



State of Maryland Commission on Civil Rights

Respect...Integrity...Effective Communication

Senate Bill 107 – Evidence - Interception of Oral Communications - Fair Housing Testing Position: Support

Dear Chairperson Smith, Vice Chairperson Waldstreicher, and Members of the Senate Judicial Proceedings Committee:

The Maryland Commission on Civil Rights (“MCCR”; “The Commission”) is the State agency responsible for enforcing Maryland’s laws prohibiting discrimination in employment, housing, public accommodations, state contracts, commercial leasing, and health services based on race, color, religion, sex, age, national origin, marital status, familial status, sexual orientation, gender identity, genetic information, physical and mental disability, source of income, and military status.

Senate Bill 107 adds Section 10–402(c)(12) to the Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article to allow persons working as fair housing testers to intercept communications for the purpose of obtaining evidence of a fair housing violation. This bill applies only to fair housing testers from the government or a nonprofit civil rights organization who are also a party to the communication.

Fair housing testers are trained individuals who discreetly pose as prospective renters or buyers to gather information on fair housing violations by housing providers. By visiting the property, making observations, and speaking with housing agents, testers can obtain evidence on whether the provider is in compliance with non-discrimination laws, or whether they deny housing to people based on protected characteristics, such as race or disability.

Maryland is one of only eleven states that requires all parties’ consent to record communications. The current requirement in Maryland prohibits testers from recording their own interactions with housing providers or forces them to get the consent of the potential violator, thus defeating the purpose of the test. SB 107 would provide a limited one-party recording exception to Maryland’s general all-party consent rule. This carve out would align with the majority of other states that permit interception when the recorder is a party to the conversation.

One-party recording laws have proven effective in discovering fair housing violations. A 2019 study in New York—a one-party consent state—recruited housing testers to record their meetings with real estate agents and found that the testers of color were subjected to differential treatment more than white testers. Black testers experienced this discrimination 49% of the time, Latino testers 39% of the time, and Asian testers 19% of

“Our vision is to have a State that is free from any trace of unlawful discrimination.”

William Donald Schaefer Tower, 6 Saint Paul Street, Suite 900, Baltimore, Maryland 21202-1631
Phone: 410-767-8600 · Toll Free: 1-800-637-6247 · Maryland Relay: 711 · Fax: 410-333-1841
Website: mccr.maryland.gov · E-Mail: mccr@maryland.gov

Governor
Wes Moore

Lt. Governor
Aruna Miller

Commission Chair
Stephanie Suerth, MPA,
CCEP

Commission Vice Chair
Janssen E. Evelyn, Esq.

Commissioners
Eileen M. Levitt, SPHR,
SHRM-SCP
Angela Scott, Esq.
Magdalena S. Navarro,
MSc
Jeff Rosen
Gina McKnight-Smith,
PharmD, MBA
Noah Thomas Metheny,
Esq., MPH

Officers
Executive Director
Cleveland L. Horton II

Assistant Director
Nicolette Young

General Counsel
Glendora C. Hughes

**Education and
Outreach Director**
Candice Gray

the time. In addition to revealing violations, documenting testers' interactions through recordings also reduces issues with credibility, truthfulness, and accuracy. Such recorded evidence helps promote fairer outcomes both for prospective tenants and for providers.

Additionally, allowing testers to gather recordings of conversations is vital to pursuing difficult cases that otherwise may fail due to a lack of corroborating evidence. For example, landlords may tell prospective tenants with Section 8 vouchers that their building is not HUD-approved for Section 8 housing, while in reality, HUD does not provide blanket Section 8 approval to entire properties. Instead, Section 8 eligibility is individual to a particular person, who may generally seek housing at any private housing property. But evidence of these misrepresentations is hard to come by. SB 107's exception is therefore needed to substantiate investigations and put an end to fair housing rights violations.

For these reasons, the Maryland Commission on Civil Rights urges a favorable vote on SB 107. Thank you for your time and consideration of the information contained in this letter. MCCR looks forward to the continued opportunity to work with you to promote and improve fair housing and civil rights in Maryland.