

TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF SENATE BILL 15:

Child Support - Driver's License Suspension for Arrearages and Court Orders

TO: Members of the House Judiciary Committee and Senate Judicial Proceedings Committee

FROM: Trina Selden, Executive Director

Out for Justice, Inc. (OFJ) is an organization comprised of individuals directly and indirectly impacted by the criminal legal system advocating for reforming policies and practices that adversely affect successful reintegration into society. OFJ supports Senate Bill 15 as amended to repeal the punitive practice of driver's license suspensions for lower-income obligors.

Mobility is key in Maryland's regional economy. The Census Bureau reported that <u>almost 50% of Marylanders travel outside of their county</u> for employment. This statistic is more pronounced for lower-income communities of color where there is a scarcity of jobs available by public transit. In fact, only <u>9% of jobs in the Baltimore region can be reached within one hour, one way by public transit.</u> Thus for economic sustainability, both a valid driver's license and a vehicle are necessary.

An Abell Foundation report found that across Maryland, 50 percent of noncustodial parents in the state child support program caseload were employed in 2017, and 43 percent of these earned minimum wages or less but owe an average of \$10,000 in unpaid arrearages. In Baltimore, 57 percent of noncustodial parents were employed and 47 percent earned the minimum wages or less but owed an average of \$13,300 in unpaid arrearages. They will never pay down this debt, especially when the current law magnifies the issue by crippling their mobility.

Maryland Code Ann., Fam. Law § 10-119 states that upon 60 days of nonpayment of child support an obligor shall have their license or privilege to drive suspended. Beyond this, Maryland Code Ann., Transportation §16–303 states that driving on an invalid license (suspended, canceled, or revoked) in Maryland is a crime punishable by up to (1) one year in jail, a \$1000 fine, or both. Until recently, driving on a license suspended for child support came with similar penalties. As such, child support debt triggers a suspended driver's license, arrest, or hefty fines, further complicating the ability of low-wage workers to secure employment and make payments.

Additionally, a report cited by the Abell Foundation found that 42% of individuals who had their licenses suspended lost jobs as a result of the suspension, 45% of those who lost jobs could not find another job, and 88% of those that were able to find another job reported a decrease in income (Voorhees, 2006).



There is <u>no</u> evidence that suggests suspending an individual's driver's license improves the collection of arrears for persons making less than 300% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) or \$41k annually. However, Maryland continues the practice of suspending lower-income obligors arguing a need to comply with federal law.

OFJ believes that driver's license suspensions for workers who make less than the amended \$37k annually cannot be considered "appropriate" or increasing "effectiveness" as it (1) wastes taxpayer dollars in collections enforcement, (2) prohibits and eliminates access to employment for workers and job seekers, and (3) heightens tensions between the custodial parties which, in turn, decreases compliance rates. As such, Maryland should pass Senate Bill 164 to repeal driver's license suspensions as a penalty for obligors who make less than \$37k per year (250% FPL).

If Maryland truly wants to stay in compliance with federal statutes regarding child support enforcement, the most effective way to accomplish this would be to ensure that all non-custodial parents have the financial standing to remain in compliance with their obligation. Suspending licenses for lower-income obligors runs counter to the stated purpose of increasing compliance in child support payments and engagement with family where appropriate. In fact, it cripples the primary way the Child Support Enforcement Agency can collect (wage garnishments) by eliminating access to employment needed to pay down arrears. For these reasons and more, we urge a favorable report on Senate Bill 15.