Hon. Stacy A. Mayer Circuit Court Judge Baltimore County Chair

Hon. RICHARD SANDY CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE FREDERICK COUNTY VICE-CHAIR



KELLEY O'CONNOR
ASSISTANT STATE COURT
ADMINISTRATOR
GOVERNMENT RELATIONS
AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS
P: (410) 260-1560

SUZANNE PELZ, ESQ.
SNR. GOVT. RELATIONS AND
PUBLIC AFFAIRS OFFICER
P: (410)260-1523

MARYLAND JUDICIAL COUNCIL LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE

MEMORANDUM

TO: House Judiciary Committee

FROM: Legislative Committee

Suzanne D. Pelz, Esq.

410-260-1523

RE: House Bill 126

Criminal Law – Theft – Mail and Packages (Porch Piracy Act of

2025)

DATE: January 16, 2025

(1/21)

POSITION: Oppose, only as to the mandatory provisions noted below

The Maryland Judiciary opposes the mandatory provisions in House Bill 126, given its intrusion into our constitutional authority. The Judiciary takes no position on the bill's policy aim of making certain offenses felonies, as that falls within the legislature's constitutional authority to make public policy.

On page 2, line 11 through 16, the bill dictates a mandatory condition of sentencing. The Judiciary traditionally opposes legislation that includes mandatory provisions upon the court. The Judiciary believes it is important for judges to weigh the facts and circumstances for each individual case when imposing a sentence. It is not always possible to order restoration of items previously taken. Further, there are instances in which restitution is not sought by the State or the victim, either because the victim already received compensation for the loss in the form of a refund from the vendor or an insurance payout or because the victim simply is not seeking restitution. In those instances, it may not be possible for the sentencing court to order restitution. As such, this provision should be left to the discretion of the sentencing court and the Judiciary would request that the "shall" be amended to "may" on page 2, line 11.

cc. Hon. Frank Conaway
Judicial Council
Legislative Committee
Kelley O'Connor