HON. STACY A. MAYER
CIRCUIT COURT
JUDGE
BALTIMORE COUNTY
CHAIR

Hon. Richard Sandy Circuit Court Judge Frederick County Vice-Chair



KELLEY O'CONNOR
ASSISTANT STATE COURT
ADMINISTRATOR
GOVERNMENT RELATIONS
AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS
P: (410) 260-1560

SUZANNE PELZ, ESQ.
SNR. GOVT. RELATIONS AND
PUBLIC AFFAIRS OFFICER
P: (410)260-1523

## MARYLAND JUDICIAL COUNCIL LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE

## **MEMORANDUM**

**TO:** Senate Judicial Proceedings Committee

**FROM:** Legislative Committee

Suzanne D. Pelz, Esq.

410-260-1523

**RE:** Senate Bill 1001

Criminal Procedure – Evidence – Protecting the Admissibility of

Creative Expression (PACE Act)

**DATE:** February 19, 2025

(3/11)

**POSITION:** Oppose

The Maryland Judiciary opposes Senate Bill 1001. This bill would provide that in any criminal proceeding or juvenile proceeding, the "creative expression" of a defendant or respondent is not admissible against the defendant/respondent unless the court finds, by clear and convincing evidence, certain things.

The Judiciary recognizes the bill's attempt to respond legislatively to the Supreme Court of Maryland's decision in *Montague v. State*, 471 Md. 657 (2019), and takes no position on the stated intent to protect the admissibility of creative expression. That policy prerogative is appropriately reserved for the legislature. The Judiciary would note that the bill appears to cover all expression that is not literal, which could include common use of analogies and metaphors, and preclude all manner of statement from admission – more than songs, poems, and artistic expression. A litigant may raise the issue of admissibility of any analogy or metaphor thereby requiring an additional evidentiary hearing, which would delay trials on their merits.

cc. Hon. Johnny Mautz
Judicial Council

Legislative Committee Kelley O'Connor