Simple Illustration of the Difference:

Cash Flow Calculations

versus

Component Method Calculation

Copyright @ Miller Dodson Associates 2022

CASH FLOW v COMPONENT (Pooling vs. Full Funding)

• **CASH FLOW** ("Pooling") METHOD

- Treats Reserves as an aggregate "pool" of funds. It makes sure that adequate funding is available as needed in each year.
- **COMPONENT** ("Full Funding") METHOD
 - Treats each Reserve Item as a separate "line item" budget. Money cannot be borrowed from one budget to fund another.

CASH FLOW vs. COMPONENT

- **Illustration of the Different Mathematical Models**
- Four Buildings
- Painted 1 per year, repeat every 4 years
- Cost of \$12,000 per building
- (Assume \$Zero Starting Balance)
- (Assume \$Zero Threshold)

	YEAR	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	Total
COMPONENT	Cost X \$1000	Annua	l Contrib	outions t	o Reser	ves
Painting Building One	\$12					
Painting Building Two	\$12					
Painting Building Three	\$12					
Painting Building Four	\$12					
Total Cost	\$48					

	YEAR	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	Total		
COMPONENT	Cost X \$1000	Annual Contributions to Reserves						
Painting Building One	\$12	3				3		
Painting Building Two	\$12	3				3		
Painting Building Three	\$12	3				3		
Painting Building Four	\$12	3				3		
Total Cost	\$48	\$12				\$12		

	YEAR	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	Total		
COMPONENT	Cost X \$1000	Annual Contributions to Reserves						
Painting Building One	\$12	3	3			6		
Painting Building Two	\$12	3	3			6		
Painting Building Three	\$12	3	3			6		
Painting Building Four	\$12	3	3			6		
Total Cost	\$48	12	\$12			\$24		

	YEAR	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	Total			
COMPONENT	Cost X \$1000	Annua	Annual Contributions to Reserves						
Painting Building One	\$12	3	3	3		9			
Painting Building Two	\$12	3	3	3		9			
Painting Building Three	\$12	3	3	3		9			
Painting Building Four	\$12	3	3	3		9			
Total Cost	\$48	12	12	\$12		\$36			

	YEAR	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	Total		
COMPONENT	Cost X \$1000	Annual Contributions to Reserves						
Painting Building One	\$12	3	3	3	3	12		
Painting Building Two	\$12	3	3	3	3	12		
Painting Building Three	\$12	3	3	3	3	12		
Painting Building Four	\$12	3	3	3	3	12		
Total Cost	\$48	12	12	12	\$12	\$48		

	YEAR	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	Total			
COMPONENT	Cost X \$1000	Annual (Annual Contributions to Reserves						
Painting Building One	\$12	3	3	3	3	12			
Painting Building Two	\$12	3	3	3	3	12			
Painting Building Three	\$12	3	3	3	3	12			
Painting Building Four	\$12	3	3	3	3	12			
Total Cost	\$48	12	12	12	\$12	\$48			

As shown above, \$12k was funded as was needed in each year. \$48k was needed over 4 years, and \$48k was funded.

	YEAR	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	Total		
COMPONENT	Cost X \$1000	Annual Contributions to Reserves						
Painting Building One	\$12							
Painting Building Two	\$12							
Painting Building Three	\$12							
Painting Building Four	\$12							
Total Cost	\$48							

	YEAR	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	Total		
COMPONENT	Cost X \$1000	Annual Contributions to Reserves						
Painting Building One	\$12	12				\$12		
Painting Building Two	\$12	6				\$6		
Painting Building Three	\$12	4				\$4		
Painting Building Four	\$12	3				\$3		
Total Cost	\$48	\$25				\$25		

	YEAR	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	Total	
COMPONENT	Cost X \$1000	Annual Contributions to Reserves					
Painting Building One	\$12	12	3			\$15	
Painting Building Two	\$12	6	6			\$12	
Painting Building Three	\$12	4	4			\$8	
Painting Building Four	\$12	3	3			\$3	
Total Cost	\$48	\$25	\$16			\$38	

	YEAR	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	Total		
COMPONENT	Cost X \$1000	Annual Contributions to Reserves						
Painting Building One	\$12	12	3	3		\$18		
Painting Building Two	\$12	6	6	3		\$15		
Painting Building Three	\$12	4	4	4		\$12		
Painting Building Four	\$12	3	3	3		\$9		
Total Cost	\$48	\$25	\$16	\$13		\$54		

	YEAR	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	Total	
COMPONENT	Cost X \$1000	Annual Contributions to Reserves					
Painting Building One	\$12	12	3	3	3	21	
Painting Building Two	\$12	6	6	3	3	18	
Painting Building Three	\$12	4	4	4	3	15	
Painting Building Four	\$12	3	3	3	3	12	
Total Cost	\$48	\$25	\$16	\$13	\$12	\$66	

	YEAR	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	Total	
COMPONENT	Cost X \$1000	Annual Contributions to Reserves					
Painting Building One	\$12	12	3	3	3	\$21	
Painting Building Two	\$12	6	6	3	3	\$18	
Painting Building Three	\$12	4	4	4	3	\$15	
Painting Building Four	\$12	3	3	3	3	\$12	
Total Cost	\$48	\$25	\$16	\$13	\$12	\$66	

As seen above, \$48k in Reserve funding was needed. However, \$66k was required to "Fully fund" the Reserves using the Component Method.

	YEAR	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	Total
COMPONENT	Cost X \$1000	Annual Contributions to Reserves				
Painting Building One	\$12	3	3	3	3	12
Painting Building Two	\$12	3	3	3	3	12
Painting Building Three	\$12	3	3	3	3	12
Painting Building Four	\$12	3	3	3	3	12
Total Cost	\$48	12	12	12	12	\$48

Comparison:

- •Cash Flow Recommendation: \$48K
- •Component Recommendation: \$66K
- •Component Method requires 38% more funding than Cash Flow.
- •Cash Flow would be 72% of "Full Funding" if using Component Method.

Actual Maryland Community 2013 Reserve Funding



- Cash Flow Funding is 32.5% increase over Current Funding.
- Component Funding is ~200% of Current Funding!
- Component Funding is ~50% more than Cash Flow Funding.

Note: MillerDodson ceased using or showing the Component Method in 2014.