

HON. STACY A. MAYER
CIRCUIT COURT
JUDGE
BALTIMORE COUNTY
CHAIR

HON. RICHARD SANDY
CIRCUIT COURT
JUDGE
FREDERICK COUNTY
VICE-CHAIR



KELLEY O'CONNOR
ASSISTANT STATE COURT
ADMINISTRATOR
GOVERNMENT RELATIONS
AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS
P: (410) 260-1560

SUZANNE PELZ, ESQ.
SNR. GOVT. RELATIONS AND
PUBLIC AFFAIRS OFFICER
P: (410)260-1523

MARYLAND JUDICIAL COUNCIL LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE

MEMORANDUM

TO: House Judiciary Committee
FROM: Legislative Committee
Suzanne D. Pelz, Esq.
410-260-1523
RE: House Bill 1099
Civil Actions – Punitive Damage Awards - Surcharge
DATE: February 19, 2025
(3/5)

INFORMATIONAL COMMENT PAPER

The Judiciary respects the separation of powers doctrine and acknowledges that the legislature is the policy-making branch. As such, the Judiciary has no position on the policy aims of this legislation and defers to the legislative branch on such matters.

The Judiciary provides the following information for the Committee's consideration. As drafted, the bill seems to allow punitive damages only in cases where an individual acted with gross negligence. This language appears to eliminate the ability to award punitive damages for intentional acts or acts with malice. It is unclear if that was the intent of the legislation.

In addition, the bill requires the State Court Administrator (SCA) to assess a surcharge on punitive damages. The General Assembly has required the SCA to assess surcharges in two other contexts, but only at the time of filing. This bill differs from those instances. Under existing Courts and Judicial Proceedings § 7-102(b), the SCA is required to assess a surcharge of \$11 per case filed with the Clerk of the Supreme Court of Maryland and

the Clerk of the Appellate Court of Maryland. Also, pursuant to Courts and Judicial Proceedings § 7-202(d), the SCA is required to assess a surcharge of \$85 per civil case filed in the circuit courts. As noted, those surcharges are imposed at the time of filing and, thus, easily collectible and enforced at the outset of a case filing. This bill requires a surcharge post-judgment but does not provide a mechanism for the collection of such surcharge. It is unclear how the surcharge would be collected and what post-judgment collection method would be employed. This presents operational concerns as well as concerns about the Court operating outside of its judicial function in potentially pursuing collection actions.

cc. Hon. Luke Clippinger
Judicial Council
Legislative Committee
Kelley O'Connor