recently at Patuxent Institution, through Georgetown University. Not to be too dramatic, the experiences I've had there have been life-changing. And this isn't peculiar to me: ask anyone who's taught in prison and they'll likely tell you how the experience transformed them.

Why is that? Several reasons, I think. The men (my students have mostly been men) are incredibly grateful to have the opportunity to study philosophy; they're hungry to learn; they bring experiences your standard undergraduate student doesn't (to say the least). By the time they become my students, they've had a few years (often way more than that) to reflect on what brought them to prison, to grow and change. Many of them came to prison without a high school diploma; they have gotten their GEDs and have gradually come to see the benefits of education, both practical and intrinsic. Rarely do teachers make a difference to the lives of their students in the way prison teachers do.

We know that <u>prison education reduces recidivism rates</u>. It's not exactly rocket science: to succeed in the world outside the walls, people need education and job skills. The dismal lack of those is partly what led incarcerated people down the wrong path in the first place. But the opportunities for education in Maryland's prisons are in short supply. There is a waiting list for the Pell Grant college programs. Only a very small proportion of JCI prisoners—about 15 percent—take part in any kind of education program. We desperately need more of them.

The revival of more widely available Pell Grants makes that goal realizable. Ironically, however, the number of GEDs awarded to prisoners has declined radically over the last twenty years. There are a variety of reasons for this decline, but it must be reversed if we want to reduce the recidivism rate and help incarcerated people become productive citizens who can succeed when they come home, as 95 percent of them will.

We need a good grasp of the facts about prison education in Maryland and about the incentives to increase opportunities for it if we are to reduce our prison populations and use our financial resources wisely. HB209 recommends the creation of a Prison Education Delivery Reform Commission to investigate how prison education programs are run and to recommend improvements.

As a 2017 <u>report</u> about prison education in Maryland from the Abell Foundation put it, "lower rates of recidivism and higher rates of employment and engagement are good for business, good for taxpayers, and good for communities." And for these outcomes prison education is an essential tool.

MAJR urges you to give a favorable report to HB209.

Respectfully,

Judith Lichtenberg Hyattsville, MD 20782 301.814.7120 District 22

Testimony for the Maryland House of Delegates Judiciary Committee, March 7, 2024.

[2024 - 03.07] HB209 Prison Education Delivery Ref Uploaded by: Marlon Amprey

Position: FAV

MARLON AMPREY Legislative District 40 Baltimore City

Deputy Majority Whip

Economic Matters Committee



The Maryland House of Delegates 6 Bladen Street, Room 315 Annapolis, Maryland 21401 410-841-3520 · 301-858-3520 800-492-7122 *Ext.* 3520 Marlon.Amprey@house.state.md.us

THE MARYLAND HOUSE OF DELEGATES Annapolis, Maryland 21401

Testimony of Delegate Marlon Amprey in Support of House Bill 209 Prison Education Delivery Reform Commission March 7, 2024

Dear Chair Clippinger, Vice Chair Bartlett, and members of the Judiciary Committee,

The state of Maryland has reached crisis rates of recidivism among incarcerated individuals. With forty percent of released individuals re-offending within nine months of their release, our state ranks in the top twenty-five percent of the country for recidivism. The crisis trickles down into our economy as fewer people are available to join the workforce, and more money is spent on our growing 70 million-dollar public safety and correctional services budget. Evidence shows that incarcerated individuals benefit immensely from correctional education, with post-bachelor degree holders having a zero percent recidivism rate. Proper oversight and recommendations for prisoner's education in Maryland are vital to reducing recidivism rates and ensuring the success of these individuals once released.

