

March 4, 2025

Senator Pamela Beidle Chair Senate Finance Committee Maryland Senate 3 East Miller Senate Office Building Annapolis, Maryland 21401 Consumer Data Industry Association 1090 Vermont Ave., NW, Suite 200 Washington, D.C. 20005-4905

P 202 371 0910

CDIAONLINE.ORG

Chair Beidle, Vice Chair Hayes, and Members of the Committee:

On behalf of the Consumer Data Industry Association (CDIA), I am writing to express our concerns regarding provisions in SB 985 that conflict with and are preempted by the federal Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA). For the reasons outlined below, we respectfully request an amendment to the bill that would strike lines 3 through 7 on page 10 to remove 14-5008 (9), which would restrict the reporting of certain information to consumer reporting agencies.

CDIA represents the consumer reporting industry, including nationwide credit bureaus, regional and specialized credit bureaus, background check companies, and more. Since our founding in 1906, we have promoted the responsible use of consumer data to empower financial opportunities, reduce fraud, and manage risk. Through data analytics, our members facilitate fair and secure transactions, foster competition, and expand consumers' access to tailored financial products.

The FCRA establishes a comprehensive framework for the collection, dissemination, and use of consumer information, including credit reporting. The FCRA imposes obligations on companies ("furnishers") that provide ("furnish") information to consumer reporting agencies ("CRAs"). These obligations are in 15 U.S. Code § 1681s–2, responsibilities of furnishers of information to consumer reporting agencies. The FCRA has extensive preemption provisions that prohibit state regulation in many areas of law relating to consumer reporting, including provisions that impact furnishing requirements.

A safe and sound credit economy needs a reliable credit reporting system. Suppression of credit reporting leads to increased inaccurate credit files, reduces the reliability of credit scores, and adds greater risk and uncertainty into the lending process. This is why Congress included language in the federal FCRA 15 U.S.C.§ 1681t(b)(1)(F) which preempts "any subject matter regulated under...15 U.S.C.§ 1681s-2, relating to the responsibilities of persons who furnish information to consumer reporting agencies...".

While CDIA takes no position on the policy goal of SB 985, we are concerned by unnecessary inclusion of 14-5008 (9) and its restriction on reporting of information to consumer reporting agencies. As discussed above, Congress preempted the states from establishing prohibitions on the furnishing of information to consumer reporting agencies. As this limitation on furnishing information is inconsistent with the FCRA, it is preempted at 15 U.S.C.§ 1681t(b)(1)(F).

With this in mind, we respectfully request that 14-5008 (9) be removed from the bill by striking lines 3 through 7 on page 10. This would eliminate any unintentional conflict with the FCRA, fully resolve our concerns, and avoid unnecessary legal uncertainties for consumer reporting agencies in relation to Maryland law. Thank you for your consideration of our concerns and please reach out with any additional questions.

Sincerely,

Zachary W. Taylor

Director, Government Relations Consumer Data Industry Association