HON. STACY A. MAYER CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE BALTIMORE COUNTY CHAIR

HON. RICHARD SANDY CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE FREDERICK COUNTY VICE-CHAIR



KELLEY O'CONNOR ASSISTANT STATE COURT ADMINISTRATOR GOVERNMENT RELATIONS AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS P: (410) 260-1560

SUZANNE PELZ, ESQ. SNR. GOVT. RELATIONS AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS OFFICER P: (410) 260-1523

MARYLAND JUDICIAL COUNCIL LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE

MEMORANDUM

TO:	House Judiciary Committee
FROM:	Legislative Committee
	William R. Vormelker
	410-260-1561
RE:	House Bill 487
	Unhoused Individuals - Rights, Civil Action, and
	Affirmative Defense
DATE:	January 30, 2025
	(2/12)
POSITION:	Oppose

The Maryland Judiciary opposes House Bill 487. The Judiciary takes no position on the bill's policy aim of increasing the rights of the unhoused, as that falls within the legislature's constitutional authority to make public policy. The bill however includes several provisions which would have operational impacts on the Judiciary and are constitutionally problematic.

The bill declares that "threating or imposing civil or criminal punishments on unhoused individuals for undertaking life-sustaining activities...violates the protection against cruel and unusual punishment and excessive fines guaranteed by Article 25 of the Declaration of Rights." This declaration poses separation-of-powers concerns because the legislature is determining when a constitutional right has been violated, which is a judicial function.

Further, the definition of "unhoused individual" is not clear and may lead to potential equal protection conflicts under the 14th Amendment. This definition is also broad such that it would annul all existing trespass laws.

The bill also mandates certain judicial action as it requires the court to award the costs of litigation, including a reasonable attorney's fee, to a prevailing plaintiff in a civil action brought by or on behalf of an unhoused individual. This provision limits a judge's discretion to award a remedy they believe is appropriate.

Finally, the bill creates several statutory rights for all unhoused individuals. Two of these rights are the right to engage in life-sustaining activities on or about public places, and the right to use and move freely in public places without being discriminated against on the basis of actual or perceived housing status. Under the bill, it may be the case that unhoused individuals would have the right to engage in life-sustaining activities and move freely in Judiciary facilities, such as courthouses, and areas adjacent to Judiciary facilities, like courtyards. This could create substantial operational difficulties for the court.

cc. Hon. Bernice Mireku-North Judicial Council Legislative Committee Kelley O'Connor