House Bill 209 establishes a commission that provides our state with recommendations relating to education and its impact on the criminal justice system. The commission will track the education rates and levels of incarcerated individuals and translate the data into planning, programs, and policies to improve inmate rehabilitation through education. The commission will consist of members from:

- The Senate and House of Representatives
- Departments of Public Safety and Correctional Services, Maryland Higher Education Commission, and Labor
- State Superintendent of Schools
- State Public Defenders Office
- Appointments from the Governor's Office

HB209 can make a huge difference in Maryland, where we have an incarceration rate of 531 per 100,000 people (including prisons, jails, immigration detention, and juvenile justice facilities). The commission will work with the advisory stakeholder group, including conducting roundtable discussion forums seeking public input in all geographic regions of the State. The commission will develop an education–focused statewide

framework of policies to invest in strategies to increase public safety and reduce the recidivism rates of adult offenders by using a data-driven approach. Technical assistance will be requested from many reputable foundations and organizations such as the Abell Foundation, Annie E. Casey Foundation, Council of State Governments, Vera Institute of Justice, and many more.

As lawmakers, we must establish this commission and advocate for the success of incarcerated individuals in our state. With your support for HB209, we can change the lives of millions of juveniles and prisoners in Maryland.

For these reasons, I urge you to vote favorably on HB 209.

Respectfully,

Ml A. and

Delegate Marlon Amprey 40th Legislative District of Maryland

HOUSE APPRORITIONS COMMITTEE HB92 and 209 TESTIMON

Uploaded by: Stephen Steurer Position: FAV

MARYLAND ALLIANCE FOR JUSTICE REFORM

Working to end unnecessary incarceration and build strong, safe communities

Maryland Alliance for Justice Reform Supports HB92 Resources and Education for All Prisons and HB209 Commission to Study Correctional Education in Maryland Prisons March 7, 2024

An effective correctional education program is most important for the state of Maryland in the fight against crime and the redemption of those behind bars. Does the state of Maryland, however, currently have a high-quality correctional education program behind bars? Recent studies strongly indicate the answer is no. What are the reasons for low student participation and program completion? One reason is that there is little or no incentive for incarcerated citizens to enroll in available secondary or career education classes to improve their lives and become productive and positive citizens. In Maryland there are fewer secondary and career technology programs than there were two decades ago. With the recent reinstatement of federal Pell Grants for prisoners will there be enough incarcerated students eligible for the available grants. The answer is not likely. Younger prisoners are enrolling at lower rates than older ones. How can we motivate more students to participate and improve the secondary and career education programs to reach more of them? HB92 will result in individual student educational plans and improve data collection to measure and improve program enrollment and completion. How can the Correctional Education Program improve the numbers of students receiving GEDs, career technical certificates and college degrees? HB 209 will authorize a Commission made up of all related agencies, along with education and criminal justice research experts, and concerned and impacted citizens to conduct an in-depth study to understand the problems and make recommendations for improvement to the Governor and the state Legislature.

What do we currently know about Education programs in the Maryland Department of Correction? By Stephen J. Steurer, PhD February 21.2024

Why is correctional education so important? The reasons are simple, education reduces recidivism, changes the lives of former offenders and their families, improves the community, and saves money by reducing future crime. The 2013-2014 RAND Corporation research of correctional education underpins the societal and financial benefits of correctional education. The conclusion of the RAND research is that it significantly lowers ex-offender recidivism and provides a very substantial return on our tax dollars, several times higher than the cost of the education programs.

Is Maryland utilizing education programs behind bars effectively? Since education reduces recidivism are we providing adequate programming? A review of over four decades of Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) and Department of Labor (DOL) and Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services (DPSCS) annual reports demonstrates the answer is no. There are many devoted state employees in the Correctional Education program now run by DOL, but the actual number of teachers has dropped, and the number of academic and vocational program student completions has declined significantly in the last 15-20 years. The Abell Foundation 2017 study of students illustrated some of the numbers. The study showed that although the inmate population had doubled since 1982, in 2017 DOL was not serving larger numbers of inmate students. According to DOL annual reports there are significantly fewer teachers in the state facilities than there were two decades ago. And the annual GED completion numbers have dropped from a high of 1000 in fiscal years 1998 to 2000 to less than 500 in fiscal year 2017, to 0 (ZERO) during two years of COVID shutdown, and to 171 in 2022. DOL has not provided much information on GED testing and completions in recent years, even though it also administers the Maryland statewide GED testing program. While some of the decrease was due to the increased difficulty of the revised 2014 GED exam, the number of students enrolling and attending school has also dropped.

