

**Bill Title:** SB 651 Landlord and Tenant Residential Leases and Holdover Tenancies - Local Good Cause Termination (Good Cause Eviction)

**Position:** SUPPORT (FAV)

**To:** Judicial Proceedings Committee

**From:** Thomas Butler

Dear Chair Smith and members of the Judicial Proceedings Committee,

My name is Thomas Butler and I live in zip code 21211 in Baltimore City. I am speaking as a supporter of Renters United Maryland. I am submitting this **testimony in support of SB 651 Landlord and Tenant Residential Leases and Holdover Tenancies - Local Good Cause Termination (Good Cause Eviction)**.

Currently in Maryland, corporate landlords can evict a tenant for *no good reason* and as a result many Marylanders are facing severe housing instability. In our state, 5,000+ families are made homeless each year because of an eviction and more than 30,000 Marylanders experience homelessness each year. There is a dire need to curb evictions and keep Marylanders rooted in our communities.

SB651 would allow local jurisdictions the ability to pass good cause laws which simply require transparency and accountability from corporate landlords for why they are choosing to evict a tenant. Again, this is local enabling legislation – not a state mandate – so that counties may pass good cause within the framework of their housing needs and master plan. Maryland is one of only five states that explicitly prohibits counties from passing Good Cause Eviction laws.

As part of a cooperative property management company, we are struggling. When landlords like us take steps to be more responsible to our tenants, it costs us money. This is to be expected, since as a cooperative our goal is not simply profit above all but a triple bottom line: people, place, and then profit after the first two have been addressed. What is not ok is that no other rental management company that I know of gives as much leeway before pursuing eviction. Because of this, we are at a loss compared to any property management company that does not extend the resources or even courtesy of making sure that tenants are not evicted purely for profit or revenge. If other companies could be held to our standard, then at least we would all know that tenants are not being evicted purely to pursue raising rent, extracting profit, or retaliation against tenants organizing against unsafe living conditions.

The current law in Maryland allows for landlords to remove tenants from their homes without needing to provide a reason. This creates fear and disempowers tenants from holding landlords and property managers accountable for fixing deplorable living conditions, enabling disrespectful business practices by our competitors. SB 651 would allow renters to exercise their rights and engage with landlords, property managers, and government agencies without fear of retaliation or discrimination, i.e., to have some security in their homes, school system, and support network. SB 651 also has an exemption for small landlords, which keeps the focus on corporate landlord accountability.

Research shows that good cause eviction laws greatly decrease eviction filings meaning that fewer Marylanders would be at risk of being put out of their homes. This would mean fewer evictions for Black Maryland residents who – because of centuries of government-sponsored housing discrimination – are disproportionately impacted by evictions. 1 in 4 Black children in renting households face the threat of eviction each year due to structural and systemic racism. The research is also clear that Good Cause Eviction has [no impact on new housing development](#).

As Maryland's budget deficit looms, passing enabling legislation for local Good Cause Eviction laws would save the state money on support programs and provide a no-cost way to prevent homelessness, strengthen our communities, and hold corporate landlords to account.

Eight states – California, Colorado, Connecticut, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Oregon, and Washington – as well as D.C., have passed Good Cause Eviction legislation, as have over 23 localities.

For this reason, I urge a favorable report on SB 651.