NATASHA DARTIGUE

PUBLIC DEFENDER

KEITH LOTRIDGE

DEPUTY PUBLIC DEFENDER

MELISSA ROTHSTEIN CHIEF OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

ELIZABETH HILLIARD

ACTING DIRECTOR OF GOVERNMENT RELATIONS

POSITION ON PROPOSED LEGISLATION

BILL: HB0374 - Correction Services. - Diminution Credits - First degree Murder

FROM: Maryland Office of the Public Defender

POSITION: Unfavorable

MARYLAND OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER

DATE: 1/31/25

My name is Benoit Tshiwala, paralegal with the Office of the Public Defender. I am also a

formerly incarcerated person who served 21 years in Maryland. The Maryland Office of the Public

Defender urges an unfavorable report on House Bill 0374 to prohibit the earning of diminution

credits for reducing the term of confinement of persons serving a sentence for first degree murder

in a State and local correctional facility.

Incarcerated persons generally have been entitled, under long-standing Maryland law, to

diminution credits that serve to reduce the length of incarceration. Such credits may be earned

through good behavior, work, and educational program assignments. Good conduct credits

encourage good institutional behavior, while also mitigating overcrowding by promoting early

release of nonviolent and non-felony drug offenders. HB0374 undermines every policy interest

underlying the awarding of diminution credits.

First, it disincentivizes positive institutional behavior by incarcerated persons. On a

personal note, I recall beginning my lengthy sentence in 1998 by getting infractions for fights,

insulting officers and being disruptive. Around 2001, the prospect of losing some of my "good

time" compelled me to change my behavior and focus on my rehabilitation and education.

Although at the time, it was likely I could spend the rest of my life in prison, retaining and earning

diminution credits helped to keep me focused. I did not catch another infraction for the next 18 years. I have also witnessed many fellow incarcerated men be more deliberative before deciding to act detrimentally, for fear of losing diminution credits. I also found my interactions with staff to be more cordial, as my respect for authority improved. This bill, on the other hand, will only exacerbate an already violent work environment for correctional staff and the general population at state correctional facilities. As a fiscal matter, passing this bill admittedly will result in "general fund expenditures increase, potentially significantly over time, for the [D.P.S.C.S] as individuals serve longer sentences". It simply makes no fiscal sense.

Secondly, the bill's restrictions on first-degree murder are unnecessary, given existing statutory restrictions. Current law bars a person for certain violent or drug crimes (including murder) from earning more than 5 days a month on a sentence. Also, as of October 1^{st,} of 2009, a person convicted of a violent crime committed on or after that date would not be eligible for mandatory supervision resulting from diminution of confinement "until after the inmate becomes eligible for parole [.]" See CS§ 7-501. Release on mandatory supervision. This remains the law today.

Several regulatory barriers erected by both DPSCS and DOC render it extremely difficult for such persons to earn diminution credits. For instance, prior to 2007, it may have been possible for a prisoner serving a sentence for a violent crime to reach 20 diminution credits a month when the D.O.C. awarded special project credits for housing, but that special project was eliminated effective July 1, 2007. See C.O.M.A.R. 12.O2.O6.05F(3)(a)(xiii). Further, D.O.C. directives make it extremely difficult for an inmate to earn enough credits for mandatory release. For instance, inmates cannot "stack" diminution credits by working and attending school concurrently. See D.O.C. 100.0002 Case Management Manual. Section 5(G) (8).

Finally, while it purportedly targets persons convicted of first-degree murder, this Bill

appears to further a recent trend towards the rollback of all diminution credits for persons convicted

of violent crimes generally. This could be more dangerous to the public in the long run. Rather

than revoking diminution credits, there should be more focus instead on rehabilitation and

educational resources for incarcerated persons.

For these reasons, the Maryland Office of the Public Defender urges this Committee to issue

an unfavorable report on HB0374.

Submitted by: Maryland Office of the Public Defender, Government Relations Division.

Authored by: Benoit Tshiwala (Paralegal). benoit.tshiwala@maryland.gov

3