Waiting lists for school had skyrocketed with the passage of legislation a few years to increase the number of mandatory school attendance to 240 days. Ironically, many people who were court mandated to complete their GED have not been attending school. The percentage of Maryland prisoners without a high school diploma is around 50% and most of them have no gainful career training or job history. According to the 2017 Abell study of Maryland's correctional education programs only 15% of inmates participated in education in 2016. That is down from over 30% in 1989. Unfortunately, the Abell researchers were not able to obtain much more detail from the correctional system (DPSCS and DOL) about

the educational programs to evaluate the overall quality and effectiveness for those students who did participate.

What are the reasons for low participation and completion? There are at least two causes for the low participation and completion rates. First, at intake the correctional system does not adequately assess and take into consideration an individual's education history or work status or use existing individual history as a consistent part of ongoing reentry program planning. Secondly, the incentives for inmates for educational participation are no better than prison maintenance jobs. In fact, many menial jobs pay more than the stipend for educational participation. A few decades ago, educational participation was treated as a special program awarding students an extra 5 days per month off their sentence for attendance. The waiting lists at most institutions were very long as a result. More teachers were hired, and night school was introduced. With budget cuts during tight economic times, most of those evening programs ended. After the state changed the teacher salary system, many teachers have left because of reduced pay scales.

Unfortunately, the number of special programs eligible for sentence reduction increased with potential students taking other assignments. As a result, school waiting lists and enrollment fell dramatically. With the introduction 4 years ago of a 240-day mandatory education participation for those without a high school credential, the waiting lists have dramatically increased. Sadly, testimony from incarcerated and newly released individuals indicates that people must wait months and years to enter school. Once enrolled, those who complete the 240-day requirement are often dropped from school, even before they complete the GED program. What can we expect from those released from prison without a high school diploma and/or a career certificate? Most of them will not find a job with a living wage and will likely return to prison to start the cycle all over again.

There is little or no incentive to attend secondary or career education classes. For several decades school participation resulted in significant time off sentence, but since many other program or job assignments result in the same amount of sentence reduction most people choose other programs that have no effect on their academic or vocational skills. In effect, they leave prison without improving their ability to find gainful employment beyond minimum wage. Testimony from recently released returning citizens who served time starting back in the 1980s and 1990s testify how they were motivated to go to school in the past and that currently incarcerated people no longer have the same motivation. The recently introduced Diminution law which awards 30 days for the acquisition of a GED or vocational certificate provides very little incentive. Many other states award larger sentence reduction awards, up to a year or more for acquisition of an AA or BA degree.

The state of Maryland has never funded post-secondary education in the prisons. Up until 1994 the State relied on Pell grants to fund college level programs delivered by Hagerstown Community College, Coppin State University, University of Maryland Baltimore Campus, and Morgan State University. At the time Pell grants ended there were around 1,000 college students in Maryland prisons. By 1995, without federal or state funding the number dropped to 0.

Goucher College started its own privately funded program several years ago and more recently Georgetown University has initiated classes as well without Pell grant support. Certain Pell Grant funds became available in the last 7 years and several Maryland universities and colleges were awarded federal experimental Pell grants and initiated new programs. They included the University of Baltimore, Anne Arundel Community College, Wor-Wic Community College and Goucher College. With the full restoration of Pell grants for the incarcerated in 2023, Morgan State University, the University of Maryland Global Campus, Hagerstown Community College, and Georgetown University have applied for and received Pell Grant approval by the US Department of Education. At this point postsecondary institutions are poised to provide the highest quality courses in Maryland prisons. Is the secondary correctional education program ready to coordinate with them?

Will there be enough students eligible for Pell grants? Many of the Maryland prisoners with a high school diploma have low reading and math skills. Additionally, many who received their GED scored below college readiness. So, colleges and universities programs will be competing for a smaller number of students than indicated by high school completion data. The Correctional Education Program in DOL is the agency authorized to prepare students to complete academic and vocational secondary education and qualify for post-secondary academic and career education programs.

