

January 27, 2025

**Opposition to HB 80 & SB 190 - & A Suggested Amendment:**

Dear Members of the **House Environment and Transportation Committee:**

AND

Members of the **Senate Budget & Taxation Committee**

**Concerning HB 80 (Cross Filed with SB190)**

As the Vice President of the Greater Timonium Community Council, and a resident of the Lutherville-Timonium to Hunt Valley corridor, I have concerns that this provision listed below on PAGE 4 of the HB 80 on lines 6,7,8, could cause an overflow of parking for the TOD that would take up parking spaces designated for and **owned by** other local businesses.

Another concern would be that TOD parking could overflow on the closest residential streets.

It is unreasonable for the local zoning and permit authorities to not have a say in what is fair to the already existing businesses and residential communities in the area when it comes to having adequate parking

We recommend removing these lines from Bill HB 080 & SB 190:

**On Page 4 of HB 080 and the Cross-Filed SB 190**

**6 (2) A LEGISLATIVE BODY MAY NOT IMPOSE A MINIMUM OFF-STREET  
7 PARKING REQUIREMENT ON A RESIDENTIAL OR MIXED-USE DEVELOPMENT THAT IS  
8 LOCATED WITHIN 0.5 MILES OF A RAIL TRANSIT STATION.**

**Further, we are uncertain why this bill includes the language in lines 21 to 23 on Page 4 concerning mixed-use development. The Governor's Affordable Housing legislation already covers where mixed-use development can be implemented, and this bill offers contradictory terms when compared to the Affordable Housing version. We recommend removing these lines, as well.**

Thank you for your kind consideration of these amendments.

Sincerely,

Kathleen F Beadell

Vice President of the Greater Timonium Community Council

211 Gateswood Rd., Timonium, MD 21093

[kathleenbeadell@gmail.com](mailto:kathleenbeadell@gmail.com) ~ 410-977-7581