



**MSBA Main Office**  
520 West Fayette Street  
Baltimore, MD 21201  
410-685-7878 | msba.org

**Annapolis Office**  
200 Duke of Gloucester Street  
Annapolis, MD 21401  
410-269-6464 | msba.org

---

**To:** Members of House Judicial Proceedings Committee

**From:** MSBA Estate & Trust Law Section

**Date:** February 3, 2025

**Subject:** **HB 310** – Estates and Trusts – Fiduciaries – Attorney-Client Privilege

**Position:** Support

---

The Estate and Trust Law Section of the Maryland State Bar Association (MSBA) **supports House Bill 310– Estates and Trusts – Fiduciaries – Attorney-Client Privilege**. House Bill 310 creates certainty in the law by codifying the attorney-client privilege in fiduciary situations.

### **Problem with Current Law**

The attorney-client privilege, codified at Maryland Code Ann. Cts. & Jud. Pro. § 9-108, is an established, sacrosanct privilege that allows clients to communicate openly with their attorneys without fear that their communications can be discovered and used against them.<sup>1</sup> However, there is uncertainty whether the attorney-client privilege applies to a fiduciary (such as a trustee, agent under a financial power of attorney, or a personal representative) who seeks legal advice and counsel. Maryland law does have some limited exceptions to the attorney-client privilege; however, a fiduciary exception is not one of them. *See Trasatti v. Trasatti*, 2018 Md. App. LEXIS 546 (explaining that Maryland has never recognized a fiduciary exception to the attorney client-privilege) (unreported opinion). A minority of jurisdictions have taken the position that legal advice that a fiduciary receives related to the administration of the estate benefits the beneficiaries and therefore is not privileged. Maryland Courts have not provided a clear standard to address this uncertainty, which leads to increased costs and delayed adjudication when the issue is raised in fiduciary litigation.

### **How HB 310 Solves the Problem**

House Bill 310 resolves this uncertainty by affirmatively codifying the application of the attorney-client privilege to fiduciary engagements. This, in turn, allows fiduciaries to be candid

---

<sup>1</sup> Maryland has adopted the following definition of the attorney-client privilege: (1) Where legal advice of any kind is sought, (2) from a professional legal adviser in his capacity as such, (3) the communications relating to that purpose, (4) made in confidence, (5) by the client, (6) are at his insistence permanently protected, (7) from disclosure by himself or by the legal adviser, (8) except the protection may be waived. *Newman v. State*, 384 Md. 285, 302 (Md. 2004) (citing *Harrison v. State*, 276 Md. 122, 135 (1975)).

and thorough when discussing legal issues with their attorney, as any other client would in any other scenario. Explicitly codifying that Maryland does not recognize a fiduciary exception to the attorney-client privilege will resolve any uncertainty and avoid wasteful litigation relating to this issue.

For the reasons stated above, the Estate and Trust Law Section of the MSBA **supports HB 310 and urges a favorable committee report.**

**For further information, please contact:**

**Christia A. Pritts**

(410) 828-7775

[cpritts@simscampbelllaw.com](mailto:cpritts@simscampbelllaw.com)

**Laura Lynn Thomas**

(240) 813-4885

[Laura@LegacyLegalMD.com](mailto:Laura@LegacyLegalMD.com)

**Thomas Grace**

(410) 244-7444

[tmgrace@venable.com](mailto:tmgrace@venable.com)