Most post-secondary students are over 30 years of age. Very few younger students are taking college level courses. Without adequate incentives to acquire a GED or participate in college programs there is a big question about how many young adults will matriculate into available post-secondary programs.

Ironically, according to a DOL correctional education administrator DOL decided not to take responsibility for coordinating their secondary programs with post-secondary courses from the various colleges. They passed the responsibility to the DOC which created a new unit and hired a correctional education coordinator and staff to take over the responsibility. Does that make sense? The result is coordination between the DOL Correctional Education Program, and the programs offered by various colleges and universities. A 2020 national research report by Educational Testing Service indicates that states with high level leadership, well-funded, and well-organized correctional education programs result in consistent and higher student outcomes. Those with decentralized and low-level supervisory authority have much weaker completion and achievement rates.

How can we improve correctional education outcomes? HB92 will result in individual student educational plans and improve data collection to measure program enrollment and completion. The Maryland Alliance for Justice Reform (MAJR) endorses HB92 (REAP) because it would focus on the educational outcomes of Maryland prisoners, thereby enhancing public safety and, subsequently, saving on the costs of future incarceration. HB92 will create an imperative for DOL, MSDE, DPSCS and colleges and universities to work together to develop a data collection and tracking system and create goals for the number of inmates in educational programs.

How can we make correctional education programs improve the numbers of students receiving GEDs, career technical certificates and college degrees? The Correctional Education Program is not producing the results that it has accomplished in the past according to what we already know from several decades of the agency's annual reports. While we have outcome data the reasons for the deterioration of correctional education and the steps that need to be ta taken to repair the damage can only come from a non-partisan commission with membership representing all the agencies involved, criminal justice experts, and concerned citizens including returning citizens.

Brief Biography of Stephen J. Steurer, PhD

Most of his professional adult life has been devoted to the education of Maryland's incarcerated adults and juveniles. His entire career has been in public school and prison education, including the Maryland adult and juvenile education systems. Over a decade ago he retired from Maryland state service after serving as the Academic Education Coordinator for Correctional Education at the Maryland State Department of Education for 30 years. In addition, he was the Executive Director of the national non-profit Correctional Education for many years. Additionally, he participated in and published correctional education research over the years, most recently for the RAND Corporation and Educational Testing Service Center for Human Capital and Education. Today his role is primarily as a volunteer for the Maryland Alliance for Justice Reform, as national Education/Reentry Advocate for CURE National, and as a board member of the national Petey Greene Program and the Barbara Bush Foundation.

Correctional Education Research Sources

The RAND Corporation conducted the research that proved the connection between education participation while incarcerated and the drop in future recidivism with its 2014 study *Evaluating the Effectiveness of Correctional Education*. Lois M. Davis, Robert Bozick, Jennifer L. Steele, Jessica Saunders, Jeremy N. V. Miles,

https://www.rand.org/about/people/d/davis_lois_m.html RAND has conducted additional research which further confirmed and refine the conclusions of the 2014 study.

The 2017 report of the Abell Foundation identifies the untapped potential of correctional education in Maryland to improve criminal justice outcomes. That report recommended an enhanced incentive system. *Prison Education, Maximizing the Potential for Employment and Successful Community Reintegration,* Peter Leone, Ph.D., and Pamela Wruble, Ph.D. https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED591933.pdf

In 2020 Educational Testing Service Center for Research and Human Capital and Education published a comprehensive report *How to Unlock the Power of Prison Education by* Stephen J. Steurer. *The study reviews* prison education in the United States and made several recommendations for the improvement of educational program delivery. https://www.ets.org/s/research/pdf/how-to-unlock-the-power-of-prison-education.pdf

HB 209_MDL_Letter of Support With Amendment.docx.p Uploaded by: Andrew Fulginiti

Position: FWA



House Bill 209

| Date: | March 7, 2024 |
|-------------|---|
| Committee: | House Judiciary Committee |
| Bill Title: | Prison Education Delivery Reform Commission |
| Re: | Letter of Support with Amendment |

The Maryland Department of Labor (MDL) supports the goals of HB 209 and respectfully requests an amendment clarifying that the Commission established by the bill replaces the current Correctional Education Council (CEC).

