

HON. STACY A. MAYER  
CIRCUIT COURT  
JUDGE  
BALTIMORE COUNTY  
CHAIR

HON. RICHARD SANDY  
CIRCUIT COURT  
JUDGE  
FREDERICK COUNTY  
VICE-CHAIR



KELLEY O'CONNOR  
ASSISTANT STATE COURT  
ADMINISTRATOR  
GOVERNMENT RELATIONS  
AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS  
P: (410) 260-1560

SUZANNE PELZ, ESQ.  
SNR. GOVT. RELATIONS AND  
PUBLIC AFFAIRS OFFICER  
P: (410) 260-1523

## MARYLAND JUDICIAL COUNCIL LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE

### MEMORANDUM

**TO:** House Judiciary Committee  
**FROM:** Legislative Committee  
Suzanne D. Pelz, Esq.  
410-260-1523  
**RE:** House Bill 1346  
Criminal Procedure – Evidence – Protecting the Admissibility of  
Creative Expression (PACE Act)  
**DATE:** February 19, 2025  
(3/11)  
**POSITION:** Oppose

---

The Maryland Judiciary opposes House Bill 1346. This bill would provide that in any criminal proceeding or juvenile proceeding, the “creative expression” of a defendant or respondent is not admissible against the defendant/respondent unless the court finds, by clear and convincing evidence, certain things.

The Judiciary recognizes the bill’s attempt to respond legislatively to the Supreme Court of Maryland’s decision in *Montague v. State*, 471 Md. 657 (2019), and takes no position on the stated intent to protect the admissibility of creative expression. That policy prerogative is appropriately reserved for the legislature. The Judiciary would note that the bill appears to cover all expression that is not literal, which could include common use of analogies and metaphors, and preclude all manner of statement from admission – more than songs, poems, and artistic expression. A litigant may raise the issue of admissibility of any analogy or metaphor thereby requiring an additional evidentiary hearing, which would delay trials on their merits.

cc. Hon. Marlon Amprey  
Judicial Council

Legislative Committee  
Kelley O'Connor