

NATASHA DARTIGUE PUBLIC DEFENDER KEITH LOTRIDGE DEPUTY PUBLIC DEFENDER

MELISSA ROTHSTEIN CHIEF OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

ELIZABETH HILLIARD DIRECTOR OF GOVERNMENT RELATIONS

BILL: HB 805 Criminal Law - Mail and Package Theft FROM: Maryland Office of the Public Defender POSITION: Unfavorable DATE: February 19, 2025

The Maryland Office of the Public Defender respectfully requests that the Judiciary Committee issue unfavorable reports on House Bill 805. Our opposition is rooted in three facts:

- 1. Current Maryland law already criminalizes theft. Indeed, Section 7-104 of the Criminal Law Section of the Maryland Code provides graduated penalties for thefts based upon the value of the goods or services stolen. The below chart illustrates how the application of Section 7-104 works in a typical theft case;
- 2. A felony conviction does not work to deter criminal behavior and instead ends up harming an individual's ability to access educational, employment, and housing opportunities in the future; and
- 3. The bill as written is overbroad and if it is meant to address solely the issue of arrow key reproduction it should be written as such.

Grad	uated Penalties Under M	D Code, Criminal Law, §	7-104 (General Theft Provisions)
Subsection	Value Lost	Misdemeanor/Felony	Statutory Max. Penalty
(g)(1)(i)	More than \$1,500 but less than or equal to \$25,000	Felony	5 years imprisonment and/or a fine not more than \$10,000 (plus restitution)
(g)(1)(ii)	More than \$25,000 but less than \$100,000	Felony	10 years imprisonment and/or a fine not more than \$15,000 (plus restitution)
(g)(1)(iii)	More than or equal to \$100,000	Felony	20 years imprisonment and/or a fine of not more than \$25, 000 (plus restitution)
(g)(2)(i)(1)	at least \$100 but less than \$1,500	Misdemeanor	upon first conviction, 6 months imprisonment and/or a fine of not more than \$500 (plus restitution)
(g)(2)(i)(2)	at least \$100 but less than \$1,500	Misdemeanor	upon second conviction, 1 year imprisonment and/or a fine of up to \$500 (plus restitution)

First, the current theft penalties are numerous with graduated penalties:

Maryland Office of the Public Defender, Government Relations Division, 45 Calvert St, Suite 108, Annapolis MD 21401 For further information please contact Elizabeth Hilliard, <u>Elizabeth.hilliard@maryland.gov</u> 443-507-8414.

(g)(3)(i)	less than \$100	Misdemeanor	imprisonment up to 90 days and/or a fine of \$500 (plus restitution)
-----------	-----------------	-------------	--

House Bill 805 seeks to make possession of 1-16 mail items procured by "theft" under CL 7-104 a misdemeanor subject to 6 months imprisonment, and to make 16 or more mail items procured by "theft" under CL 7-104 a **felony** subject to 2 years imprisonment. A person who possesses an arrow key due to "theft" under CL 7-104 or uses an arrow key to commit theft would be subject to a **felony** subject to 5 years imprisonment or 3 years imprisonment respectively. To put the unnecessary duplicativeness of such a policy into perspective, it bears noting that OPD currently defends thousands of theft-**over** \$100 but less than \$1,500 every year. The charts below illustrate the number of cases OPD defended for the indicated fiscal and calendar years:

THEFT \$100-1,500 Annual Breakdown

FY	\sim	Year	~
Multiple sele	ctions 🗸	Multiple sel	ections \checkmark

Fiscal Year

Y - # Matters v	with Charg	e - Theft	\$100 ·	- \$1,500				CY - # Matters	vith Cł	harge -	Theft \$	5100 - \$	1,500		
Charge Description	on sectionC	ode sect Nar		ectionNuml		Cases Per ient Adjuste	d*	Charge Descriptio	n sect	tionCode	sectio Name	n sectio	onNumber		ases Per nt Adjusted*
THEFT \$100 TO UNDER \$1,500	CR 7-10	4 11	137 3	569		46,7	02	THEFT \$100 TO UNDER \$1,500	CR	7-104	1 113	7 3569)		46,60
THEFT \$100 TO UNDER \$1,500	CR 7-10	4 1F1	137 3	572		3	00	THEFT \$100 TO UNDER \$1,500	CR	7-104	1F113	3572			29
Total						46.9	57	Total							46,85
Iotal								local							
- Y - # Matters v	with Charg FY20 FY21		\$100 · FY23		FY25	Total		CY - # Matters	vith Cł 2020	harge - 2021		5 100 - \$ 2023	1, 500 2024	2025	Total
Y - # Matters v	9	FY22	FY23	FY24		Total		CY - # Matters		2021		2023			Total 46,602
FY - # Matters v	FY20 FY21	FY22 3 8,898	FY23	FY24 11,508		Total 46,702		CY - # Matters sectionNumber	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		46,602

