

The Maryland State Medical Society 1211 Cathedral Street Baltimore, MD 21201-5516 410.539.0872 Fax: 410.547.0915 1.800.492.1056 www.medshi.org

House Health and Government Operations Committee March 13, 2025

House Bill 1502 – Baltimore City – AIDS Prevention Sterile Needle and Syringe Exchange Pilot Program – Revisions

POSITION: SUPPORT

The Maryland State Medical Society (MedChi), the largest physician organization in Maryland, supports House Bill 1502, which enhances the Baltimore City AIDS Prevention Sterile Needle and Syringe Exchange Pilot Program, now renamed the HIV Prevention Syringe Services Program.

The Baltimore City Health Department's Syringe Exchange Program (SEP) is a well-established harm reduction initiative, aimed at reducing the spread of HIV, hepatitis C, and other bloodborne diseases caused by sharing contaminated needles. Using a hybrid outreach model, including mobile services, backpack distribution, and street-based pop-ups, SEP provides critical prevention and support services, such as: sterile syringe distribution and safe disposal; health education and harm reduction training; referrals to healthcare, drug treatment, and social services; wound care kits, safe injection supplies, and drug-checking tools (e.g., fentanyl & xylazine test strips); HIV and Syphilis testing; and naloxone training for opioid overdose reversal.

This bill aligns with MedChi House of Delegates Resolution 34-18 (see attached) as well as Maryland's existing harm reduction efforts. House Bill 1502 updates and alters the Baltimore City SEP program. The bill represents a forward-thinking, evidence-based approach that prioritizes public health, harm reduction, and the dignity of individuals affected by substance use disorders. MedChi urges a favorable report to further strengthen Maryland's commitment to health equity and harm reduction.

For more information call:

J. Steven Wise Danna L. Kauffman Andrew G. Vetter Christine K. Krone 410-244-7000

MEDCHI, THE MARYLAND STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Medical Student Section

INTRODUCED BY:

Resolution 34-18

| | Neel Koyawala, Neha Anand, Nick Siegel, Ben Bigelow, Lucy Nam |
|---|---|
| SUBJECT: | Supporting Pilot Programs of Overdose Prevention Sites in Maryland |
| Whereas, Maryland ranks deaths ¹ ; and | s in the top five states with the highest rates of opioid-related overdose |
| | hs per 100,000 in Maryland in 2016 were related to prescription opioids pared to the national rate of 13.3 deaths per 100,000 ¹ ; and |
| Whereas, Maryland expe 58.9% increase from 201 | rienced a 20.4% increase in overdose death rates from 2014 to 2015 and 5 to 2016^2 ; and |
| <u> </u> | drugs can generally be reversed with drugs like naloxone, saving but requires someone there to notice; and |
| | isting policies and a task force to "help Maryland physicians address the courage expansion of treatment centers and treatment options for people and |
| • | natic review showed supervised injection facilities promote safer duce overdoses all while having no effect on overall drug use, crime, or |
| | ction facilities have been associated with health cost savings due to nfections and skin and soft tissue infections associated with injection ⁴ ; |
| generate \$7.8 million in s | nalysis of a supervised injection facilities in Baltimore found it would savings while lowering overdose related deaths, ambulance calls, and hospitalizations ⁵ ; and |

Whereas, Baltimore, Philadelphia, San Francisco, Seattle and other cities have proposed legislation for supervised injection facilities⁶; and

Whereas, existing treatment centers in Maryland provide infrastructure to expand the scope to providing supervised injections; and

Whereas, AMA policy H-95.925 states "Our AMA supports the development and implementation of pilot supervised injection facilities (SIFs) in the United States"; therefore be it

Resolved, that MedChi support legislation for the development of pilot overdose prevention sites, also known as supervised injection facilities, in Maryland that are designed to address the opioid crisis while gathering data that can be used by lawmakers to assess the efficacy of supervised injection facilities.

As adopted by the House of Delegates at its meeting on September 22, 2018.

References

1. National Institute on Drug Abuse. (2018, February 28). Maryland Opioid Summary. Retrieved from https://www.drugabuse.gov/drugs-abuse/opioids/opioid-summaries-by-state/maryland-opioid-summary

2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Opioid Overdose. (2017, December 19). Retrieved from https://www.cdc.gov/drugoverdose/data/stated

3.

3. Potier, C., Laprévote, V., Dubois-Arber, F., Cottencin, O., & Rolland, B. (2014). Supervised injection services: What has been demonstrated? A systematic literature review. Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 145, 48-68. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2014.10.012

 Tookes H, Diaz C, Li H, Khalid R, Doblecki-Lewis S (2015) A Cost Analysis of Hospitalizations for Infections Related to Injection Drug Use at a County Safety-Net Hospital in Miami, Florida. PLoS ONE 10(6): e0129360. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0129360

5. Irwin, A., Jozaghi, E., Weir, B. W., Allen, S. T., Lindsay, A., & Sherman, S. G. (2017). Mitigating the heroin crisis in Baltimore, MD, USA: A cost-benefit analysis of a hypothetical supervised injection facility. Harm Reduction Journal, 14(1). doi:10.1186/s12954-017-0153-2

 6. Benusic, M. (2018, April 18). Safe consumption sites save lives. Retrieved from http://www.baltimoresun.com/news/opinion/oped/bs-ed-op-0419-consumption-sites-20180418-story.html

Relevant AMA Policy

Pilot Implementation of Supervised Injection Facilities H-95.925

Our AMA supports the development and implementation of pilot supervised injection facilities (SIFs) in the United States that are designed, monitored, and evaluated to generate data to inform policymakers on the feasibility, effectiveness, and legal aspects of SIFs in reducing harms and health care costs related to injection drug use.