HB 209 would establish the *Prison Education Delivery Reform Commission*, whose purpose would be to develop education-focused, data-driven policy recommendations to improve programming for those involved with the criminal or juvenile justice systems and identify best practices to reduce recidivism.

MDL, in partnership with the Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services, oversees the (very similar) statutorily mandated *Correctional Education Council (CEC)*. The scope of the Commission established by HB 209 would be broader than the current mission of the CEC, and would be tasked with providing critical recommendations on best practices for services to both incarcerated adults and juveniles. The Department welcomes this initiative and requests an amendment to replace the current CEC with the Commission established by HB 209 to eliminate duplicative efforts and ensure there is one entity tasked with evaluating Correctional Education in Maryland.

The Department supports expanding efforts to meaningfully engage and support incarcerated individuals and returning citizens. The *Commision* established by this legislation will frame recommendations that connect justice-involved individuals to stable, family-supporting careers that fulfill Governor Moore's promises to both "Make Maryland Safer" and "Leave No One Behind." **This will be more efficiently accomplished with ONE entity working towards these goals.**

MDL respectfully requests that the Committee consider our amendments and issue a favorable report on HB 209.

HB209_USM_FWA.pdf Uploaded by: Andy Clark Position: FWA



HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE House Bill 209 Prison Education Delivery Reform Commission March 7, 2024 Favorable with Amendment

Chair Clippinger, Vice Chair Bartlett and members of the committee, thank you for the opportunity to offer testimony on House Bill 209. The bill establishes the Prison Education Delivery Reform Commission, staffed by the Governor's Office of Crime Prevention and Policy (GOCPP).

The USM is comprised of twelve distinguished institutions, and three regional centers. We award eight out of every ten bachelor's degrees in the State. Each of University USM's 12 institutions has a distinct and unique approach to the mission of educating students and promoting the economic, intellectual, and cultural growth of its surrounding community. These institutions are located throughout the state, from Western Maryland to the Eastern Shore, with the flagship campus in the Washington suburbs. The USM includes three Historically Black Institutions, comprehensive institutions and research universities, and the country's largest public online institution.

In response to the growing societal needs of cities across the state and nation, the USM Board of Regents began to explore the development of an expanded prison education program to provide Maryland inmates with educational opportunities while incarcerated; give them hope and employment opportunities following release; reduce recidivism; save the State of Maryland money; and grow enrollment.

In fall of 2022, an exploratory group, led by Regents Bill Wood and Robert Hur and Chancellor Jay Perman, began collecting information from higher education institutions with established prison education programs, including the University of Baltimore, to determine the strengths and weaknesses of **expanding prison education programs within the USM**. Chancellor Perman announced the formation of a workgroup with representatives from USM institutions, the Maryland Association of Community Colleges, MHEC, and the Maryland Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services to explore the potential benefits of such a program.

The USM stands ready to partner in this effort to improve access for inmates in prisons to pursue higher education and in fact has been working in this space to continue expanding educational opportunities. USM's efforts, coupled with the power of The Second Chance Pell Program, led by DPSCS, offer a real opportunity for inmates to receive federal funding to enroll in postsecondary programs at colleges and universities. Maryland is unique in the number of higher education institutions to provide services to incarcerated individuals.

Accordingly, the USM respectfully requests an amendment to insure that the Chancellor, or their designee, is at the table with other educational leaders.

An Amendment House Bill 209 On page 2, after line 5:

(11) the Chancellor of the University System of Maryland, or the Chancellor's designee; and"

Thank you for allowing the USM to offer our support for House Bill 209.



NCADD-MD - 2024 HB 209 FWA - Prison Education Deli

Uploaded by: Ann Ciekot Position: FWA



House Judiciary Committee

March 7, 2024

House Bill 209 - Prison Education Delivery Reform Commission

Support with Amendment

NCADD-Maryland supports House Bill 209 with one amendment. Establishing a Prison Education Delivery Reform Commission to develop recommendations relating to education and its impact on the criminal justice system could lead to increased employment and a reduction in recidivism. These factors also play a significant role in people with substance use disorders maintaining recovery.