Calendar Year

*Adjusted to remove ineligible matters and matters where client retained private counsel

As illustrated in the charts above, OPD defends an average of 10,251.5 theft-over \$100, but less than \$1,500, charges per calendar year. Maryland State prosecutors are already charging thousands of people with theft annually. Adding new theft crimes to the Code, will not solve the problem. Leading to the second fact that necessitates our opposition.

A felony conviction is not a deterrent and does not work to make Maryland, or our mail, any safer. Certainty and swiftness of a conviction are the primary ways that the criminalization of behavior works to deter that behavior. Research shows clearly that the chance of being caught is a vastly more effective deterrent than even draconian punishment. Thus, enforcement of existing statutes with celerity and certainty should be the focus, not further legislation.

Additionally, felonies are the highest level of crime. As such, people with felony convictions face increased challenges in gaining employment. Eighty-seven percent of employers conduct background checks. Most employers do not hire people with felony convictions and/or who have served time in prison. Sixty percent of incarcerated people remain unemployed one year after their release. This inability to gain employment desperately impacts a person's quality of life and ability to establish a livelihood without committing crime.

Maryland Office of the Public Defender, Government Relations Division, 45 Calvert St, Suite 108, Annapolis MD 21401 For further information please contact Elizabeth Hilliard, <u>Elizabeth.hilliard@maryland.gov</u> 443-507-8414.

Similarly, without employment, a person re-entering society cannot provide him or herself housing if they have no income to pay for it. At the same time, people with felony convictions are unable to access public housing and housing voucher programs. Most, if not all, government housing disqualifies applicants with felony convictions. Furthermore, families that live in public housing or have housing through a government voucher, are often not allowed to have family members with felony convictions live with them. Additionally, most landlords often do background checks and do not rent to people with criminal backgrounds and specifically felony charges. This means that a person with a felony conviction not only faces significant barriers accessing housing but is most likely unable to access affordable housing because of their conviction. They could also be prohibited from reunifying with their families if their family lives in any form of public housing.

Additionally, people with felony convictions are excluded from participating in food supplement programs in Maryland. With the prices of food on the rise, limited income and no access to food supplement programs, the options for a convicted felon to survive and meet their basic needs without reoffending are little to none.

Finally, this bill seeks to cover a swath of mail-related behavior resulting in the aforementioned issue of duplicativeness. If the bill is meant to address theft and misuse of an arrow key alone, it should be drafted as such. Notably, there are many bills that appear to attempt to address various versions on this issue this year:

HB 64 Theft of Mail Packages and Victim Notification
HB 79 Criminal Law – Mailing of Fake, Fraudulent, or Altered Documents – Prohibition
HB 126 Criminal Law – Theft – Mail and Packages (Porch Piracy Act of 2025)
HB 135 Criminal Law – Theft – Mail and Packages (Porch Piracy Act of 2025)
HB 143 Criminal Law – Theft – Mail and Packages (Porch Piracy Act of 2025)
HB 177 Criminal Law – Theft and Opening of Mail Packages
HB 210 Criminal Law – Theft – Mail and Packages (Porch Piracy Act of 2025)
HB 269 Criminal Law – Theft – Statute of Limitations
HB 280 Criminal Law – Theft – Mail and Packages (Porch Piracy Act of 2025)

If the aim is to improve enforcement, the focus should be on better legislation, not more.

For these reasons, the Maryland Office of the Public Defender urges this Committee to issue an unfavorable report on House Bill 805.

Submitted by: Maryland Office of the Public Defender, Government Relations Division.