


NANCY J. KING
Legislative District 39
Montgomery County

MAJORITY LEADER

Budget and Taxation Committee

Chair

Education, Business, and
Administration Subcommittee



James Senate Office Building
11 Bladen Street, Room 123
Annapolis, Maryland 21401
410-841-3686
800-492-7122 Ext. 3686
Nancy.King@senate.state.md.us

THE SENATE OF MARYLAND
ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND 21401

SPONSOR STATEMENT

Senate Bill 453 – State Contracts – Prohibited Provisions

March 25, 2025

Madame Chairman and Members of the Health & Government Operations Committee:

State agencies enter into hundreds of contracts and other legal agreements every year. Contracts with vendors and other organizations frequently contain numerous contract terms that Maryland agencies are not authorized to accept, such as the governing law of other states, broad indemnification terms, and binding arbitration. And many vendor contracts now incorporate terms found on vendor websites, which allows vendors to unilaterally modify contracts.

Over the past 10 years, vendors have become unwilling to change their boilerplate contract terms *unless* State contracting personnel can identify a statutory prohibition on certain contract terms.

In the 2024 legislative session, legislation was passed, (Senate Bill 375), that would streamline the contracting process for all Maryland agencies, significantly reduce personnel time required to negotiate (sometimes hundreds of hours for zero-dollar contracts), reduce frustration for State personnel and vendors, and protect the State by reducing the risk of liability and litigation.

After passage of that legislation, it was discovered that clarifying legislation was needed as it relates to limitation of liability. Senate Bill 453 does two things:

1. clarifies that property is limited to **real property or tangible personal property**.
2. clarifies that State contracts may not include a provision that limits the State's ability to recover the difference in the cost of the replacement contractor to perform the services not performed by the original contractor, but only to the extent the cost exceeds what was to be paid to the original contractor to perform such services.

This added language corrects a technical issue with last year's legislation and so I respectfully request a favorable report on Senate Bill 453.