As reported by the Vera Institute, people engaged in postsecondary education in prison are 48% less likely to recidivate than those who do not and the odds of recidivism decrease as people who are incarcerated achieve higher levels of education.¹ The Brookings Institution also reports that literature has consistently shown that prison education improves post-release employment outcomes.²

According to the Bureau of Justice Assistance in the U.S. Department of Justice, peer support is a proven resource to address challenges related to substance use disorders and mental health conditions in both correctional and community settings to support recovery from, prepare for release, and facilitate reentry.³ As such, NCADD-Maryland asks for an amendment to House Bill 209 that adds the experience of peers to the advisory stakeholder group.

Amendment No. 1

On pages 2 and 3:

(1) convene an advisory stakeholder group that includes organizations with experience in:(i) criminal justice policy reform;

(ii) advocating for individuals with learning disabilities and those from marginalized communities; and

(iii) restorative justice; and

(iv) peer recovery support services.

(over)

¹ https://www.vera.org/news/back-to-school-a-common-sense-strategy-to-lower-recidivism

² Cho, R.M., & Tyler, J.H. (2013). Does prison-based adult basic education improve postrelease outcomes for male prisoners in Florida? Crime & Delinquency, 59, 975–1,005; Davis, L.M., Bozick, R., Steele, J.L., Saunders, J., & Miles, J.N.V. (2013). Evaluating the Effectiveness of Correctional Education: A Meta-Analysis of Programs that Provide Education to Incarcerated Adults. Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation; Duwe, Grant and Valerie Clark (2014). The effects of prison-based educational programming on recidivism and employment. The Prison Journal, 94, 454–478.

³ https://www.cossup.org/Content/Documents/Publications/Altarum_PRSS_in_Correctional_Settings.pdf

Rationale: There is a growing use of certified peer recovery specialists who work with people who are incarcerated. There are also people who are incarcerated who are getting the training to become certified peer recovery specialists. These are effective services and should be better incorporated into carceral and re-entry settings.

With this amendment, we urge a favorable report on House Bill 209.

HB 209 Prison Education Delivery Reform Commission Uploaded by: Matt Power

Position: FWA







Support with Amendments

House Judiciary Committee House Bill 209 (Amprey) Prison Education Delivery Reform Commission

Matt Power, President <u>mpower@micua.org</u> March 7, 2024

On behalf of the member institutions of the Maryland Independent College and University Association (MICUA) and the nearly 55,000 students we serve, I thank you for the opportunity to provide this written testimony support with amendments of <u>House Bill 209 (Amprey) Prison Education Delivery</u> <u>Reform Commission</u>. This bill establishes the Prison Education Delivery Reform Commission to develop recommendations related to education and its impact on the criminal justice system. MICUA respectfully requests the sponsor consider an amendment to add one representative from the Goucher College Prison Education Partnership to the Prison Education Delivery Reform Commission.

Maryland is unique in the number of higher education institutions to provide services to incarcerated individuals. The <u>Goucher College Prison Education Partnership (GPEP)</u>, founded in 2012, collaborates with Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services (DPSCS) to offer college courses. GPEP offers a Bachelor of Arts in American Studies at the Maryland Correctional Institution for Women (MCIW) and the Maryland Correctional Institution in Jessup, Maryland. With over a decade of experience, GPEP provided more than 200 college courses to over 300 students with access to more than 100 professors. GPEP participants can complete their studies at Goucher or, upon release, transfer their college credits to any accredited college or university in the nation. HB 209 expands the Program to allow more inmates to participate and earn a postsecondary degree. GPEP is eager to build upon its existing relationship with DPSCS and provide educational opportunities to even more incarcerated individuals. Including a representative from GPEP on the Commission would provide trained individuals in educational settings to contribute to prison education reform.

If you have any questions or would like additional information, please contact Irnande Altema, Associate Vice President for Government and Business Affairs, <u>ialtema@micua.org</u>.

For all of these reasons, MICUA requests a favorable Committee report, with amendments, for House Bill 209.